October 3, 2016

SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Docket 02-6

Re: Appeal of Calera Public Schools (BEN: 140303), for denial of FY 2014 Application 967209 FRN 2631215

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(a), Calera hereby respectfully submits this appeal of decisions by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to deny FRN 2608287 for Funding Year 2014.

Contact:

Chris Webber
CRW Consulting
P.O. Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713
chris@crwconsulting.com
918.445.0048
Consultant for Calera

The reason for denial on the FCDL:

"The FRN is denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order, that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to the prices available commercially and stated that 'there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, absent extenuating circumstances.'"

Signed:

_____/s/_____

Chris Webber
Owner
CRW Consulting LLC
PO Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170
918.445.0048
chris@crwconsulting.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Calera Public Schools (Calera or the District) hereby respectfully requests that the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) reverse its decision to deny Schools and Libraries (E-rate) universal service funding to Calera for its FRN 2631215 on 471 Application Number 967209 for Funding Year 2014.

USAC denied the District's request for funding because USAC claims that the District did not select the most cost-effective bidder to provide its Internet access services. To the contrary, as the discussion below will explain, the District satisfied all of the program's competitive bidding rules and selected the most cost-effective services, when it considered price and its other evaluation criteria. USAC's use of a bright-line standard is contrary to Commission precedent stating no such bright-line test exists, and, regardless, *Ysleta* is not applicable here.

Upholding the denials of these applications will preclude a fair and open competitive bidding process in which all bids are fairly evaluated, render the competitive bidding process meaningless and will force schools to select a lower-cost bid, even if not the most cost-effective, contrary to program rules – and possibly their own competitive bidding requirements. For practical purposes, this ruling by USAC will make price the only factor that matters in the E-rate competitive bidding process. That will result in many applicants selecting services that do not provide the best value for them or, therefore, the E-rate program. Such an outcome would not serve the E-rate program or statutory goals. Thus, we respectfully ask USAC to reverse its decision and grant funding to the District for the funding request at issue.

II. BACKGROUND

Calera is a small, rural school district in southern Oklahoma. The District has approximately 730 students and the district has one IT person on staff.[1]

For Funding Year 2014 the District filed a 470 requesting bids for Internet access and additional services.[2] The District also released an Invitation for Competitive Bids (IFCB – also known as a Request for Proposal or RFP) on November 14th, 2013.[3] Included in this RFP were requests for Internet access and additional services.

The District evaluated 3 for the Internet access portion of the RFP: Meet Point Networks and Chickasaw Long Distance, and Windstream.[4] After carefully evaluating the bids received, the District selected Meet Point Networks to provide their Internet access under a multi-year contract[5].

On April 27th, 2016 USAC issued a Funding Commitment Decision Letter that denied the funding request for Meet Point services on FRN 2608287.[6] The reason for the denial states:

"The FRN is denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order, that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to the prices available commercially and stated that 'there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, absent extenuating circumstances."

---

[1] Affidavit of Monica Partain, paragraph 5

[2] FCC Form 470 # 174540001165127 (FY 2014 Form 470).

[3] FY 2013 RFP, Exhibit 1.

[4] Exhibit 2, Bids Received.

[5] Exhibit 3, FCC Form 471 #967209

[6] Exhibit 4, Funding Commitment Decision Letter, dated 4/27/2016.

Quapaw received USAC Appeal Denial Letters for 2014 on August 8, 2016.[7]

By this letter, the District appeals USAC's decision to rescind its funding commitments. Commission rules allow 60 days for the filing of an appeal to the FCC.[8] Because this appeal is filed within 60 days of USAC's decision, it is timely filed.

III. BECAUSE CALERA SELECTED THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE SERVICES, ITS E-RATE APPLICATION FOR FY 2014 SHOULD BE RE-INSTATED

Federal Communications Commission rules require applicants to seek competitive bids for all services and equipment eligible for E-rate discounts.[9] Applicants are required to "carefully consider all bids submitted" and to select "the most cost-effective service offering" using the price of eligible goods and services as the primary factor.[10] Under section 54.511(a) of the Commission's rules, an applicant "may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices" submitted by providers to determine which service offering is the most cost-effective, so long as price is the primary factor considered.[11]

The Commission's *Tennessee Order* ruled there is a presumption of cost-effectiveness when the applicant meets all of the requirements of the competitive bidding process and when the applicant pays its share of the costs.[12] Nevertheless, USAC alleges that the District did not select the most cost-effective service offering. USAC claims that the District's selection of

---

[7] Administrator's Decision Letter for 2014, Exhibit 5.

[8] 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(a); 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(b).

[9] *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.503(a)-(b) (2014). *See also In the Matter of Fed.-State Joint Bd. on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, FCC 97-157 at ¶ 480 (1997) (*First Universal Service Order*) (finding that "fiscal responsibility compels us to require that eligible schools and libraries seek competitive bids for all services eligible for [E-rate] discounts.").

[10] *Id*. at § 54.511(a) (2012) and (2014). *See also* 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.503(c)(2)(vii), 54.504(a)(1)(xi) (2012) (requiring applicants to certify on FCC Forms 470 and 471 respectively that the most cost-effective bid will be or was selected).

[11] 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

[12] *Tennessee Order* at ¶¶ 9-12 .

services that cost more than two times another bid violates the Commission's directive in *Ysleta*.[13] The "standard" used by USAC, however, has never been adopted by the Commission as a bright-line standard for cost-effectiveness. USAC is also applying this standard to compare bids that provide different service components (that are eligible). Further, the dicta in *Ysleta* is not applicable to this case.

A. Calera Followed E-rate Competitive Bidding Rules to Select the Most Cost-Effective Bid, Contrary to USAC's Allegations.

In the *Universal Service Order* establishing the E-rate program, the Commission agreed with the recommendation of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service that schools and libraries should not be required to choose the lowest-priced service but instead should be allowed the "'**maximum flexibility**' to take service quality into account and to choose the offering or offerings that meets their needs 'most effectively and efficiently.'"[14] In the *Second Report and Order*, the Commission codified the requirement that price must be the primary factor when applicants analyze bids they have received.[15]

---

[13] *See* Funding Commitment Decision Letter; *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District El Paso, Texas, et al.,* Order, FCC 03-313, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, n. 138 (2003) (*Ysleta Order*).

[14] *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service,* CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, at ¶ 481 (1997) (*Universal Service Order*) (quoting the Joint Board's recommendation).

[15] *See Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 9202, FCC 03-101 (2003) (codifying 47 C.F.R. §54.511(a)) (*Second Report and Order*); *see also School and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Fifth Report and Order and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 15808 (2004) (codifying 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii) and 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c)(1)(xi)) (*Fifth Report and Order*).

Significantly, the Commission's rules have never required schools and libraries to select a provider offering a lower price, even among bids for comparable service.[16] Given that price, as a category, only has to be weighted one point higher than any other category,[17] however, it is quite likely that a vendor could be awarded fewer points in the cost category yet still win the bid based on points earned in the technical (non-price) categories. In fact, the Commission has stated repeatedly that price cannot be the only factor for the obvious reason that "price cannot be properly evaluated without consideration of what is being offered."[18]

The District met the Commission's requirements by giving more weight to price than to any other factor it used in the selection process and by appropriately awarding points in the other non-cost factors. The bid evaluation sheets used by the District allotted a maximum of 40 points for the price of eligible goods and services – more than twice the amount of the only other evaluation criteria: Service History.[19]

Calera evaluated three bids for its Internet access services. Windstream received 30 total points, Chickasaw Long Distance received 35 points and Meet Point received a total of 49 points.[20]

Most importantly, Calera considered the quality of service, as the Commission explicitly recognized in *Tennessee*, and selected the bid that met its needs "most effectively and

---

[16] *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9029, para. 481 (1997) (subsequent history omitted) (*Universal Service Order*). *See also Tennessee Order* at ¶ 9 ("Even among bids for comparable services, however, this does not mean that the lowest bid must be selected.").

[17] If, for example, a school assigns 10 points to reputation and 10 points to past experience, the school would be required to assign at least 11 points to price. *See Ysleta Order* at ¶ 50, n. 138.

[18] *Tennessee Order* at ¶ 8.

[19] Bid Evaluation Sheets, Exhibit 6.

[20] *Id.*

efficiently."[21] To meet the needs of its students and teachers, Calera required an Internet access service that provided strong network security.[22] These services and the direct line of communication with the principals at Meet Point are especially important to a school district that had only one person on staff to handle IT issues. That one IT person, Monica Partain, is responsible for 257 computers, 6 servers, 9 switches, 68 printers, 41 projectors 41 smartboards and 8 copiers.[23] Calera felt that it was essential that it had a company that could resolve any issues in the most expeditious manner possible. It was not beneficial for the district to have a service that required a lot of staff time in the restoration process. When the Internet is down, the teacher cannot skip a lesson or wait until next week when the Internet is working again. Every minute of classroom time is valuable, especially with the demands upon the education system today. Similarly, online testing cannot be pushed to a different time. Therefore, service quality (and the ability to quickly restore that service) is an essential component of the selection process.

Meet Point scored the maximum number of points for Service History because the District had purchased Meet Point's services as a sub-contractor (NewNet 66) for Windstream in the previous year. The District knew that when evaluating Meet Points bid, that the same people (Mike Pennell and Beverly Fielding) would be providing the additional levels of customers support and firewall services that they had previously purchased from Windstream. The District was very pleased with Mike and Beverly's customer service and had a good working relationship with them. The staff at Meet Point had been responsible for initiation of the Internet services; configuration of the router; determining the cause of any issues with the services and resolving those issues; and the configuration, administration and issue-resolution of email services. Their

---

[21] *Tennessee Order* at ¶ 9

[22] Partain Aff. Para. 9(iv)

[23] *Id.*

work ethic demonstrated a commitment to providing the best services for the District. As the Commission has noted, "[A] school should have the flexibility to select different levels of services, to the extent such flexibility is consistent with that school's technology plan and ability to pay for such services."[24] The quality of service and responsiveness when problems arise are especially important to small districts that have few employees focusing on technology.

Meet Point's bid was different from the Chickasaw Long Distance; they offered services not offered by the Chickasaw: onsite tech support, and firewall services.[25] As noted by the Commission, applicants cannot properly consider price without consideration of what services are being offered. Here, Meet Point offered additional services that Chickasaw did not include in their bid proposal.

Remarkably, Calera's did evaluate bids comparing the same, eligible Internet access services. For Funding Year 2013, Calera had purchased 50 Mb Internet access from Windstream with a "New Net66" package of services. This included on-site turn up, on-site tech support and firewall services, provided by the same people that went on to form Meet Point networks. Although the Meet Point bid is for 100 Mb and the Windstream proposal is for 50 Mb, this **is a direct "apples to apples" comparison – the exact same services, provided by the same people, were evaluated by the District**. When breaking the price down to a per Mb level, Windstream was $267.30 per Mb, Meet Point was $85.34 per Mb.

Calera evaluated the Internet access providers based on categories that it determined were important. That evaluation led Calera to select the service provider with the offer that best met the District's needs. It choose Meet Point because it determined that the price (considering what was being offered), service history, quality of the service, and ability to quickly restore outages

[24] *Tennessee,* Para. 9

[25] Partain Aff. Para 15b

were superior to that of the other bidders – as allowed and encouraged by Commission orders and E-rate program rules.

B. The Commission Has Never Established a Bright-Line Standard, as USAC Has Done Here.

After adopting the guidance on cost-effectiveness in *Tennessee*, the Commission declined to adopt a bright-line standard for cost-effectiveness. In the *Third Report and Order* – released two weeks after *Yselta* – and in a paragraph directly referencing *Ysleta,* the Commission specifically noted it did not have a bright-line test for cost-effectiveness: "***Nor do our rules expressly establish a bright line test for what is a 'cost effective' service***."[26] The Commission has twice sought comment on whether to adopt specific standards or provide additional guidance with respect to this rule, but has so far declined to do so.[27]

---

[26] *See, e.g., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-323, at ¶ 87 (*Third Report and Order*) ("Our rules do not expressly require, however, that the applicant consider whether a particular package of services are the most cost effective means of meeting its technology needs. Nor do our rules expressly establish a bright line test for what is a "cost effective" service."); *Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries*, WC Docket No. 13-184, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 13-100, at ¶ 213 (*Modernization NPRM)* ("[W]e seek to refresh the record on whether we should adopt bright line tests, benchmark or formula for determining the most cost-effective means of meeting an applicant's technology needs."). It is notable, however, that the Commission appeared to focus on situations where no bid or only one bid was received, and those situations where applicants are selected expensive priority one services simply because they are supported, even though they are unnecessary or when less expensive services would fill the same need. *Modernization NPRM* at ¶¶ 203, 212-213.

[27] In 2003, in the *Third Report and Order*, the Commission sought comment on whether it should codify additional rules to ensure that applicants make informed and reasonable decisions in deciding for which services they will seek discounts. *Third Report and Order*, at ¶ 87. In the *Modernization NPRM*, the FCC sought comment on adopting new standards for cost-effectiveness. *Modernization Order*, at ¶¶ 211-216. In the *First Modernization Order*, the Commission provided limited guidance related to the showing of cost-effectiveness necessary to receive funding for data plans for wireless devices and wireless air cards providing Internet access. The Commission ruled the wireless services are not cost-effective if they are duplicating service already being provided. *Id.* at ¶ 151.

Contrary to these Commission declarations, however, USAC points to *Ysleta* as support for stating that Calera's services are not cost-effective, by stating that the services selected through Calera's competitive bidding process were more than two times the Chickasaw bid. There are several problems with USAC's reliance upon *Ysleta* here. First, USAC appears to be establishing a bright-line rule even though the Commission has expressly stated that it has not adopted a bright-line standard.[28] As USAC is aware, USAC cannot interpret Commission rules.[29] As such, USAC should not use a bright-line standard of "two times" other bids to determine that services selected through Calera's competitive bidding process are not cost-effective. Further, the Commission directed USAC to review its approach to cost-effectiveness reviews and then share the information with applicants and services providers before it attempts to implement a new approach, with oversight performed by the Wireline Competition Bureau and the Office of the Managing Director.[30] As of the date of filing this appeal, USAC has not provided this information. It is a potential violation of the Administrative Procedure Act and, at a minimum, fundamentally unfair to applicants to adopt a new standard of review and simply not tell the applicants what the standard is before holding them to it. In fact, the Commission should seek comment in a rulemaking process to establish a new standard, as it has done twice before without adopting such a standard. As the Commission has recognized by seeking comment on this issue, the Commission should adopt an order revising its own precedent if it desires to do so.[31]

---

[28] *See Third Report and Order* at ¶ 87; *Modernization NPRM* at ¶ 213.

[29] 47 C.F.R. § 54. 702(c).

[30] *Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries*, WC Docket No. 13-184, *Connect America Fund*, WC Docket No. 90-90, Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, FCC 15-189 (2014) at ¶ 126.

[31] *Third Report and Order*, ¶ 87; *Modernization NPRM*, at ¶¶ 213.

Second, *Ysleta*'s facts are not applicable to this situation. The Commission in *Ysleta* analyzed a competitive bidding process in which the school district received one or no bids.[32] Calera sought bids through the FCC Form 470 process for its E-rate eligible services. In *Ysleta*, the Commission stated – in dicta – that a price for a piece of *equipment* two to three times "the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances."[33] The example the Commission gave in *Ysleta* was of a piece of equipment. Equipment, unlike services, are commodities and more easily comparable. Even so, people often make purchasing decisions based on the quality of the brand of the product. The same is true – and even more so – for services. Evaluations of competing services are, of course, different than evaluating bids for the same piece of equipment. When evaluating a service, Applicants will have to consider the reliability of the service, the ability of the service provider to restore service in downtimes (including the technical expertise of the staff), and if the service provides the elements the Applicant would be purchasing (for example, are we really getting the amount of Internet access we have ordered?). Accordingly, USAC should not use *Ysleta* to support its analysis when comparing services, especially when the bids are different and include different, eligible services – such as on-site technical support and firewall services. As described above, Calera compared the quality of services of Meet Point with the services provided by Chickasaw Long Distance and reached the conclusion that Meet Point's services were superior.

Third, the *Ysleta* decision does not establish a standard that applicants are precluded from selecting bids that are twice as expensive as "the lowest bid." The standard in *Ysleta* is "two or three times" the prices that are ***commercially available*** for those services,[34] which begs the

---

[32] *Ysleta* at ¶ 54.

[33] *Id.*

[34] *Id.*

question: *What would have been the pricing of the Chickasaw Long Distance bid had they included the additional,* ***eligible*** *services that Meet Point provides, or if the Chickasaw bid included the level of expertise of the Meet Point staff?* Of course, the answer to that question is "unknown" which means comparing these two bids using the *Yselta* standard is a moot exercise and is not a fair evaluation of what is and is not cost effective. We note that when comparing apples to apples, or Internet service packages that included the same offered services (Meet Point bid vs. the Windstream bid) the district went with the lowest price per Mb.

Is Meet Point's bid "too expensive" for USAC to fund? We disagree with the conclusion that it is. The only way to determine if the bid is "too expensive" is to compare it to other commercially available services. USAC did not compare Meet Point's bid, which provided for different levels of support (cell phone numbers for the principals, on-site support and turn up) and different services (firewall services) than the other bidders, to other similar, commercially available offerings. USAC, in trying to make that determination could have surveyed local providers to determine what the commercially reasonable local price would be for a similar set of services (both scope and quality), or USAC could have used existing information they have gathered via 471 submissions about similar Internet access services provided in Oklahoma. We believe the price that Meet Point charges, given the level of support, the technical expertise of their staff and additional services offered, is commercially reasonable.

Finally, the Commission in *Ysleta* was also describing a situation in which there was only one bidder, and therefore no competitive bidding, thus precluding the applicant from any comparison of services or price.[35] In such a case, the applicant is at the mercy of the service provider's pricing and does not have a choice as to providers. Calera was not held hostage to

[35] *Id.*

one provider. It received multiple bids and made a reasoned judgment regarding the services and comparative costs that met its needs through its competitive bidding process.

The reason that Calera selected a more expensive service provider – even though funding for schools is tight in Oklahoma – is that a properly functioning Internet service is critical to the success of its students. The factors considered by the District all relate to whether the Internet access service will function as expected or be repaired as quickly as possible, in addition to keeping the network safe. Internet access services are as important to Calera as its other utilities, including heat and water. With the way the curriculum is structured, the schools simply cannot function if the Internet is not accessible. It is not cost-effective for either the District or the E-rate program to pay for an Internet service – no matter how inexpensive it is – that does not further the goal of providing students with access to greater educational opportunities. Further, the District believed it was cost-effective for its needs as a small, rural district, to pay extra for a service that included enhanced levels of support and protection (*i.e.*, the firewall). Calera chose the service provider that was most cost-effective for its needs.

C. USAC's Decision in This Case Undermines Program Policies and Goals

Application of USAC's decision on a consistent basis will not further E-rate program policies and goals. First, it will force applicants in some cases to select a provider that does not offer the most cost-effective services for the applicants' needs – and likely could cause applicants to perform a disingenuous bid review process. Second, this decision could require applicants to weight price more heavily in the bid evaluation process – which is not required by Commission rules – in order to try to meet USAC's newly created standard. Finally, the District will suffer significant harm if its funding is denied.

First, USAC's attempt to second-guess the work of the evaluator will force applicants to select a lower-priced offering, regardless of quality or other relevant criteria, so they will not be subject to second-guessing months or years after the conclusion of the competitive bidding process. To prevent this potential denial of funding, applicants will be forced to select a lower-price bidder, notwithstanding their review of the vendors' bids using the other factors important to the individual applicants.

Using such a standard will lead to a disingenuous bidding process. Applicants are required to consider all valid bids received.[36] Is it really USAC's position that an applicant must evaluate a bid that is two times more expensive than the other bids, but that bid (under USAC's interpretation of *Yselta*) must always lose? Are applicants supposed to manipulate the evaluation process so that the more expensive vendor receives fewer points, notwithstanding the reviewer's actual analysis of the bid responses? A fair and open competitive bidding process cannot have pre-determined outcomes. Such a result could cause applicants to violate their own competitive bidding requirements. Further, what is the point of allowing the applicant the "maximum flexibility" to consider service history, quality of service, or other reasonable factors of a bid that USAC has pre-determined must always lose? An applicant that follows all of its own state and local procurement rules should not be prohibited from selecting a bid that meets its needs, but for a non-codified standard that USAC has decided to impose. If it is truly the intention that bids that are twice as much as the lowest bid are, on face, not cost-effective and should never win, then the program should explicitly allow applicants to disqualify those bids before the bid evaluation process begins, even if no disqualification factors are listed by the applicant in the FCC Form 470 and/or RFP. As it stands right now, applicants are required by FCC rules to

[36] 47 C.F.R. § 54. 511(a).

evaluate all bids received and applicants do not have the authority to disqualify bids that are twice as expensive as the lowest bid received.

Second, USAC's process to determine cost-effectiveness is flawed. USAC's current interpretation of Ysleta places the applicant in an untenable positon - the applicant is required to evaluate all bids, required to use specific bid criteria weighted in a specific manner and conduct an open and fair competitive bidding process. Even when an applicant complies with all of these rules and follows all of the approved processes, if a bid is awarded the most points and determined to be the best fit for the applicant's needs, but is twice as much as a lower bid, what can an applicant do? The applicant can't simply throw out the bid or disqualify it – not only would the winning bidder have legal recourse against the applicant should the applicant throw out that bid, but the applicant could very well be in violation of local or state competitive bidding rules for not proceeding with the bid that was awarded the most points. Under USAC's interpretation of Ysleta, that bid should never win, but using the FCC's competitive bidding process and rules it did. What is the point of following all of the competitive bidding rules if it produces an outcome that USAC won't fund?

There are no allegations of competitive bidding rule violations by the District. USAC's concerns about cost-effectiveness seem better directed at the bid evaluation process that produced an outcome that USAC deems too expensive (perhaps the Commission should set more stringent procedures for weighting Price of Eligible Goods and Services at 50% of the total available points) than directing those concerns at the District. How can a winning bid be determined to be "too expensive" by USAC if the applicant properly evaluated price (and correctly awarded points) according to the Commission's rules and procedures?

Third, USAC's denial suggests the price differential should have been weighted more heavily than the District weighted it. To reach such a result, USAC is effectively overruling Commission precedent that only requires that pricing be given at least one more point than any other individual category.[37]

At a minimum, USAC's decision here substitutes its judgment on the merits of the competitive bidding process for that of the District. When the Commission established the rules for the E-rate program in 1997, it stressed that a fundamental principle would be the determination of local needs by local decision-makers regarding what services would work best for that school or school district.[38] It did not try to impose a top-down regime where the federal government decided the merits of each service choice of a particular school or district. The idea was that the thousands of schools and districts would know their own technology needs better than the federal government. The Commission has not wavered from this principle. If this decision stands, USAC would be free to evaluate the merits of the respective bidders without the knowledge that applicants have regarding service quality, service history, personnel qualifications, and the value they are receiving for the services purchased. There is simply no way USAC can make a proper evaluation of the bids without that information. In this case,

---

[37] As described above, USAC appears to be going beyond Commission precedent to establish a new standard without basis in Commission precedent. USAC, however, is not authorized by the Commission to interpret Commission rules. Under the Commission's rules, USAC "may not make policy, interpret unclear provisions of the statute or rules, or interpret the intent of Congress." 47 C.F.R. § 54.702(c). To the extent the Commission's rules are unclear, USAC has no authority to act without first seeking guidance from the Commission. *See id.* Moreover, the District proceeded entirely in accordance with Commission precedent when it evaluated relevant factors other than price. As a result, USAC has acted outside its authority by finding that Quapaw, despite having strictly followed the Commission's rules and precedent, failed to adhere to the Commission's requirements. Furthermore, if the Commission decides that a revision to the rule would advance program goals, such an interpretation should be provided by the Commission before it is applied, and following a notice-and-comment rulemaking.

[38] *Universal Service Order* at ¶¶ 481, 574.

while Calera has attempted to provide that information in responses to USAC's reviews, it appears that USAC has discounted the information or failed to take it into consideration, focusing exclusively on the price of the services.

D. If USAC Still Finds the Services Were Not Cost-Effective, USAC Should Commit Funding for Calera at a Level That Is Cost-Effective

USAC should, at a minimum, approve part of Calera's funding request. There is precedent for such an approach. In the *Fifth Report and Order*, the Commission provided direction for USAC for recovery of funding when it was improperly disbursed.[39] Cost-effectiveness is not directly addressed in that order.[40] However, some of the other illustrations provide guidance for the cost-effectiveness rule. If a carrier charges the beneficiary "an inflated price," the *Fifth Report and Order* directs that USAC should recover amounts disbursed in excess of what similar situated customers are normally charged in the marketplace."[41] Similarly, here, if the standard is that cost two times other pricing is not cost-effective, then, by implication, a price 1.9 times the cost is cost-effective. As such, USAC could calculate the cost of the eligible service at 1.9 times that of a lower price and fund that amount for Calera. In addition, the Commission has ruled that, when two providers are providing the same service and one is

---

[39] *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Fifth Report and Order and Order, FCC 04-190 (2004) at ¶¶ 15-44 (*Fifth Report and Order*).

[40] *Id.* The Commission states that full recovery is appropriate for competitive bidding violations. However, this is not a competitive bidding violation. USAC found no issues with the competitive bidding process; it disagreed with the outcome. There are no allegations that the process was not fair and open, price was not the primary factor or that bids were not solicited for at least four weeks.

[41] *Fifth Report and Order* at ¶ 30. The Commission also discusses situations in which the beneficiary has requested a "clearly excessive" level of support. That situation is not applicable here, as the examples are those when the beneficiary is requesting a number of lines or equipment that is beyond what is necessary. There is no dispute here that the District requires this level of capacity for broadband services, nor are there any allegations that these services are duplicative or redundant.

less expensive, the applicant shall be reimbursed for its Internet connection at the lower rate.[42] Following that logic, USAC could reimburse the applicant at the rates offered by a different provider. Such an approach would minimize the harm caused by USAC's delay in determining it had an issue with Calera's selection of Meet Point as its service provider.

* * *

For the reasons stated above, the District respectfully requests that USAC reconsider its initial decision and grant its funding requests for FY 2014. As the foregoing has demonstrated, the District met the Commission requirements for competitive bidding, and selected the most cost-effective bid available to meet its needs.

[42] *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, Requests for Review by Macomb Intermediate School District, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 07-64 at ¶ 9 (2007). This rule is applicable when the applicant could have purchased all of the services from one provider at the lower rate but chose not to, and when the services provided do not exceed the total capacity required.

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Invitation for Competitive Bid (AKA: RFP)

Exhibit 2: Bids Received

Exhibit 3: 471 Application

Exhibit 4: FCDL

Exhibit 5: ADL

Exhibit 6: Bid Evaluation Sheets

Affidavit

Before the Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter Of	)	
	)	
	)	
Schools and Libraries	)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Universal Service Support Mechanism	)	
	)	
Request for Review and/or Waiver	)	Application Number: 967209
By Calera School District 48	)	
of the Funding Decisions by the	)	
Universal Service Administration Company	)	

Affidavit of Calera School District 48

I, Monica Partain, swear:

BACKGROUND

1. I have been the Technology Coordinator for Calera Public School since August 2010 with numerous responsibilities that include but not limited to the following: selecting appropriate software for student use, selecting school bandwidth need, determining the appropriate computer needs, selecting software and hardware providers, and providing reports to administration.
2. I have an Associate Degree in Microcomputers and have college hours toward a bachelor's degree. I have been employed at Grayson County College as a lab tech and a Computer/Financial Aid Specialist.
3. On average our school district provides education to around 730 students. We are currently made up of nine building and are looking to expand due to the growth in population.
4. Currently, I am the only person employed by the school for technology assistance.

5. It is important that our school has an internet provider that has a good service history, due to school being dependent on using the internet to interact with parents through email, gradebook and texting through our website. We also use many online programs from student resource tools to state testing as well as our student information program that sends student data to the state department. I am responsible for 257 computers, 6 servers, 9 switches, 68 printers, 41 projectors, 41 smartboards, and 8 copiers, so it made sense for us to purchase a more expensive Internet access contract from Meet Point, because they included additional customer support.

IMPORTANCE OF TECHNOLOGY

6. When the internet down it is not possible for up to date student information to be sent to the state department.
7. It is imperative that our school use an internet service provider that is reliable due to the fact that we offer online courses, video conference courses, our online student information database, state testing and remedial course classes. Without the internet our students lose class time that could affect their state test scores.
8. In the past we have used internet providers that would sell us 50MBS, however when a speed test was done we were only able to receive 29MBS. We have also had companies in the past that it was impossible to get tech support from.

THE PROCUREMENT

9. In 6 years as Technology Coordinator I see the technology school needs as the following:

i. We needed affordable connectivity sufficient to handle our needs, which right now we are able to stay at 100MBS but will need more as the school grows.

ii. We needed reliable connectivity to support the learning and teaching experiences; and

iii. We needed quality connectivity to assure that the schools received content appropriate to their needs, and filtered out context that was inappropriate.

iv. We needed network protections (i.e., firewall) sufficient to protect the network from third- party spam, attacks, and viruses.

v. We needed to ensure that, if the network went down, our provider would be available to assist with restoring service as soon as possible.

10. Accordingly, I developed a chart that would evaluate the service providers who bid on these desired characteristics.

11. I received a list of possible categories from our consultant, CRW Consulting, but I determined which categories we would use for evaluation of the bids. CRW Consulting did not have any other role in the competitive bidding process.

12. The competitive bidding process was fair and open. Meet Point did not have any role in the development of the RFP nor did it have any information not available to any other bidder.

13. When selecting a provider I look at quality of service, reliability and cost of items.

THE EVALUATION PROCESS

14. For the evaluation process I reviewed each bid on customer service reliability and cost based on the bids that I received.

15. Points awarded were based on a point scale of 1-50.

    a. I awarded Meet Point higher in service history because I have worked with their company, NewNet66 in the past, their customer service is never closed and I awarded Chickasaw lower points due to the information about their customer service hours listed on their website.

    b. Meet Point's bid included firewall services that Chickasaw's bid did not include. Being behind a firewall is very important to a school because our student database includes student's social security numbers and date of births not to mention the schools payroll program.

USAC REVIEW OF THESE APPLICATIONS

16. I have the followed the same process every year.

17. As I understand the standard, we were to make a choice of the most "cost-effective" provider. Accordingly, we evaluated the quality of the services offered and the price of those services.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Monica Partain
209N Third St
Calera OK 74730

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd day of June, 2016.

[Seal]

SHAWN L. ALLMON
NOTARY
#10000523
PUBLIC
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Notary Public

Exhibit 1: Invitation for Competitive Bid (AKA: RFP)

IFCB Posted
14 November 2013

Calera School District 48

District Address

PO Box 386

Calera, OK 74730

IFCB ID: 174540001165127

IFCB Deadline:

12 December 2013

Questions Due By:

05 December 2013

IFCB Requirements

- All Questions and Bids must be submitted using the on-line IFCB system. If for some reason the system is down before the respective deadline, please email your bid to info@crwconsulting.com or fax it to 918.445.0049. Bids or questions submitted in this fashion will be disqualified if the on-line system is active at the time of submission.
- Bidder must agree to participate in USF Program (AKA "E-rate") for the corresponding funding year.
- Please include the correct Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN) on your bid.
- By submitting a bid, bidder certifies that the bidder does have a valid (non-red light status) SPIN for the E-rate program at the time of submission. Should the Applicant discover that the bidder is on red light status, or if the FCC classifies the bidder as on red-light status before work is performed and invoices are paid, the contract will be null and void and the applicant will have no payment obligations to the bidder.
- Bidder is expected to provide the lowest corresponding price per E-rate rules. See http://www.usac.org/sl/service-providers/step02/lowest-corresponding-price.aspx for details.
- Contracts must not prohibit SPIN changes.
- Bidder must agree to provide the Applicant the choice of discount methods (SPI or BEAR).
- Bidder will be automatically disqualified if the District determines that the bidding company has offered any employee of the District any individual gift of more than $20 or gifts totaling more than $50 within a 12 month period.
- All contracts awarded will be contingent upon E-rate funding and final board approval. The applicant may choose to do all or part of the project upon funding notification.
- All contracts awarded under this IFCB bidding process may be voluntarily renewed by the applicant, upon written notice to the provider, for five consecutive one year terms.

Services and Equipment Requested

Internet Access - Minimum 50 Mb bandwidth, district considering up to 150 Mb bandwidth. Please include scalable quote/contract. Terminating address for this circuit is 209 N Third St, Calera 74730 (580)434.

Upload Bid

Questions Received with District Answers:

Submit a Question

No Data

Sign up for our newsletter :

Your Email

Submit

P.O. Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713
Voice: (918) 445-0048
Fax: (918) 445-0049

©2012 CRW Consulting

Powered by: Phillips360

Exhibit 2: Bids Received

Chickasaw
LONG DISTANCE

November 15, 2013

Calera School District 48
Mr. Webber
PO Box 386
Calera, OK 74730

RE: Form 470 Service Request 174540001165127

Dear Mr. Webber

Please find the Chickasaw Long Distance Company response to your Schools and Libraries Universal Service Form 470 Application for service.

Chickasaw Long Distance Company is responding to your request for providing high speed Ethernet Broadband Internet Service for your district. We can terminate our services via a direct fiber termination to your required demarc location. Please see our attached price quote.

We appreciate this opportunity to respond to your telecommunication service requirements. If you have any questions please call me on 580-622-0294.

Sincerely

Eric Johnson
Project Manager

Attachment (1)

Proposed Dedicated Ethernet Internet Circuit*

Optional Monthly Rates:

50Mbps	$2,025.00
100Mbps	$2,750.00
150Mbps	$3,650.00

Benefits:

1. Oklahoma owned and operated, with offices in Sulphur, Lone Grove, Stillwater, Oklahoma City, Tulsa, Ardmore, and Lawton
2. Service is delivered by a state of the art fiber optic network
3. Unlimited Facility/Staff Email accounts (Existing Email account addresses do NOT change!)
4. All email scanned for viruses
5. Domain Name Hosting, Web Page Hosting
6. Seamless/effortless integration from current ISP (Onenet, Cox, AT&T, or other)
7. 24X7X365 Network access and troubleshooting and/or reporting
8. Oklahoma Public School references available upon request Unlimited E-mail accounts to serve internal needs
9. Assistance in moving existing circuits, Guaranteed Throughput

*Pricing stated above is for the full bandwidth provided via one dedicated Ethernet fiber connection to the school.

PROPOSAL TO FURNISH: Internet Access
Chickasaw Long Distance Spin N0. 143004067
Calera School District 48
Form 470 Application No. 174540001165127

Meet Point Networks, LLC

P.O. Box 339
Bixby, OK 74008
www.meetpointnetworks.com

12/12/2013

Calera
Post Office Box 386
Calera, OK 74730

To whom it may concern,

In the following pages you will find a proposal for services prepared by Meet Point Networks, LLC for Calera. The proposal is in response to the district's posted ERate form 470. The proposal is for a Internet Access circuit.

Page 1 : Proposal of Services
Pages 2 - 4 : Pre-signed Service Agreement

We hope that you will take the time to consider our proposal. If the district finds the quote acceptable please sign and return (fax or email).

Please do not hesitate to contact us with any and all questions.

Mike Pennell
President
mpennell@meetpointnetworks.com
Pnone : 918-633-6896
Fax : 918-512-4400

Meet Point Networks, LLC
P.O. Box 339
Bixby, OK 74008

Voice 918-633-6896 - Fax 918-512-4400 - Web www.meetpointnetworks.com

SPIN# 143035519

Customer Service Proposal

Proposal Date : December 12, 2013

Proposal # 199

Customer Information

Calera
Post Office Box 386
Calera OK 74730

Meet Point Networks Rep
Mike Pennell (918)633-6896

Summary of Proposed Services : 100, 150 Mb Internet Access Circuit Quotes- Including Internet maintenance provided by NewNet 66.

***Any estimates, in this proposal, based on funding from the Oklahoma Universal Service Fund are subject to application and approval by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and any difference in actual OUSF funding and the monthly recurring charges shall be the responsibility of the customer.*

Proposed Services and Terms

***Taxes and Fees not Included*

	Service Description		Type	Qty	Term	Monthly	Annual	One Time
1	100 Mb	Internet Bandwidth	New	1	60	$8,534.00	$102,408.00	
2	150 Mb	Internet Bandwidth	New	1	60	$9,317.00	$111,804.00	

Internet Maintenance is provided by NewNet 66 and is included in the pricing above.
Internet Maintenance includes:
24 x 7 Internet Access Troubleshooting & Repair
On site visits to restore Internet Access, if necessary
Unlimited Email / 5Gb Web Hosting
For more information please visit NewNet 66's description of services overview at www.newnet66.org

Meet Point Networks, LLC
P.O. Box 339
Bixby, OK 74008

Voice 918-633-6896 - Fax 918-512-4400 - Web www.meetpointnetworks.com

Meet Point Networks Service Agreement

12/12/2013

SPIN# 143035519

Calera
Post Office Box 386
Calera OK 74730

Proposed Services : Please select desired service by checking a box below.

	Service Description		Type	Qty	Term	Monthly	Annual	One Time
☐	100 Mb	Internet Bandwidth	New	1	60	$8,534.00	$102,408.00	
☐	150 Mb	Internet Bandwidth	New	1	60	$9,317.00	$111,804.00	
☐								

By signing this Service Agreement, you represent that you are the authorized Customer representative and the above information is true and correct and you accept this Agreement. Both parties agree that each party may use electronic signatures to sign this Service Agreement.

Meet Point Networks may withdraw the proposal at any time prior to Customer signature. If within (30) days after Customer signature, Meet Point Networks determines that customer location is not serviceable under Meet Point Networks normal installation guidelines, Meet Point Networks may withdraw this Service Agreement without liability.

Customer Authorized Signature	Meet Point Networks Authorized Signature
	Mike Pennell
Signature	*Signature*
	Mike Pennell
Print	*Print*
	President 12/12/2013
Title or Position *Date*	*Title or Position* *Date*

Meet Point Networks, LLC
P.O. Box 339
Bixby, OK 74008

Voice 918-633-6896 - Fax 918-512-4400 - Web www.meetpointnetworks.com

Terms and Conditions

OUSF - Any estimates in this bid based on funding from the Oklahoma Universal Service Fund are subject to application and approval by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and any difference in actual OUSF funding and the monthly recurring charges shall be the responsibility of the customer.

E-Rate Customers - During the term of this contract, the applicant may choose any of the above service levels and upgrade to those levels upon written notice to Meet Point Networks. Meet Point Networks will determine the turn up time after the customer initiates the process.

The pricing is based upon a 60 month term. This contract represents a 12 month term with the option to renew four consecutive 12 month terms.

1. Tariffs/Service Guide If Customer is purchasing any Services that are regulated by the FCC or any state regulatory body ("Regulated Services"), then Customer's use of such Regulated Services is subject to the regulations of the FCC and the regulatory body of the state in which the Customer location receiving these Regulated Services is located (which regulations are subject to change), as well as the rates, terms, and conditions contained in tariffs on file with state and federal regulatory authorities. Termination fees include, but are not limited to, nonrecurring charges, charges paid to third parties on behalf of Customer, and the monthly recurring charges for the balance of the Term.

2. Service Start Date and Term This Agreement shall be effective upon execution by the parties. The "Initial Term" shall begin upon installation of Service and shall continue for the applicable Term commitment set forth on the Cover Page; provided that if Customer delays installation or is not ready to receive Services on the agreed-upon installation date, Meet Point Networks may begin billing for Services on the date Services would have been installed. Meet Point Networks shall use reasonable efforts to make the Services available by the requested service date. Meet Point Networks shall not be liable for damages resulting from delays in meeting service dates due to construction delays or reasons beyond its control. If Customer delays installation for a period of three (3) months or longer after the parties' execution of this Agreement, Meet Point Networks reserves the right to terminate this Agreement immediately at any time thereafter and Customer shall be responsible for the full amount of construction costs and any other related costs incurred by Meet Point Networks as of the date of termination. AFTER THE INITIAL TERM, THIS AGREEMENT SHALL AUTOMATICALLY RENEW FOR ONE (1) YEAR TERMS (EACH AN "EXTENDED TERM") UNLESS A PARTY GIVES THE OTHER PARTY WRITTEN TERMINATION NOTICE AT LEAST THIRTY (30) DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE INITIAL TERM OR THEN CURRENT EXTENDED TERM. "Term" shall mean the Initial Term and Extended Term (s), if any. Meet Point Networks reserves the right to increase rates for all Services by no more than ten percent (10%) during any Extended Term by providing Customer with at least sixty (60) days written notice of such rate increase. For the avoidance of doubt, promotional rates and promotional discounts provided to Customer will expire at the end of the Initial Term or earlier as set forth in the promotion language. Customer's payment for Service after notice of a rate increase will be deemed to be Customer's acceptance of the new rate.

3. Termination Customer may terminate any Service before the end of the Term selected by Customer on the Cover Page; provided, however, if Customer terminates any such Service before the end of the Term (except for breach by Meet Point Networks), unless otherwise expressly stated in the General Terms, Customer will be obligated to pay a termination fee equal to the nonrecurring charges (if unpaid) and 100% of the monthly recurring charges for the terminated Service(s) multiplied by the number of full months remaining in the Term. This provision survives termination of the Agreement. If Meet Point Networks is delivering Services via wireless network facilities and there is signal interference with any such Service(s), Meet Point Networks may terminate this Agreement without liability if Meet Point Networks cannot resolve the interference by using commercially reasonable efforts.

4. Payment Customer shall pay for all monthly Service charges, plus one- time activation and set up, and/or construction charges. Unless stated otherwise herein, monthly charges for Services shall begin upon installation of Service, and installation charges, if any, shall be due upon completion of installation. Any amount not received by the due date shown on the applicable invoice will be subject to interest or a late charge no greater than the maximum rate allowed by law. Customer acknowledges and agrees that if Customer fails to pay any amounts when due and fails to cure

such non-payment upon receipt of written notice of non-payment from Meet Point Networks, Customer will be deemed to have terminated this Agreement and will be obligated to pay the termination fee described in Section 5, above. If applicable to the Service, Customer shall pay sales, use, gross receipts, and excise taxes, access fees and all other fees, universal service fund assessments, bypass or other local, state and Federal taxes or charges, and deposits, imposed on the use of the Services. Taxes will be separately stated on Customer's invoice. No interest will be paid on deposits unless required by law.

5. Service and Installation Meet Point Networks shall provide Customer with the Services identified on the Cover Page and may provide related facilities and equipment, the ownership of which shall be retained by Meet Point Networks (the "Meet Point Networks Equipment"), or for certain Services, Customer, may purchase equipment from Meet Point Networks ("Customer Purchased Equipment"). Customer is responsible for damage to any facilities or equipment installed or provided by Meet Point Networks (the "Meet Point Networks Equipment"). Customer may use the Services for any lawful purpose, provided that such purpose (a) does not interfere or impair the Meet Point Networks network or Meet Point Networks Equipment and (b) complies with the AUP. Customer shall use the Meet Point Networks Equipment only for the purpose of receiving the Services. Customer shall use Customer Purchased Equipment in accordance with the terms of the related equipment purchase agreement. Unless provided otherwise herein, Meet Point Networks shall use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain the Services in accordance with applicable performance standards.
Contract is subject to availability of facilities and construction charges.

6. General Terms The General Terms are hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. Meet Point Networks, in its sole discretion, may modify, supplement or remove any of the General Terms from time to time, without additional notice to Customer, and any such changes will be effective upon Meet Point Networks publishing such changes on the Meet Point Networks web site. BY EXECUTING THIS AGREEMENT AND/OR USING OR PAYING FOR THE SERVICES, CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT IT HAS READ, UNDERSTOOD, AND AGREED TO BE BOUND BY THE GENERAL TERMS.

7. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY MEET POINT NETWORKS AND/OR ITS AGENTS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES FOR FAILURE TO FURNISH OR INTERRUPTION OF ANY SERVICES, NOR SHALL MEET POINT NETWORKS OR ITS AGENTS BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FAILURE OR ERRORS IN SIGNAL TRANSMISSION, LOST DATA, FILES OR SOFTWARE DAMAGE REGARDLESS OF THE CAUSE. MEET POINT NETWORKS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGE TO PROPERTY OR FOR INJURY TO ANY PERSON ARISING FROM THE INSTALLATION OR REMOVAL OF EQUIPMENT UNLESS CAUSED BY THE NEGLIGENCE OF MEET POINT NETWORKS. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES WILL MEET POINT NETWORKS BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOST PROFITS, ARISING FROM THIS AGREEMENT OR ITS PROVISION OF THE SERVICES.

8. WARRANTIES EXCEPT AS PROVIDED HEREIN, THERE ARE NO OTHER AGREEMENTS, WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, RELATING TO THE SERVICES. SERVICES PROVIDED ARE A BEST EFFORTS SERVICE AND MEET POINT NETWORKS DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE SERVICES, EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE SHALL BE ERROR-FREE OR WITHOUT INTERRUPTION. INTERNET SPEEDS WILL VARY. MEET POINT NETWORKS MAKES NO WARRANTY AS TO TRANSMISSION OR UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM SPEEDS OF THE NETWORK.

9. Public Performance. If Customer engages in a public performance of any copyrighted material contained in any of the Services, Customer, and not Meet Point Networks, shall be responsible for obtaining any public performing licenses at Customer's expense.

windstream.
301 N Main St. Suite 5000 Greenville, SC 29601-2153

Address Service Requested

☐Check Here for change of address (make changes on reverse)

1745006171 PRESORT SGL P3 C27 <03>
6171 1 SP 0.480

CALERA ISD 48
209 N 3RD ST
CALERA, OK 74730

Remittance Section

Account Number	4315898
Invoice Number	13142004
Invoice Date	11/20/2013
Total Due	$41,540.50
Due Date	12/15/2013
Amount Enclosed	$ _____

Please make Checks Payable to Windstream Nuvox Inc.

A 1.5% late payment charge will be applied to all past due balances.
Please remit payment to the address listed below.

Windstream Communications
PO Box 9001950
Louisville, KY 40290-1950

0000000000431589891311200004154050131120000013142004950 5

Please detach here and return the above portion with your payment

windstream.
smart solutions. personalized service.

Account Number	4315898
Invoice Number	13142004
Bill Date	11/20/2013

Account Summary

Balance Information

Previous Bill		28,175.50
Payments Applied		0.00
Credits Applied		0.00
Total Past Due Balance	**11/30/2013**	**$28,175.50**

Current Charges

Local Service Charges	13,338.05
Data Service Charges	26.95
Circuit Charges	0.00
Equipment for Services	0.00
Long Distance Charges	0.00
Equipment Rental and Installation Charges	0.00
Other Usage Charges	0.00
Other Charges and Credits	0.00
Fees and Surcharges	0.00
Taxes	0.00
CURRENT CHARGES	**$13,365.00**
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	**$41,540.50**

Important Messages

YOUR ACCOUNT IS DELINQUENT.
If payment in full is not received within fifteen (15) days of this notice, we will forward this matter to our representatives for collection. According to the terms of your agreement, "you are obligated to pay all costs and expenses of collections of any amounts due hereunder, including reasonable attorney's fees and interest". If your payment has already been remitted, thank you and please disregard this notice. If you have any questions regarding your account, please contact us immediately at 1-877-235-8552.

Per mo - $267.30

For Customer Care,
please call 800-600-5050
or visit us at www.WindstreamBusiness.com

Exhibit 3: 471 Application

FCC Form 471

Approval by OMB
3060-0806

Schools and Libraries Universal Service
Description of Services Ordered and Certification Form 471

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Response: 4 hours

This form is designed to help schools and libraries to list the eligible services they have ordered and estimate the annual charges for them so that the Fund Administrator can set aside sufficient support to reimburse providers for services.

Please read instructions before beginning this application. (You can also file online at www.usac.org/sl.)

The instructions include information on the deadlines for filing this application.

Applicant's Form Identifier (Create an identifier for your own reference)	Form 471 Application #:
Calera Y17	967209 (To be assigned by administrator)

Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications

1 Name of Billed Entity
CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48

2 Funding Year 2014

3a Entity Number 140303

3b FCC Registration Number 0011556081

4a Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number
THIRD & SMISER

City CALERA State OK Zip Code 74730-

4b Telephone Number (580) 434-5700

4c Fax Number (405) 434-5800

5a Type of Application (check only one)

- ○ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)
- ◉ School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g. diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)
- ○ Library (including library system, library outlet/branch or library consortium as defined under LSTA)
- ○ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia of schools and/or libraries)
- ○ Statewide application for (enter 2-letter state code) representing (check all that apply)
  - ☐ All public schools/districts in the state
  - ☐ All non-public schools in the state
  - ☐ All libraries in the state

5b Recipient(s) of Services:

☐ Private ☑ Public ☐ Charter
☐ Tribal ☐ Head Start ☐ State Agency

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued)

6a Contact Person's Name
Karla Hall or Chris Webber

If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as **Item 4** above, check here. ☐ If not, complete Item 6b.

6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number
NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form.
PO Box 701713

City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713

Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information. One box MUST be checked and an entry provided.

☐ **6c** Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048
☐ **6d** Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049
☑ **6e** E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM
Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM

6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact (if applicable) and alternate phone, fax or E-mail address

If a consultant is assisting you with your application process, please complete Item 6g below:

6g Consultant Name Karla Hall
Name of Consultant's Employer CRW Consulting
Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting
PO Box 701713
City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170
Consultant's Telephone Number (918) 445-0048 Ext.
Consultant's Fax Number (918) 445-0049
Consultant's E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com
Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com
Consultant Registration Number 16024800

Blocks 2 and 3 [Reserved]

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 4: Discount Calculation Worksheet **Worksheet - 1671533**
Page 1 of 1

The Block 4 worksheet is used to calculate your discount for services. You will complete one or more worksheets depending on the type of application you are filing. If you file more than one worksheet, please number the completed worksheets to assure that they are all processed correctly. Please refer to the instructions for information specific to the Type of Application you indicated in Block 1, Item 5.

☐ Check here if this worksheet contains all eligible entities in the school district or library system.

9a List entities and calculate discount(s): (For Administrator's Use)
School District or Library System Name: **School District or Library System Entity Number:**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Name of Eligible Entity	Entity Number AND NCES Code (for Schools) or FSCS Code (for Libraries)	Urban or Rural U or R	Total Number of Students	Number of Students Eligible for NSLP	Percent of Students Eligible for NSLP (Col. 5 / Col. 4)	Disc. from Disc. Matrix	New Cons tructi on	Admin Entity or NIF	Alt Disc Mech	Weighted Product for Calculating Shared Discount (Col. 4 x Col. 7)	Insert appropriate codes(s): P= pre-K, H = Head Start, A = Adult Education, J = Juvenile Justicem E = ESA, D = Dormatory	Entity Number of School District in which Library Outlet/Branch is Located	Discount of Member Entity	Shared Discount
ALL ENTITIES			SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES							Schools with shared services	Schools	Library Outlet/Branch	Consortia	
CALERA HIGH SCHOOL	85128 40 06180 00240	R	185	142	76.757%	90	N	N	N	16650				
CALERA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	85127 40 06180 00239	R	476	366	76.891%	90	N	N	N	42840	P			

9b Shared Services

SCHOOL DISTRICTS: (Including groups of schools within school districts.) Calculate the totals of Columns 4 and 11. Divide the total of Column 11 by the total of Column 4. Enter the result in Column 15.	661							59490				90%
LIBRARY SYSTEMS: Calculate the total of Column 7. Divide this total by the number of outlets/branches. Enter the result in Column 15.												
CONSORTIA: Calculate the total of Column 14. Divide this total by the number of member entities. Enter the result in Column 15.												

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 1 **of** 7

FRN 2631178
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☑ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☐ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
511330001053530

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143027725

14 Service Provider Name
Gabbart Enterprises LLC

15a ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
N/A

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here: 2440783

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
11/23/2012

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
11/25/2012

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2015

23 Calculations

Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $0.00
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $0.00
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $0.00
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $3,255.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $3,255.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $3,255.00
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $2,929.50

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 1

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:

a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:

b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☑ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? ___%
2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? ___%

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 2 **of** 7

FRN 2631185
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☑ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☐ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
706210001158648

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143009019

14 Service Provider Name
Cherokee Telephone Company

15a ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
MTM

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
11/29/2013

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2015

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

23 Calculations

Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $639.51
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $639.51
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $7,674.12
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $0.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $0.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $7,674.12
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $6,906.71

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 2

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:

a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:

b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☑ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? ___%

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? ___%

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 3 **of** 7

FRN 2631192
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☑ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☐ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
706210001158648

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143008823

14 Service Provider Name
SBC Long Distance, LLC.

15a ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
MTM

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
11/29/2013

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2015

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

23 Calculations	
Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $633.61
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $633.61
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $7,603.32
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $0.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $0.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $7,603.32
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $6,842.99

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 3

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:
	b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☑ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? ___%

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? ___%

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 4 **of** 7

FRN 2631215
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☑ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☐ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
174540001165127

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143035519

14 Service Provider Name
Meet Point Networks LLC

15a ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
N/A

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
12/12/2013

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
01/21/2014

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2019

23 Calculations

Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $8,543.00
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $8,543.00
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $102,516.00
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $0.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $0.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $102,516.00
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $92,264.40

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 4

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:

a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:

b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☐ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps
Fiber optic/OC-x	1	100

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? 100 %

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? 25 %

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 5 **of** 7

FRN 2631229
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☐ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☑ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
511330001053530

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143030766

14 Service Provider Name
Windstream Communications, Inc.

15a ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
N/A

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here: 2440790

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
11/23/2012

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
12/06/2012

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2016

23 Calculations

Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $13,365.00
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $13,365.00
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $160,380.00
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $0.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $0.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $160,380.00
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $144,342.00

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 5

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:

a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:

b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☐ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps
Fiber optic/OC-x	1	50

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? 100 %

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? 25 %

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 6 **of** 7

FRN 2631242
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☑ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☐ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
706210001158648

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143025240

14 Service Provider Name
AT&T Mobility

15a ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
MTM

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
11/29/2013

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2015

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

23 Calculations	
Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $413.86
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $413.86
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $4,966.32
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $0.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $0.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $4,966.32
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $4,469.69

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 6

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:
	b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☐ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps
Cellular Wireless	10	1

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? 100 %

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? 25 %

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	**Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17**
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	**Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048**

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which you are requesting discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly.

Block 5, page 7 **of** 7

FRN 2678119
(to be assigned by administrator)

10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:

11 **Category of Service** (only ONE category should be checked)

PRIORITY 1	PRIORITY 2
☐ Telecommunications Service	☐ Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance
☑ Internet Access	☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections

12 Form 470 Application Number
423400001227745

13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number
143035519

14 Service Provider Name
Meet Point Networks LLC

15a ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month services.

15b Contract Number
n/a

15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).

15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:

16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)

16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.

17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)
03/21/2014

18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
03/24/2014

19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
07/01/2014

20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20b Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
06/30/2015

23 Calculations

Recurring Charges	**A.** Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) $1,550.00
	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible? $0.00
	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B) $1,550.00
	D. Number of months service provided in funding year 12
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D) $18,600.00
Non-Recurring Charges	**F.** Annual non-recurring charges $5,000.00
	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible? $0.00
	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G) $5,000.00
Total Charges	**I.** Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) $23,600.00
	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 90.00
	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) $21,240.00

21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. **Attachment**
You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided. 7

22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:
	b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1671533

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 5 (Continued):

24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this funding request

Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting **Telecommunications Services** or **Internet Access** for the purpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity to school and/or library facilities.

☐ Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do **not** provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.

a Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.

Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps
Fiber optic/OC-x	1	1000

b If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:

1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops? 100 %

2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal? 25 %

c For consortia and statewide applications, do the connections in this FRN include the last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **no** above, are these connections only for backbone connections? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 6: Certifications and Signature

25 ☑ I certify that the entities listed in Block 4 of this application are eligible for support because they are: (Check one or both.)

a ☑ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the **No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. §§ 7801(18) and (38)**, that do not operate as for-profit businesses and do not have endowments exceeding $50 million; and/or

b ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any schools, including, but not limited to, elementary, secondary schools, colleges, or universities.

26 ☑ I certify that the entity I represent or the entities listed on this application have secured access, separately or through this program, to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, internal connections, maintenance, and electrical capacity, necessary to use the services purchased effectively. I recognize that some of the aforementioned resources are not eligible for support. I certify that the entities I represent or the entities listed on this application have secured access to all of the resources to pay the discounted charges for eligible services from funds to which access has been secured in the current funding year. I certify that the Billed Entity will pay the non-discount portion of the cost of the goods and services to the service provider(s).

a Total funding year pre-discount amount on this Form 471 (Add the entries from Items 23I on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)	309994.76
b Total funding commitment request amount on this Form 471 (Add the entries from Items 23K on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)	278995.28
c Total applicant non-discount share (Subtract Item 26b from Item 26a.)	30999.48
d Total budgeted amount allocated to resources not eligible for E-rate support	97500
e Total amount necessary for the applicant to pay the non-discount share of the services requested on this application AND to secure access to the resources necessary to make effective use of the discounts. (Add Items 26c and 26d.)	128499.48

f ☐ Check this box if you are receiving any of the funds in Item 26e directly from a service provider listed on any of the Forms 471 filed by this Billed Entity for this funding year, or if a service provider listed on any of the Forms 471 filed by this Billed Entity for this funding year assisted you in locating funds in Item 26e.

27 ☐ I certify that, if required by Commission rules, all of the individual schools and libraries receiving services under this form are covered by technology plans that do or will cover all 12 months of the funding year, and that have been or will be approved by a state or other authorized body or an SLD-certified technology plan approver prior to the commencement of service.

Or ☑ I certify that no technology plan is required by Commission rules.

28 ☑ I certify that (if applicable) I posted my Form 470 and (if applicable) made any related RFP available for at least 28 days before considering all bids received and selecting a service provider. I certify that all bids submitted were carefully considered and the most cost-effective service offering was selected, with price being the primary factor considered, and is the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and technology plan goals.

29 ☑ I certify that the entity responsible for selecting the service provider(s) has reviewed all applicable FCC, state, and local procurement/competitive bidding requirements and that the entity or entities listed on this application have complied with them.

30 ☑ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. § 254 will be used primarily for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value, except as permitted by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.500, 54.513. Additionally, I certify that the entity or entities listed on this application have not received anything of value or a promise of anything of value, other than services and equipment sought by means of this form, from the service provider, or any representative or agent thereof or any consultant in connection with this request for services.

31 ☑ I certify that I and the entity(ies) I represent have complied with all program rules, including recordkeeping requirements, and I acknowledge that failure to do so may result in denial of discount funding and/or cancellation of funding commitments. There are signed contracts covering all of the services listed on this Form 471 except for those services provided under non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month arrangements. I acknowledge that failure to comply with program rules could result in civil or criminal prosecution by the appropriate law enforcement authorities.

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

Block 6: Certification and Signature (Continued)

32 ☑ I acknowledge that the discount level used for shared services is conditional, for future years, upon ensuring that the most disadvantaged schools and libraries that are treated as sharing in the service, receive an appropriate share of benefits from those services.

33 ☑ I certify that I will retain required documents for a period of at least five years (or whatever retention period is required by the rules in effect at the time of this certification) after the last day of service delivered. I certify that I will retain all documents necessary to demonstrate compliance with the statute and Commission rules regarding the application for, receipt of, and delivery of services receiving schools and libraries discounts, and that if audited, I will make such records available to the Administrator. I acknowledge that I may be audited pursuant to participation in the schools and libraries program.

34 ☑ I certify that I am authorized to order telecommunications and other supported services for the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application. I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application, that I have examined this request, that all of the information on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that the entities that are receiving discounts pursuant to this application have complied with the terms, conditions and purposes of the program, that no kickbacks were paid to anyone and that false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and civil violations of the False Claims Act.

35 ☑ I acknowledge that FCC rules provide that persons who have been convicted of criminal violations or held civilly liable for certain acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism are subject to suspension and debarment from the program. I will institute reasonable measures to be informed, and will notify USAC should I be informed or become aware that I or any of the entities listed on this application, or any person associated in any way with my entity and/or the entities listed on this application, is convicted of a criminal violation or held civilly liable for acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism.

36 ☑ I certify that if any of the Funding Requests on this Form 471 are for discounts for products or services that contain both eligible and ineligible components, that I have allocated the eligible and ineligible components as required by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(g)(1), (2).

37 ☑ I certify that this funding request does not constitute a request for internal connections services, except basic maintenance services, in violation of the Commission requirement that eligible entities are not eligible for such support more than twice every five funding years as required by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.506(c).

38 ☑ I certify that the non-discount portion of the costs for eligible services will not be paid by the service provider. The pre-discount costs of eligible services featured on this Form 471 are net of any rebates or discounts offered by the service provider. I acknowledge that, for the purpose of this rule, the provision, by the provider of a supported service, of free services or products unrelated to the supported service or product constitutes a rebate of some or all of the cost of the supported services.

39 Signature of authorized person ☐	**40** Date

41 Printed name of authorized person: Chris Webber

42 Title or position of authorized person: Consultant

☐ Check here if the consultant in Item 6g is the Authorized Person.

43a Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number
PO Box 701713

City: Tulsa
State: OK Zip Code: 74170-1713

Entity Number: 140303	Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048

43b Telephone Number of authorized Person (918) 445-0048 Ext.

43c Fax Number of Authorized Person

(918) 445-0049

43d E-mail Address of authorized Person info@crwconsulting.com

Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com

43e Name of Authorized Person's Employer CRW Consulting

NOTICE: Section 54.504 of the Federal Communications Commission's rules requires all schools and libraries ordering services that are eligible for and seeking universal service discounts to file this Services Ordered and Certification Form (FCC Form 471) with the Universal Service Administrator. 47 C.F.R.§ 54.504(c). The collection of information stems from the Commission's authority under Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. 47 U.S.C. § 254. The data in the report will be used to ensure that schools and libraries comply with the competitive bidding requirement contained in 47C.F.R. § 54.504. All schools and libraries planning to order services eligible for universal service discounts must file this form themselves or as part of a consortium.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The FCC is authorized under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to collect the information we request in this form. We will use the information you provide to determine whether approving this application is in the public interest. If we believe there may be a violation or a potential violation of any applicable statute, regulation, rule or order, your application may be referred to the Federal, state, or local agency responsible for investigating, prosecuting, enforcing, or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order. In certain cases, the information in your application may be disclosed to the Department of Justice or a court or adjudicative body when (a) the FCC; or (b) any employee of the FCC; or (c) the United States Government is a party of a proceeding before the body or has an interest in the proceeding. In addition, consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, FCC regulations and orders, the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or other applicable law, information provided in or submitted with this form or in response to subsequent inquiries may be disclosed to the public.

If you owe a past due debt to the Federal government, the information you provide may also be disclosed to the Department of the Treasury Financial Management Service, other Federal agencies and/or your employer to offset your salary, IRS tax refund or other payments to collect that debt. The FCC may also provide the information to these agencies through the matching of computer records when authorized.

If you do not provide the information we request on the form, the FCC may delay processing of your application or may return your application without action.

The foregoing Notice is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. § 3501, et seq.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing, and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the reporting burden to the Federal Communications Commission, Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Please submit this form to:
SLD-Form 471
P.O. Box 7026
Lawrence, Kansas 66044-7026

For express delivery services or U.S. Postal Service, Return Receipt Requested, mail this form to:
SLD Forms
ATTN: SLD Form 471
3833 Greenway Drive
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
(888) 203-8100

FCC Form 471 - December 2013

Close Print Preview

1997 - 2014 © , Universal Service Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

Exhibit 4: FCDL

USAC
Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools and Libraries Division

FUNDING COMMITMENT DECISION LETTER
(Funding Year 2014: 07/01/2014 - 06/30/2015)

April 27, 2016

Karla Hall or Chris Webber
CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
PO Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713

Re: FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Billed Entity Number (BEN): 140303
Billed Entity FCC Registration Number (FCC RN): 0011556081
Applicant's Form Identifier: Calera Y17

Thank you for your Funding Year 2014 application for Universal Service Support and for any assistance you provided throughout our review. The current status of the funding request(s) in the FCC Form 471 application cited above and featured in the Funding Commitment Report(s) (Report) at the end of this letter is as follows.

- The amount, $42,388.89 is "Approved."
- The amount, $92,264.40 is "Denied."
- The amount, $144,223.74 is "Cancelled."

Please refer to the Report following this letter for specific funding request decisions and explanations. The Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) is also sending this information to your service provider(s) so preparations can begin for implementing your approved discount(s) after you file FCC Form 486, Receipt of Service Confirmation Form. A guide that provides a definition for each line of the Report is available in the Guide to USAC Letter Reports in the Reference Area of our website.

NEXT STEPS

- Work with your service provider to determine if you will receive discounted bills or if you will request reimbursement from USAC after paying your bills in full.
- Review technology planning approval requirements.
- Review Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) requirements.
- File FCC Form 486.
- Invoice USAC using the FCC Form 474, Service Provider Invoice (SPI) Form, or FCC Form 472, Billed Entity Applicant Reimbursement (BEAR) Form, - as products and services are being delivered and billed.

TO APPEAL THIS DECISION:

If you wish to appeal a decision in this letter to USAC, your appeal must be received by USAC or postmarked within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. In your letter of appeal:

1. Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and email address for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.

IDU00100029 -00029020680000

2. State outright that your letter is an appeal. Include the following to identify the USAC decision letter (e.g., FCDL) and the decision you are appealing:
   - Appellant name,
   - Applicant name and service provider name, if different from appellant,
   - Applicant BEN and Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN),
   - FCC Form 471 Application Number 967209 and the Funding Request Number (FRN) or Numbers as assigned by USAC,
   - "Funding Commitment Decision Letter for Funding Year 2014," AND
   - The exact text or the decision that you are appealing.

3. Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal. Be sure to keep a copy of your entire appeal, including any correspondence and documentation.

4. If you are the applicant, please provide a copy of your appeal to the service provider(s) affected by USAC's decision. If you are the service provider, please provide a copy of your appeal to the applicant(s) affected by USAC's decision.

5. Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal.

We strongly recommend that you use one of the electronic filing options. To submit your appeal to USAC by email, email your appeal to appeals@sl.universalservice.orgor submit your appeal electronically by using the "Submit a Question" feature on the USAC website. USAC will automatically reply to incoming emails to confirm receipt.

To submit your appeal to USAC by fax, fax your appeal to (973) 599-6542.

To submit your appeal to USAC on paper, send your appeal to:

Letter of Appeal
Schools and Libraries Division - Correspondence Unit
30 Lanidex Plaza West
PO Box 685
Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

For more information on submitting an appeal to USAC, please see "Appeals" in the Schools and Libraries section of the USAC website.

OBLIGATION TO PAY NON-DISCOUNT PORTION

Applicants are required to pay the non-discount portion of the cost of the products and/or services to their service provider(s). Service providers are required to bill applicants for the non-discount portion. The FCC stated that requiring applicants to pay their share ensures efficiency and accountability in the program. If USAC is being billed via the FCC Form 474, the service provider must bill the applicant at the same time it bills USAC. If USAC is being billed via the FCC Form 472, the applicant pays the service provider in full (the non-discount plus discount portion) and then seeks reimbursement from USAC. If you are using a trade-in as part of your non-discount portion, please refer to Disposal or Trade-in of Equipment posted in the Reference Area of our website for more information.

NOTICE ON RULES AND FUNDS AVAILABILITY

Applicants' receipt of funding commitments is contingent on their compliance with all statutory, regulatory, and procedural requirements of the Schools and Libraries Program. Applicants who have received funding commitments continue to be subject to audits and other reviews that USAC and/or the FCC may undertake periodically to assure that funds that have been committed are being used in accordance with all such requirements. USAC may be required to reduce or cancel funding commitments that were not issued in accordance with such requirements, whether due to action or inaction, including but not limited to that by USAC, the applicant, or the service provider. USAC, and other appropriate authorities (including but not limited to the FCC), may pursue enforcement actions and other means of recourse to collect improperly disbursed funds. The timing of payment of invoices may also be affected by the availability of funds based on the amount of funds collected from contributing telecommunications companies.

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631178
Funding Status: Funded
Category of Service: Internet Access
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 511330001053530
SPIN: 143027725
Service Provider Name: Gabbart Enterprises LLC
Contract Number: N/A
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: N/A
Contract Award Date: 11/25/2012
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2015
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $.00
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $3,255.00
Pre-discount Amount: $3,255.00
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $2,929.50 - FRN approved; modified by SLD
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: The category of service was changed from Telcomm Services to Internet Access in accordance with Program rules.

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631185
Funding Status: Funded
Category of Service: Telecommunications Service
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 706210001158648
SPIN: 143009019
Service Provider Name: Cherokee Telephone Company
Contract Number: MTM
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: 06/30/2015
Contract Award Date: N/A
Contract Expiration Date: N/A
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $7,674.12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $.00
Pre-discount Amount: $7,674.12
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $6,906.71 - FRN approved as submitted

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631192
Funding Status: Funded
Category of Service: Telecommunications Service
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 706210001158648
SPIN: 143008823
Service Provider Name: SBC Long Distance, LLC.
Contract Number: MTM
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: 06/30/2015
Contract Award Date: N/A
Contract Expiration Date: N/A
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $7,603.32
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $.00
Pre-discount Amount: $7,603.32
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $6,842.99 - FRN approved as submitted

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631215
Funding Status: Not Funded
Category of Service: Internet Access
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 174540001165127
SPIN: 143035519
Service Provider Name: Meet Point Networks LLC
Contract Number: N/A
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: N/A
Contract Award Date: 01/21/2014
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2019
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $102,516.00
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $.00
Pre-discount Amount: $102,516.00
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $0.00 - Bidding Violation
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: MR1: The category of service was changed to Internet. <><><><><> MR2: The FRN was modified to $8,534/month. <><><><><> DR1: Based on documentation provided, the FRN is denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that "there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances." You posted requests for minimum 50 MB up to 150MB on FCC Form 470# 174540001165127 and the associated RFP. You received a bid from Chickasaw offering these specific services at an amount of $2,750 per month for 100 MB, a bid from Meetpoint offering these specific services at an amount of $8,534 monthly for 100 MBPS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. You selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of $8,534 monthly. The bid chosen is over three times more costly than the bid offering from Chickasaw. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review you did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates your choice of a bid over two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor.

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631229
Funding Status: Not Funded
Category of Service: Internet Access
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 511330001053530
SPIN: 143030766
Service Provider Name: Windstream Communications, LLC
Contract Number: N/A
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: N/A
Contract Award Date: 12/06/2012
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2016
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $160,248.60
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $.00
Pre-discount Amount: $160,248.60
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $0.00 - Applicant request
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: FRN canceled in consultation with the applicant

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2631242
Funding Status: Funded
Category of Service: Telecommunications Service
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 706210001158648
SPIN: 143025240
Service Provider Name: AT&T Mobility
Contract Number: MTM
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: 06/30/2015
Contract Award Date: N/A
Contract Expiration Date: N/A
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $4,966.32
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $.00
Pre-discount Amount: $4,966.32
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $4,469.69 - FRN approved as submitted

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT
Billed Entity Name: CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
BEN: 140303
Funding Year: 2014

Comment on RAL corrections: The applicant did not submit any RAL corrections.

FCC Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Funding Request Number: 2678119
Funding Status: Funded
Category of Service: Internet Access
FCC Form 470 Application Number: 423400001227745
SPIN: 143035519
Service Provider Name: Meet Point Networks LLC
Contract Number: n/a
Billing Account Number: N/A
Multiple Billing Account Numbers: N
Service Start Date: 07/01/2014
Service End Date: N/A
Contract Award Date: 03/24/2014
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2015
Shared Worksheet Number: 1671533
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: $18,600.00
Annual Pre-discount Amount for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: $5,000.00
Pre-discount Amount: $23,600.00
Discount Percentage Approved by the USAC: 90%
Funding Commitment Decision: $21,240.00 - FRN approved as submitted

FCDL Date: 04/27/2016
Wave Number: 080
Last Allowable Date for Delivery and Installation for Non-Recurring Services: 09/30/2017
Consultant Name: Karla Hall
Consultant Registration Number (CRN): 16024800
Consultant Employer: CRW Consulting

Exhibit 5: Administrator’s Decision Letter

Chris Webber
Calera Ind Sch Dist 48
CRW Consulting, LLC
PO Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713

Billed Entity Number: 140303
Form 471 Application Number: 967209
Form 486 Application Number:

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2014-2015

August 08, 2016

Chris Webber
Calera Ind Sch Dist 48
CRW Consulting, LLC
PO Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713

Re:	Applicant Name:	CALERA INDEP SCHOOL DIST 48
	Billed Entity Number:	140303
	Form 471 Application Number:	967209
	Funding Request Number(s):	2631215
	Your Correspondence Dated:	June 24, 2016

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2014 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2631215
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- USAC has determined that based on documentation provided, the above listed funding request (FRN) was denied because Caleria Indep School Dist 45 (the District) did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that "there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating

circumstances." The District posted requests for minimum 50 MB up to 150MB on FCC Form 470# 174540001165127 and the associated RFP. The District received a bid from Chickasaw offering these specific services at an amount of $2,750 per month for 100 MB, a bid from Meetpoint offering these specific services at an amount of $8,534 monthly for 100 MBPS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. The District selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of $8,534 monthly. The bid chosen is over three times more costly than the bid offering from Chickasaw. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review the District did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates their choice of a bid over two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor. On appeal, you have failed to provide evidence that USAC erred in its initial decision. Consequently, the appeal is denied.

- FCC rules state that, in selecting a service provider, the applicant must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service or equipment offering, with price being the primary factor, which will result in being the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and the technology plan goals. *See* 47 C.F.R. secs. 54.511(a), 54.503(c)(2)(vii), 54.504(a)(1)(xi). *See* also Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso, Texas, et al., Federal-State Joint Board of Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., SLD Nos. 321479, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, FCC 03-313 paras. 47-55 (Dec. 8, 2003). Service providers shall not charge the entities a price above the lowest corresponding price. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.511(b). In order to ensure that applicants are not requesting discounts for services beyond their reasonable needs, USAC denies funding request(s) for not being cost-effective. The costs of the products and services in a funding request should not be significantly higher than the costs generally available in the applicant's marketplace for the same or similar products or services. For example, equipment at prices two or three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, unless there were extenuating circumstances. *See* Ysleta Order para. 54.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division

Exhibit 6: Bid Evaluations Sheets

windstream.
301 N Main St. Suite 5000 Greenville, SC 29601-2153

Address Service Requested

☐Check Here for change of address (make changes on reverse)

1745006171 PRESORT SGL P3 C27 <03>
6171 1 SP 0.480

CALERA ISD 48
209 N 3RD ST
CALERA, OK 74730

Remittance Section

Account Number	4315898
Invoice Number	13142004
Invoice Date	11/20/2013
Total Due	$41,540.50
Due Date	12/15/2013
Amount Enclosed	$ _____

Please make Checks Payable to Windstream Nuvox Inc.

A 1.5% late payment charge will be applied to all past due balances.
Please remit payment to the address listed below.

Windstream Communications
PO Box 9001950
Louisville, KY 40290-1950

0000000000431589891311200004154050131120000013142004950 5

Please detach here and return the above portion with your payment

windstream.
smart solutions. personalized service.

Account Number	4315898
Invoice Number	13142004
Bill Date	11/20/2013

Account Summary

Balance Information

Previous Bill		28,175.50
Payments Applied		0.00
Credits Applied		0.00
Total Past Due Balance	**11/30/2013**	**$28,175.50**

Current Charges

Local Service Charges	13,338.05
Data Service Charges	26.95
Circuit Charges	0.00
Equipment for Services	0.00
Long Distance Charges	0.00
Equipment Rental and Installation Charges	0.00
Other Usage Charges	0.00
Other Charges and Credits	0.00
Fees and Surcharges	0.00
Taxes	0.00
CURRENT CHARGES	**$13,365.00**
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	**$41,540.50**

Important Messages

YOUR ACCOUNT IS DELINQUENT.
If payment in full is not received within fifteen (15) days of this notice, we will forward this matter to our representatives for collection. According to the terms of your agreement, "you are obligated to pay all costs and expenses of collections of any amounts due hereunder, including reasonable attorney's fees and interest". If your payment has already been remitted, thank you and please disregard this notice. If you have any questions regarding your account, please contact us immediately at 1-877-235-8552.

Per mo - $267.30

For Customer Care,
please call 800-600-5050
or visit us at www.WindstreamBusiness.com

BID EVALUATION SHEET–Short
Erate Year 2014

1. **Service that is being evaluated: _Internet_**
   Examples include: Internet access, local phone service, long distance service, cell phone service, wireless data plan service, WAN connectivity

2. **Company that has submitted bid: Windstream**

3. **Service level from the bid that is being evaluated: _50 mb_**
   Examples include: Internet access – 200 mb, local phone – 50 lines, cell phones – unlimited pooled minutes, wireless data plans – 500 Mb, WAN Connectivity – (5) 1 Gb circuits. You may have to determine per-unit pricing (cost per Mb, for example) to compare bids submitted from different companies at different service levels.

4. **Price that is being evaluated: __$13365**

- **POINTS MUST BE AWARDED IN ALL CATEGORIES. DO NOT WRITE "N/A" IN ANY CATEGORY.**
- **DO NOT GIVE EQUAL POINTS FOR PRICE TO TWO VENDORS UNLESS THEY BID THE EXACT SAME SERVICE FOR THE EXACT SAME PRICE**

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS AND SERVICES	40	20
SERVICE HISTORY	20	15
TOTAL POINTS	60	30

Bid Evaluated by (one person per sheet): Monica Partain

Date: 1-8-14

Signature: Monica Partain

BID EVALUATION SHEET–Short
Erate Year 2014

1. **Service that is being evaluated: _Internet_**
   Examples include: Internet access, local phone service, long distance service, cell phone service, wireless data plan service, WAN connectivity

2. **Company that has submitted bid: __Chickasaw Long Distance_**

3. **Service level from the bid that is being evaluated: _50 mb_**
   Examples include: Internet access – 200 mb, local phone – 50 lines, cell phones – unlimited pooled minutes, wireless data plans – 500 Mb, WAN Connectivity – (5) 1 Gb circuits. You may have to determine per-unit pricing (cost per Mb, for example) to compare bids submitted from different companies at different service levels.

4. **Price that is being evaluated: __$2025_**

- **POINTS MUST BE AWARDED IN ALL CATEGORIES. DO NOT WRITE "N/A" IN ANY CATEGORY.**
- **DO NOT GIVE EQUAL POINTS FOR PRICE TO TWO VENDORS UNLESS THEY BID THE EXACT SAME SERVICE FOR THE EXACT SAME PRICE**

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS AND SERVICES	40	30
SERVICE HISTORY	20	5
TOTAL POINTS	60	35

Bid Evaluated by (one person per sheet): Monica Partain

Date: 1-8-14

Signature: Monica Partain

BID EVALUATION SHEET–Short
Erate Year 2014

1. **Service that is being evaluated: _Internet_____**
   Examples include: Internet access, local phone service, long distance service, cell phone service, wireless data plan service, WAN connectivity

2. **Company that has submitted bid: ___Meet Point Networks_____**

3. **Service level from the bid that is being evaluated: _100 mb_____**
   Examples include: Internet access – 200 mb, local phone – 50 lines, cell phones – unlimited pooled minutes, wireless data plans – 500 Mb, WAN Connectivity – (5) 1 Gb circuits. You may have to determine per-unit pricing (cost per Mb, for example) to compare bids submitted from different companies at different service levels.

4. **Price that is being evaluated: __$8534_____**

- **POINTS MUST BE AWARDED IN ALL CATEGORIES. DO NOT WRITE "N/A" IN ANY CATEGORY.**
- **DO NOT GIVE EQUAL POINTS FOR PRICE TO TWO VENDORS UNLESS THEY BID THE EXACT SAME SERVICE FOR THE EXACT SAME PRICE**

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS AND SERVICES	40	29
SERVICE HISTORY	20	20
TOTAL POINTS	60	49

Bid Evaluated by (one person per sheet): Monica Partain

Date: 1-8-14

Signature: Monica P