



Gardner H. Foster
Senior Counsel
Legal and Government Affairs
Gardner.Foster@sprint.com
(202) 585-1916

Sprint Corporation
900 7th Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001

March 26, 2018

Via Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: ***Notice of Ex Parte Presentation: Amendment of Parts 1, 21, 73, 74 and 101 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and other Advanced Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands – WT Docket No. 03-66***

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 22, 2018, Paul McCarthy, Director, Spectrum Management, James Goldstein, Senior Counsel, Legal and Government Affairs and the undersigned of Sprint Corporation ("Sprint"), met with Nicholas Degani and Rachael Bender, of Chairman Pai's office.

At this meeting, Sprint provided an update on its network deployment focusing on its plans to deploy 2.5 GHz spectrum on thousands of macro sites as well as small cells to provide high-speed high capacity broadband service to its customers as part of its densification and optimization effort. Sprint also emphasized the critical role this proceeding will play in its ability to deliver 4G LTE and 5G broadband services in this band.

During our meeting, Sprint reiterated its support for the June 2014 consensus plan submitted by the Wireless Communications Association ("WCA") and the Educational Broadcast Service ("EBS") community, represented by the National EBS Association, the Catholic Television Network and EBS licensees, for the licensing of currently unlicensed EBS spectrum. Specifically, Sprint emphasized the public interest benefits of expanding current EBS licensees to the county boundaries of their licensed footprint to allow for greater utilization of the unlicensed "white space" spectrum by both EBS licensees and commercial providers with whom they partner, including Sprint. Sprint described how such expansions could be designed to occur and how spectrum would be distributed in situations where more than one EBS incumbent licensee is licensed in a given county and how both licensees would be subject to an expansion.


March 26, 2018

Page 2

Sprint also noted scenarios, in a variety of markets, where operational gaps would exist if the expansion to county boundaries did not occur as contemplated by the consensus proposal.

Pursuant to the Commission's Rules, Sprint files this written notice in the docket in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gardner H. Foster', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

/s/ Gardner H. Foster

Gardner H. Foster

Senior Counsel

Legal and Government Affairs

Sprint Corporation

cc: (via e-mail)
Nicholas Degani
Rachael Bender