

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

Secretary's  
Office

222

IN REPLY REFER TO:

William E. Zimsky  
P.O. 4117  
Incline Village, Nevada 89450

Dear Mr. Zimsky:

This is in response to the petition you filed on behalf of WNPC, Inc., requesting the substitution of Channel 225C3 for Channel 225A at Newport, Tennessee, and the modification of WNPC's construction permit for its station at Newport to specify the higher class channel.

We have reviewed your request and find that it is unacceptable for consideration. Our engineering analysis indicates that, using the coordinates specified in your petition (35-58-07 and 83-01-58), Channel 225C3 would not provide line-of-sight service to Newport, as required by Commission Rule 73.315. Our analysis indicates that in order to provide line-of-sight coverage, WNPC, Inc. would have to use an antenna height of 250 meters and an effective radiated power of 4.0 kilowatts. In addition, we have reviewed your suggested alternate site (coordinates 36-00-00 and 83-01-13). This site also has a severe line-of-sight problem. Therefore, we question whether there is a suitable site available from which city grade coverage could be provided to Newport. Accordingly, we are returning your petition.

Sincerely,

Michael C. Ruger  
Acting Chief, Allocations Branch  
Policy and Rules Division  
Mass Media Bureau

Attachment

bc: Secretary's Office, Room 222 (2 copies) (filed 02-13-92)