October 30, 2018

Via Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Applications of T-Mobile US, Inc., and Sprint Corporation for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations – WT Docket No. 18-197 – NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am writing pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission’s rules to report that on October 29, 2018, Lynn Rejniak and Todd Gray, representing the National EBS Association (“NEBSA”), and David Moore, Donna Balaguer, and the undersigned, representing the Catholic Technology Network (“CTN”), met with Commissioner O’Rielly and Erin McGrath regarding the above-referenced proceeding. During the meeting, we pointed out several misperceptions about EBS and provided the attached summary of those misperceptions.

We urged that EBS white space – which covers only about 15% of the U.S. population – be licensed through priority filing windows limited to Tribal Nations and new educational entities (local accredited institutions and governmental entities). Although CTN and NEBSA members will not benefit directly from priority filing windows given their incumbent status, CTN and NEBSA support the windows because they will benefit the education community as a whole, which has been waiting for decades for the opportunity to apply for EBS licenses.

On a related issue, Dave Moore indicated that CTN intends to support the proposed merger of Sprint and T-Mobile given assurances from both merger parties that EBS licenses and lease relationships, including the educational services provided through those leases, will remain an important part of the merged entity’s operations. Mr. Moore stated that T-Mobile has acknowledged the importance of continuing mutually-beneficial relationships with the EBS community and that the merged entity’s network investments, including expansion of network operations on 2.5 GHz spectrum, will allow the EBS community to take advantage of greater educational opportunities and better coverage.
Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edwin N. Lavergne

Edwin N. Lavergne

cc Lynn Rejniak
    Todd Gray
    David Moore
    Commissioner Michael O’Rielly
    Erin McGrath
1. **Misperception - EBS is Underutilized.** In areas where EBS is licensed, which cover about 85% of the U.S. population, the spectrum is widely deployed. EBS is underutilized only in “white space” areas where it has not yet been licensed. These unlicensed areas cover only about 15% of the U.S. population.

2. **Misperception - EBS Was a Spectrum Policy Mistake.** EBS (formerly ITFS) did have a difficult start decades ago, when it was used for video service. However, EBS was revitalized in 2004, when the entire 2.5 GHz band was overhauled to accommodate wireless broadband service. Today, EBS works. It works for educators, students, commercial operators, and consumers.

   - EBS is not hampering investment in the 2.5 GHz band.
   - EBS is not slowing commercial deployment in the band.
   - EBS is not holding-up spectrum needed for 5G.

   **Nothing is broken; nothing needs fixing.** From a policy perspective, EBS facilitates digital education without government subsidies. Educators educate; commercial operators serve customers for a profit. These entirely different objectives are both furthered by the existing EBS regulatory model.

3. **Misperception – EBS is No Longer Necessary.** The notion that EBS is no longer necessary for education because many EBS licensees “ride over-the-top” of commercial broadband networks and lease 95% of their capacity is a misnomer. Shared networks were anticipated and encouraged by the FCC as a means of making efficient use of spectrum.

   - Leasing significant spectrum capacity makes perfect sense in a broadband environment where greater spectrum efficiencies can be achieved if a single entity subdivides and combines channels. The Commission encouraged such leasing, and educators embraced that call by establishing successful public-private partnerships with commercial service providers.

4. **Misperception – EBS Licensees are Middlemen.** Middlemen simply broker an asset. That’s not what happens with EBS. EBS licensees educate; commercial operators serve customers for a profit. These are different goals – both of which are served under the existing regulatory model (without government subsidies) because educators have a “seat at the table.” The best way to avoid positioning future EBS licensees as middlemen is to retain educational use requirements and limit eligibility to local accredited institutions and governmental organizations.