

July 1992

EX PARTE LATE FILED

RECEIVED

JUL 10 1992

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

In the Matter of )  
)  
Redevelopment of Spectrum to )  
Encourage Innovation in the )  
Use of New Telecommunications )  
Technologies )

ET Docket No. 92-9

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL  
FILE

To: The Commission

**MOTION TO ACCEPT LATE-FILED REPLY COMMENTS OF IEEE 802  
LOCAL AREA NETWORK STANDARDS COMMITTEE**

The IEEE 802 Local Area Network Standards Committee (the "Committee"), pursuant to Section 1.46 of the Commission's Rules, respectfully requests that its attached Reply Comments in the above-captioned matter be made a part of the record even though its submission is being filed after the July 8, 1992 deadline established by the Commission for filing reply comments.

As indicated in the Committee's Comments and Reply Comments, this proceeding holds great significance for the members of the Committee. The Committee is part of an international organization of more than 320,000 members, and is focussed specifically on implementation of wireless local area networks. The Commission has identified wireless LANs as being within the family of personal communications services that may be among the first beneficiaries of the Emerging Technologies Bands.

The two-day delay in filing the Committee's Reply Comments was unavoidable. The Committee meets at approximately four months intervals. The Executive Committee which must approve filings such as the attached one last met in March, 1992 in Irvine, California, and met the evening of July 9, 1992 in Bloomington, Minnesota. There was no meeting during the interval between the Commission's dates for filing Comments and Reply Comments, and thus today is the Committee's first opportunity to have approved and submit Reply Comments.

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A-B-G-D-E

Of 6

**July 1992**


---

The Committee's contributions will provide the Commission with a more complete record from interested parties, and the brief two-day delay in submitting this filing should not prejudice the interests of other parties in the proceeding.

For these reasons, the Committee asks that the Commission grant this motion for late filing of its Reply Comments.

Respectfully submitted,

IEEE Project 802  
Local Area Networks Standards Committee

  
Donald C. Loughry

7/10/92

Chairman, IEEE 802  
Hewlett-Packard Company  
19420 Homestead Road, M/S 43UC  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
(408) 447-2454; FAX (408) 447-2247

July 10, 1992

July 1992

JUL 10 1992

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of )  
 )  
Redevelopment of Spectrum to )  
Encourage Innovation in the )  
Use of New Telecommunications )  
Technologies )

ET Docket No. 92-9

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF IEEE 802  
LOCAL AREA NETWORK STANDARDS COMMITTEE

The IEEE 802 Local Area Network Standards Committee (the "Committee") offers the following brief Reply Comments in the above-referenced matter. The Committee has a vital interest in the Commission's proposal to establish Emerging Technologies Bands in the 2 GHz region of the radio spectrum.

In its initial Comments, the Committee set out the following essential elements that must be part of a spectrum allocation for wireless LANs:

- o Wireless LANs have distinctive spectrum requirements and operating characteristics that must be accommodated in the Emerging Technologies Bands. Wireless LANs explicitly require a spectrum allocation exclusive to wireless data uses and a regulatory structure different from those adopted for carrier-provided services. Sharing with fixed microwave, or carrier-provided PCS, is not in the general case feasible.
- o Up to 140 MHz of radio spectrum will be required to satisfy the foreseeable demand for wireless LAN services. There is, today, an immediate need for at least 70 MHz of RF spectrum.
- o Individual user licensing is not appropriate for wireless LANs.

**July 1992**

---

- o International considerations support placing wireless LAN spectrum in the 2 GHz range.
- o The Commission's proposals do not go far enough or take effect quickly enough to assure that adequate amounts of spectrum, cleared of existing microwave users, will be available in a timely manner to meet wireless connectivity needs that are already acute.
- o The Committee is prepared to address reasonable means for clearing spectrum of incumbent fixed microwave users.

These elements contrast sharply with the comments of the 2 GHz microwave users in this proceeding, as discussed below.

**I. Exclusive Frequency Allocations Are Required For Wireless LANs.**

The Committee is sympathetic with the comments filed by those representing fixed microwave users, who share with the Committee an intense desire to achieve and maintain very high levels of reliability in their networks. Indeed, it is this concern with reliability that underlies the Committee's effort to secure new, cleared radio spectrum, in addition to the Industrial, Scientific and Medical ("ISM") bands that were previously the exclusive focus of the Committee's endeavors. The ISM bands are now subject to increased occupancy by ISM and other intentional radiators, including communications devices, that preclude effective operation of wireless LANs.

The Committee's concerns about interference also give rise to our reservations about the ability of wireless LANs to share frequencies with fixed microwave services or with carrier-provided PCS networks. Again, the issue is the technical integrity of both the wireless LAN service and any other service that might otherwise use the same frequencies. It is by no means certain that any radio service sharing the same spectrum could convincingly assure that there would not be, in some circumstance, destructive interference to another service.

Thus, it is of critical importance that the Commission move quickly to satisfy the spectrum requirements of wireless LAN developers. The Commission should immediately identify and allocate at least 70 MHz which the Committee has stated is the minimum necessary to meet current needs for wireless LANs. The Commission also should specify in this proceeding the frequencies that will be available to accommodate the future

**July 1992**

---

expansion of the wireless LAN band, beyond the initial allocation, and should set out the terms and conditions for such expansion.

Once that is done, Committee members and others can and will develop advantageous, spectrum-efficient protocols optimized for effective data communications over radio.

## **II. Wireless LANs Cannot Be Licensed.**

Wireless LANs, by definition, cover only a limited range and employ relatively low RF power which is related to the rate of the data which are conveyed. These characteristics justify permitting wireless LANs to operate without individual licenses, similar to Part 15 devices. It is, moreover, unnecessary and wasteful of public and private resources to require schools, businesses, individuals and institutions to obtain licenses to operate their own computer network services on their own premises.

Several respondents to the NPRM<sup>1</sup> pointed to a need for standards to establish orderly access to the radio medium, in lieu of a licensing requirement. While consensus standards and regulatory licensing both promote order among users, industry standards have been demonstrated again and again to produce faster and greater product acceptance. In this regard, the Committee offers its twelve year history of successfully developing voluntary standards for compatibility and interoperability among products designed and sold by numerous manufacturers as proof that licenses, with the attendant delays and encumbrances of the legal process, are not necessary to establish orderly access the radio medium.

## **III. International Considerations Require The Wireless LAN Spectrum to be In The 2 GHz Range.**

Several respondents<sup>2</sup> to the NPRM take issue with assertions by the Commission of the value of common international spectrum allocations. The Committee is, by definition, concerned with the computer industry and its connectivity interests. We point out that the United States' computer industry is still the world leader in technology, and the U.S. balance of trade in our industry continues to be favorable. Unless the U.S. computer industry can develop the next generation of equipment that employs wireless

---

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Northern Telecom Inc. at pp. 11-13 and 15-16.

<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., El Paso Gas at pp. 6 and 19-20.

**July 1992**

---

LANs, it will not have the home market advantage that increasingly is needed to compete in the global marketplace. If that advantage is lost, the favorable U.S. balance of trade in information technologies will be jeopardized.

The 2 GHz range addressed by the NPRM is clearly the favored frequency domain for consistency with the recent WARC and other international frequency allocation trends and decisions described by the Commission and several respondents.<sup>3</sup> The Committee emphasizes that rationalizing wireless LAN frequencies with international allocations, and a decision by the Commission to put voice PCS and other new personal wireless technologies in nearby or possibly contiguous spectrum, will facilitate economic and timely deployment of all these technologies.

#### **IV. The Commission's Proposals Do Not Go Far Enough Or Take Effect Quickly Enough.**

The Committee's call for fixed microwave users to clear a block of spectrum for wireless LANs is countered by those in the microwave community who call for delays, continuation of the *status quo* and outright obstruction of the Commission's already slow pace.

In view of these efforts to thwart the Commission's goal, we must repeat that, in the computer and other high-technology industries, product life-cycles evolve quickly and state-of-the-art advances take place at a very high rate. If the Commission is to provide a spectrum resource that supports continuing U.S. pre-eminence in the worldwide computer industry, the Commission must authorize wireless LANs much more quickly than the schedule proposed in the NPRM.

---

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Time Warner Telecommunications Inc. at pp. 6 and 9, and Northern Telecom Inc at pp. 4-8 and 13.

**July 1992**

---


**V. The Committee Is Prepared To Address Reasonable Means For Clearing  
Spectrum Of Incumbent Fixed Microwave Users.**

Several respondents to the NPRM raise ominous questions about the costs of relocating existing fixed microwave users. The Committee repeats its previous position: it is prepared to address reasonable means for achieving the goal of a frequency band cleared of services that would prevent effective deployment of wireless LANs.

In summary, the Committee again strongly urges that the Commission immediately allocate at least 70 MHz of 2 GHz fixed microwave frequencies, and that this allocation be cleared of existing users over a two-year period, which the Committee has identified as the spectrum urgently needed to foster the long-overdue development of new wireless LAN technologies.

Respectfully submitted,

IEEE Project 802  
Local Area Networks Standards Committee

 7/10/92  
Donald C. Loughry

Chairman, IEEE 802  
Hewlett-Packard Company  
19420 Homestead Road, M/S 43UC  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
(408) 447-2454; FAX (408) 447-2247

July 10, 1992