

ORIGINAL
FILE

RECEIVED


JUN - 5 1992

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter)
)
 Revocation of License of)
)
 SANDRA V. CRANE)
 Amateur Radio Station)
 N6TFO)
)
 and)
)
 Suspension of License of)
)
 SANDRA V. CRANE)
 Amateur Extra Class)
 Radio Operator License)
)
 and)
)
 Revocation of License of)
)
 CHARLES P. PASCAL)
 Amateur Radio Station)
 WB6CIY)
)
 and)
)
 Suspension of License of)
)
 CHARLES P. PASCAL)
 Amateur Extra Class)
 Radio Operator License)

PR Docket No. 92-119



To: Chief Administrative Law Judge Joseph Stimer

MOTION FOR ADOPTION OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

The Chief, Private Radio Bureau (Bureau), by his attorneys, and respondent Charles P. Pascal, by his attorney, move for the adoption of the following procedural schedule in this matter:

No. of Copies rec'd 0+5
List A B C D E

June 15, 1992	The Bureau files outline of evidence and witness list.
June 29, 1992	Respondents file outline of evidence and witness list.
July 6, 1992	Deadline for filing initial interrogatories and requests for production of documents and notices of written or oral depositions.
August 24, 1992	Deadline for completion of discovery, except as delayed because of necessity of obtaining rulings from the presiding administrative law judge, or necessary follow up.
August 24, 1992	The Bureau's case in chief submitted in written form by serving upon all other parties and the presiding administrative law judge the written testimony of its witnesses (except adverse witnesses), and exhibits. The Bureau will provide the names of any adverse witnesses, together with summaries of the matters on which the Bureau proposes to examine them. A protective order will apply prohibiting all counsel and parties from providing such adverse witnesses with such summaries or their contents.
September 8, 1992	Respondents' cases in response are submitted following the same procedures as the Bureau.
September 8, 1992	Deadline for respondents' filing requests to cross-examine the Bureau's witnesses who testify in writing or notifications of witnesses to be produced by the Bureau for cross-examination. ¹
September 14, 1992	Deadline for Bureau's filing requests to cross-examine the respondents' witnesses who testify in writing or notifications of witnesses to be produced by the Bureau for cross-examination. ¹

¹ The Presiding administrative law judge will determine whether the live cross-examination of witnesses who testify in writing can be done at the hearing upon notification as a matter of right, or only pursuant to the grant of a request. Counsel for the Bureau and Mr. Pascal were unable to agree on this point.

- September 14, 1992 Deadline for Bureau's filing objections to cross-examination of the Bureau's witnesses (if the presiding administrative law judge has determined that live cross-examination is not a matter of right).
- September 14, 1992 Deadline for submitting the Bureau's rebuttal case, if any, in written form.
- September 18, 1992 Deadline for respondents' filing objections to cross-examination of the respondents' witnesses (if the presiding administrative law judge has determined that live cross-examination is not a matter of right).
- September 18, 1992 Deadline for respondents' filing requests to cross-examine the Bureau's rebuttal witnesses who testify in writing or notifications of witnesses to be produced by the Bureau for cross-examination.¹
- September 23, 1992 Deadline for Bureau's filing objections to cross-examination of the Bureau's rebuttal witnesses (if the presiding administrative law judge has determined that live cross-examination is not a matter of right).
- September 29, 1992 Hearing and prehearing conference. Exhibits and two copies of written testimony brought for submission into the record.
- September 30, 1992 Hearing continues (if necessary).

The proposed schedule is the result of negotiations begun May 28, 1992, between Bureau counsel and counsel for Charles P. Pascal. Bureau counsel have been unable to contact Sandra V. Crane. (Ms. Crane has informed the Bureau in writing that she has counsel, whom she has left unidentified.)

The movants believe that the proposed procedural schedule would be conducive to the efficient and expeditious handling of this proceeding, and respectfully request its adoption.

Respectfully Submitted,

Charles P. Pascal

Ralph A. Haller
Chief, Private Radio Bureau

By: *George L. Lyon, Jr.*
(*Thomas D. Fitz-Gibbon by permission*)
George L. Lyon, Jr.
Attorney

By: *Thomas D. Fitz-Gibbon*
Eric Malinen
Thomas D. Fitz-Gibbon
Attorneys

Dated: June 5, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kimberly Thomas, certify that on June 5, 1992, a copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR ADOPTION OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE, filed on behalf of the Chief, Private Radio Bureau, and Charles P. Pascal, was sent by First Class mail to:

Sandra V. Crane
4734 La Villa Marina J
Marina Del Rey, California 90292

George L. Lyon, Jr., Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1819 H Street, N.W.
Seventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006

Joseph Stimer
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communication Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Room 224
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand-carried)