



Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
2550 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

O +1 202 457 6000
F +1 202 457 6315
squirepattonboggs.com

Paul C. Besozzi
T +1 202 457 5292
Paul.Besozzi@squirepb.com

October 2, 2017

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: REQUEST FOR HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT
ClearCaptions, LLC
CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 13-24

Dear Ms. Dortch:

ClearCaptions, LLC (“ClearCaptions”), pursuant to the *Second Protective Order*, DA 12-858, released May 31, 2012 in CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51,¹ hereby requests Highly Confidential treatment of certain information contained in the enclosed Notice of Ex Parte (including attachments). ClearCaptions is also submitting a redacted version of this letter pursuant to the *Second Protective Order*.²

ClearCaptions hereby requests that all information contained after the headings *****BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION***** and before the headings *****END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION***** be treated as Highly

¹ See *Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program et al.*, CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51, Second Protective Order, 27 FCC Rcd 5914 (rel. May 31, 2012) (“*Second Protective Order*”); see also 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.457, 0.459. Because there is no protective order in effect for CG Docket No. 13-24 at this time, the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau staff has instructed ClearCaptions that it should file this request and the accompanying Notice of Ex Parte only in CG Docket No. 03-123 pending release of a protective order for CG Docket No. 13-24.

² *Second Protective Order* ¶ 12.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 2

Confidential Information under the *Second Protective Order*.³ As described below, the information contained in those headings is properly designated as Highly Confidential Information under paragraph 3 of the *Second Protective Order*, and is proprietary and business information that is not customarily disclosed to the public or within the industry and is subject to Exemption 4 under the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”).⁴ Pursuant to paragraph 3 of the *Second Protective Order*, ClearCaptions has obtained Commission staff’s written preliminary approval to designate the subject information as Highly Confidential.⁵

As this information is submitted voluntarily and absent any requirement by statute, regulation, or the Commission, ClearCaptions requests that, in the event that the Commission denies ClearCaptions’ request for confidentiality, the Commission return the materials without consideration of the contents therein.⁶

(1) Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought.

ClearCaptions hereby seeks Highly Confidential treatment for all of the information in the enclosed Notice of Ex Parte (including attachments) that is contained after the headings *****BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL***** and before the headings *****END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL*****, which is properly designated as Highly Confidential Information under paragraphs 2 and 3 of Appendix A of the *Second Protective Order*.⁷

(2) Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted or a description of the circumstances giving rise to the submission.

The attachments to the Notice of Ex Parte were provided to the identified FCC staff during the meetings reported in the Notice of Ex Parte.

(3) Explanation of the degree to which the information is commercial or financial or contains a trade secret or is privileged.

The subject information includes highly sensitive ClearCaptions IP CTS product strategies, business plans, and technology implementation and deployment plans and strategies, as well as operating cost and other information that would cause harm to

³ *Second Protective Order* ¶ 2.

⁴ *Second Protective Order* Appendix A; 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

⁵ *Second Protective Order* ¶ 3.

⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 0.459(e).

⁷ *Second Protective Order* Appendix A.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 3

ClearCaptions if disclosed. Indeed, revealing this information may allow competitors to calculate Highly Confidential Information for ClearCaptions. This information is properly designated Highly Confidential Information under paragraphs 2 and 3 of Appendix A of the *Second Protective Order* as (i) “product strategies,” “business plans,” and “technology implementation and deployment plans and strategies” and (ii) “[i]nformation that provides granular information about [ClearCaptions] past, current, or future costs, revenues, marginal revenues, or market share, and future dividends.”⁸ Further, this information constitutes proprietary commercial and business information under Exemption 4 of the FOIA.⁹ Accordingly, ClearCaptions hereby requests that such information be treated as Highly Confidential Information under the *Second Protective Order* and not be made routinely available for public inspection.

(4) Explanation of the degree to which the information contains a service that is subject to competition.

In order to prevent unauthorized disclosure of the subject information, ClearCaptions is hereby submitting a request that the subject information be treated as Highly Confidential Information indefinitely, and ClearCaptions has obtained Commission staff’s written preliminary approval to designate the designated information as Highly Confidential Information pursuant to paragraph 3 of the *Second Protective Order*. ClearCaptions takes routine measures to ensure the confidentiality of this information during normal business operations, including instructing its employees and contracting partners not to disclose such information outside of ClearCaptions, and restricting access to this information internally.

(5) Explanation of how disclosure could result in substantial competitive harm.

The presence of competitors in the IP CTS market and the likelihood of competitive injury to ClearCaptions threatened by release of this information should compel the Commission to withhold the information designated as Highly Confidential Information from public disclosure. The Commission has provided assurances that it is “sensitive to ensuring that the fulfillment of its regulatory responsibilities does not result in the unnecessary disclosure of information that might put its regulatees at a competitive disadvantage.”¹⁰

(6) Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

In order to prevent unauthorized disclosure of the subject information, ClearCaptions is hereby submitting a request that the subject information be treated as Highly Confidential

⁸ *Second Protective Order* Appendix A.

⁹ 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

¹⁰ *Examination of Current Policy Concerning the Treatment of Confidential Information Submitted to the Commission*, Report and Order, 13 FCC Rcd 24816, ¶ 8 (1998).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 4

Information indefinitely, and ClearCaptions has obtained Commission staff's written preliminary approval to designate the subject information as Highly Confidential Information pursuant to paragraph 3 of the *Second Protective Order*.¹¹ ClearCaptions takes routine measures to ensure the confidentiality of this information during normal business operations, including instructing its employees and contracting partners not to disclose such information outside of ClearCaptions, and restricting access to this information internally.

(7) Identification of whether information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties.

The subject information is not ordinarily available to the public or to any third parties.

(8) Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure.

As described above, the subject information contains highly sensitive ClearCaptions cost and other information covered by paragraphs 2 and 3 of Appendix A to the *Second Protective Order* that could cause significant competitive injury to ClearCaptions if disclosed.¹² For this reason, ClearCaptions respectfully requests that the Commission protect this information from public disclosure indefinitely.

(9) Any other information that the party seeking confidential information believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted.

As the subject information is being submitted voluntarily, ClearCaptions requests that, in the event that the Commission denies ClearCaptions' request for confidentiality, the Commission return the materials without consideration of the contents therein.


¹¹ *Second Protective Order* ¶ 3.

¹² *Second Protective Order*, Appendix A ¶¶ 2, 3; 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 5

Should you have any questions concerning the foregoing request, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Paul C. Besozzi", written over a horizontal line.

Paul C. Besozzi
Steven F. Lederman
Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
2550 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
202-457-5292
202-626-6283
paul.besozzi@squirepb.com
steven.lederman@squirepb.com

Counsel to ClearCaptions, LLC

cc: Travis Litman
Claude Aiken
Zenji Nakazawa
Karen Peltz Strauss
Robert Aldrich
Susan Bahr
Eliot Greenwald
Michael Scott
David Schmidt
TRS Reports



Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
2550 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

O +1 202 457 6000
F +1 202 457 6315
squirepattonboggs.com

Paul C. Besozzi
T +1 202 457 5292
Paul.Besozzi@squirepb.com

October 2, 2017

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte – Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, Misuse of Internet Protocol (IP) Captioned Telephone Service – CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 13-24¹

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 28, 2017, Michael Strecker, Vice President of Regulatory Affairs, ClearCaptions, LLC (“ClearCaptions” or “Company”), Paul C. Besozzi, counsel for ClearCaptions, and Robert Rae, Chief Executive Officer, ClearCaptions (telephonically), met separately with (a) Travis Litman, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel; (b) Claude Aiken, Legal Advisor, Wireline, to Commissioner Mignon Clyburn; and (c) Zenji Nakazawa, Legal Advisor, Public Safety and Consumer Protection, to Chairman Ajit Pai, regarding prospective Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (“IP CTS”) rate structure matters and automatic voice recognition (“ASR”) developments.

Thereafter, Mr. Strecker, Mr. Besozzi and Mr. Rae (telephonically) also met collectively with Karen Peltz Strauss, Deputy Bureau Chief of the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (“CGB”); Robert Aldrich, Front Office Legal Advisor, CGB; Susan Bahr, Attorney

¹ Based on discussions with the Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau staff, ClearCaptions, LLC is filing this Notice of Ex Parte in CG Docket No. 03-123 subject to the Second Protective Order therein. *See Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program et al.*, CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51, Second Protective Order, 27 FCC Rcd 5914 (rel. May 31, 2012) (“*Second Protective Order*”). The staff has permitted this approach because there is currently no similar protective order applicable to CG Docket No. 13-24.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 2

Advisor, CGB; Eliot Greenwald, Deputy Chief, Disability Rights Office (“DRO”), CGB; Michael Scott, Attorney Advisor, DRO, CGB; and David Schmidt (telephonically), TRS Fund Program Coordinator, Office of the Managing Director. The same topics were discussed.

With respect to IP CTS rates structure, Mr. Strecker discussed and reviewed cost data and projected margins, including for ClearCaptions, if future IP CTS rates were based on a single rate versus the tiered rate structure previously presented to the Commission by the Company. The discussion included an overview on the impact of the current Multi-State Average Rate Structure (“MARS”) system, the Company’s views on the attributes of a successful IP CTS rate structure going forward and why a tiered rate structure is preferable to a single-rate structure. ClearCaptions presented its views from a cost and margin perspective on both rate structures. Finally, the Company reiterated the benefits of the four-tiered rate model that ClearCaptions had previously submitted to the Commission for its consideration.


With respect to ASR, the Company noted that any revised IP CTS rate structure needs to permit investment in ASR. Finally, ClearCaptions discussed its perceptions on ASR readiness and its own efforts and potential timelines for its ASR product being commercially available.

ClearCaptions provided the attached slides in conjunction with the foregoing discussions.²

Finally, the Company reiterated that it looks forward to participating in the process for developing a restructured IP CTS rate methodology.

This filing is made in accordance with Section 1.1206(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules.³

Respectfully submitted,



Paul C. Besozzi
Steven F. Lederman
Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
2550 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
202-457-5292
202-626-6283
paul.besozzi@squirepb.com
steven.lederman@squirepb.com

Counsel to ClearCaptions, LLC

cc: Travis Litman
Claude Aiken

² The slides contain Highly Confidential Information subject to the *Second Protective Order*.

³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b)(1).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
October 2, 2017
Page 3

Zenji Nakazawa
Karen Peltz Strauss
Robert Aldrich
Susan Bahr
Eliot Greenwald
Michael Scott
David Schmidt
TRS Reports

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Single Tier vs Multi-Tier and ASR

September 27 & 28, 2017



At Home



At Work



On The Go

CONFIDENTIAL INTERNAL DOCUMENTS

PRIVILEGED MATERIALS – DO NOT FORWARD THIS PRESENTATION OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Overview

- The current MARS rate is resulting in dominant providers earning excessive margins, thereby allowing those providers to overinvest in growth and expansion, which places additional demand on the Fund
- A successful rate structure ensures market competition, drives efficiencies, limits excessive margins, and drives innovation
 - A Single Tier Rate cannot, by its very nature, achieve all of these objectives
 - Multi-Tiered Rates allow for greater margin control, while at the same time enabling competition and innovation
- The rate structure needs to allow for investment in ASR ***BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION*** ***END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION***

Industry Cost Curve

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- As can be seen in the above chart, IPCTS has significant fixed costs that must be overcome with volume; as providers gain sufficient volume, these fixed costs become a much smaller % of their overall operating expense
- In an industry where a single provider owns close to 50% market share, the other providers, and more significantly the smaller emerging providers, do not have enough market weight to move the industry weighted average

Single Tier Rate At MARS Results in Excessive Margins

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- While the MARS rate does provide a near break-even point for emerging providers, it also creates an environment where the dominant providers earn margins in excess of 50% –
Competition Loses

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Single Tiered Rate at Industry Weighted Average Results in Loss of Competition

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- Setting the rate at \$1.45, which is the industry weighted average + 12%, results in at least one provider earning margins exceeding 26%, and at the same time, at least one provider being eliminated from the market – Competition Loses

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Multi-Tiered Rates Allow for Competition and Ensure Reasonable Operating Margins

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

A multi-tiered rate methodology drives efficiencies and ensures providers only earn reasonable margins, thus preventing providers from overinvesting in growth and allowing for market competition. It also allows providers to invest and innovate.

Benefits of a 4 Tier Model

	<u>CY 2017</u>	<u>Avg. Realized Rate</u>
Minutes	377,985,187	
MARS Payments	\$ 735,823,763	\$1.95
4 Tier Payments	\$ 590,267,774	\$1.56
Savings to the Fund	\$ 145,555,989	

**Based on ClearCaptions estimated Industry minutes for CY 2017*

Benefits of ClearCaptions 4 Tier Model:

- For CY 2017, would have saved the fund approximately \$146M
- Enables efficient, competitive providers to remain financially viable
- Better aligns rates with actual provider costs, while achieving a reasonable operating margin for the industry
- Establishes a consistent and reliable glide path, while adjusting to market realities – share distribution, scale economies and provider costs
- Ensures providers continue to seek efficiencies as they gain scale
- Allows providers to invest in innovation and quality

Automatic Speech Recognition

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

ASR

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

ASR

BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION