

March 1, 2018

Rebekah P. Goodheart
Tel +1 202 639 5355
rgoodheart@jenner.com

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *In the Matter of W. Mansfield Jennings Limited Partnership, and Hargray Communications Group, Inc.*, WC Docket 18-52

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 27, 2018, Trey Judy, Director, Hargray Communications Group, Inc. (“Hargray”) and the undersigned met via telephone with Suzanne Yelen and Joseph Sorresso of the Wireline Competition Bureau to discuss Hargray’s pending Application to Transfer ComSouth’s Domestic Section 214 Authority to Hargray.¹ As explained in our Application, the transaction will result in tremendous public interest benefits by, for example, ensuring that ComSouth will have the resources necessary to continue to invest and provide high-quality services to rural consumers in Georgia.² The transaction will also result in significant efficiencies. Indeed, Hargray estimates the transaction could produce nearly \$2 million in savings. The Commission should expeditiously grant our Application.

Although we believe that Parts 32, 36, 54, 64, and 69 of the Commission’s rules provide sufficient protection to guard against any potential concerns regarding allocation of shared costs,³ Hargray has adopted internal cost allocation procedures that go above and beyond the Commission’s rules and provide additional assurances that our allocation of shared costs will be reasonable. In particular, Hargray allocates shared costs for all of its operating subsidiaries (including incumbent LECs, competitive LECs, cable provider and long distance affiliate) based on the following five factors: (1) employee count; (2) gross plant (total cost of plant in service without regard to accumulated depreciation); (3) operating margin (gross revenues less operating

¹ See *Application to Transfer Control of Domestic 214 Authority*, Application, WC Docket 18-52 (filed Feb. 22, 2018) (“Application”).

² *Id.* at 2, 6-8.

³ See generally 47 C.F.R. pts. 32, 36, 54, 64, and 69.

expenses excluding shared costs); (4) access lines; and (5) total revenues (total revenues including inter-company charges). These five factors are equally weighted and apply to regulated and non-regulated shared costs.

The following chart illustrates the application of the five factors assuming three companies (A, B, and C) have \$1 million in shared costs

	Methodology	Company A	Company B	Company C	Total
1 2 = 1(ABC)/1 Total	Employee Count Allocation %	80 80%	15 15%	5 5%	100 100%
3 4 = 3(ABC)/3 Total	Plant in Service Allocation %	\$85,000,000 85%	\$10,000,000 10%	\$5,000,000 5%	\$ 100,000,000 100%
5 6 = 5(ABC)/5 Total	Margin FYTD Allocation %	\$7,000,000 70%	\$2,000,000 20%	\$ 1,000,000 10%	\$ 10,000,000 100%
7 8 = 7(ABC)/7 Total	Access Lines Allocation %	15,000 75%	3,000 15%	2,000 10%	20,000 100%
9 10 = 9(ABC)/9 Total	Revenues YTD Allocation %	\$15,000,000 50%	\$10,000,000 33%	\$ 5,000,000 17%	\$ 30,000,000 100%
11 = 2 + 4 + 6 + 8 +10 12 = 11/5	Summary	360% 72%	93% 19%	47% 9%	500% 100%
(ABC) Allocated Cost = Total Shared Cost * % in line 12	Shared Cost	\$720,000	\$ 186,667	\$ 93,333	\$1,000,000

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these matters.

Sincerely,

/s/ Rebekah P. Goodheart
Rebekah P. Goodheart

cc: Suzanne Yelen
Joseph Sorresso