

SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY AT <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filings>

June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers To  
Infrastructure Investment--WT Docket No. 17-79--Request for  
Reconsideration and Stay

Dear Sir/Madam:

I hereby request reconsideration and a stay of the Federal Communications Commission's March 30, 2018 decision in the above-referenced matter. The Order dispenses with pre-deployment environmental and historic preservation reviews of many next generation wireless facilities.

This ruling in no way reflects the interests of the people of the United States. We value our health and wildlife over faster video speeds and connecting everything to the Internet. We do **not** want this. This ruling is not in our interest, nor is it for the good of humanity or the earth. The decision regarding the docket "Accelerating Wireless Broadband..." is egregious and so is this whole wireless craze. Cease and desist!!

What the American people **do** want is safe, fast, reliable, cyber secure, energy efficient fiber (or cable) to **every** home and business including in rural areas. Wired broadband is a human right in this day and age. Forced exposure to **wireless** broadband, is a **violation** of human rights.

These facilities will emit high frequency radiation directly into peoples' homes. Scientific studies indicate that the radiation from these facilities may cause cancer, DNA damage, neurological effects, and have other harmful impacts, especially in infants and young children.

*"There is now much more evidence of risks to health affecting billions of people worldwide. The status quo is not acceptable in light of the evidence for harm."* David O. Carpenter, MD, co-editor Bioinitiative Report. The authors conclude,

*"EMF and RFR are preventable toxic exposures. We have the knowledge and means to save global populations from multi-generational adverse health consequences by reducing both ELF and RFR exposures. Proactive and immediate measures to reduce unnecessary EMF exposures will lower disease burden and rates of premature death."*

Notwithstanding the robust science showing adverse health effects, the Commission refused in its Order to evaluate health impacts of the emissions but relied on ***outdated regulations*** from 1996. The General Accountability Office issued a report in July 2012 recommending that the FCC update its radiofrequency exposure limits. To date, the Commission has not acted on GAO's recommendation and yet the FCC is moving forward without regard to the public welfare. ***This is unacceptable.***

The next generation wireless facilities also threaten the integrity of residential communities in other ways. For example, these next generation facilities may include towers up to 50 feet or more high with any number of antennas and associated equipment attached to the towers and on the adjacent ground. These facilities will have a direct impact on the aesthetics and property values of affected neighborhoods, and pose a safety threat even beyond the RF exposure. Yet the Order does not consider these negative impacts.

Please reconsider the Order and issue a stay until the Commission completes its review of this and other requests for reconsideration. I also incorporate by reference the Request for Reconsideration and Stay submitted in this proceeding by Edward B. Myers on May 29, 2018.

For the convenience of the Commission, I have submitted a copy of Mr. Myers' Request for Reconsideration and Stay with this filing.

Respectfully,

Kate Kheel