


From: **CLEAR** clearwhidbey@gmail.com 
Subject: STOP 5G antenna installations on PRIVATE HOMES!
Date: June 13, 2019 at 9:34 AM
To: Laurie Keith blauriek@gmail.com
Bcc: julieg@whidbey.com



Here's a very clear short video which explains the trickery happening to slide in a clause allowing 5G Antennas to quietly be installed on private homes. People won't even know it happened unless they have an EMF meter.

click this link:

[STOP 5G Antenna installations on Private Homes](#)
and **then just make ONE CALL!**

This is the same outrageous issue that Mark sent an email about last week: sending reply comments directly to the FCC about it. (I copied his email here below). This action is very easy..one call to Congressman Frank Pallone..who may actually listen! He is ranking member of Energy and Commerce committee and is in the Progressive Caucus. Their committee is charged with " ...maintains principal responsibility for legislative oversight relating to telecommunications, consumer protection, food and drug safety, public health, air quality and environmental health, the supply and delivery of energy, and interstate and foreign commerce." He hasn't spoken out about EMF or 5G particularly. (Anna Eshoo [CA] of that committee, and the Health subcommittee, has sponsored a bill against FCC overreach against local governments in locating antennas.) If he gets on board with this, it will make a powerful force toward having hearings on this OTARD change the FCC is very quietly making. A call to him at the number given in the video as well as a comment as sent in Mark's last CLEAR email before the 18th would be great.

People are speaking up...word is getting out. Keep sharing!
Thanks so much!

Laurie Keith
Founding Member of CLEAR

Info at our Website! CLEARwhidbey.org

+++++++re-sending of Mark's email for Action input to FCC+++++
(sample letter to attach from Mark and from Laurie attached)

The unbridled 5G assault goes on:

I just sent the attached "Reply Comment" to the FCC with regard to their latest push to get 5G antennas in all our faces throughout the future. They want to revise a former rule of theirs that so far has allowed private property owners to have on their own property various arrays that receive radio waves as long as they are not too big.

Now that "mini-antennas" have been designed to transmit/blast radar-frequency 5G at citizens, the FCC thought it would be cool to allow private property owners to lease these out to telecoms without having any restrictions on how many of them can be in a neighborhood, how close they can be to neighbors' houses, or how powerful they can be. So they want to include now in their older reg on receiving antennas the additional simple phrase "including a hub or relay antenna", which just happens to allow all 5G small antennas.

There was a comment period that ended yesterday (6/3) but "Reply comments" are still allowed until June 18.

Read below this line for details on how you can submit. See my attached comments for ideas you can duplicate. They need to be in a doc that is uploaded to the comment site. If you are in a pinch, upload my pdf doc to the site as your submission.

Reading my **attached** letter will give you the picture. If you want to act go below the line and follow instructions to the submission website. Many voices are good.

Mark

Received from activists and edited somewhat by me:

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2019 04:10:04 GMT

Alert! Alert! Oppose FCC 19-71, 19-36

Monday, June 3 is the deadline for comments on FCC WT Docket 19-71, FCC 19-36

Comments are due June 3. Reply comments are due June 18.

Instructions on how to file comments – below.

The FCC wants to add "including a hub or relay antenna" into two short sections of the Code of Federal Rules.

Filing comments for WT Docket No. 19-71 and 19-36

This is the direct link to express filings --

<https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filings/express>

This is the direct link to standard comments (allows you to upload documents)

<https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filings>

Use either one to submit comments and reply comments.

You can submit more than one comment, too.

How to get there from the main webpage:

[fcc.gov](https://www.fcc.gov)

Proceedings and actions

Proceedings and actions overview

File a comment in a proceeding, learn more

Standard filing or express comment

fill in these blanks --

Proceeding: 19-71, press enter, 19-36, press enter

Name of filer: you

Primary contact email: your email address (not required)

Type of comment: comment or reply comment

Address (required)

Upload your comments – as a doc, pdf, or other listed file type; you can attach more than one document

(If you make a mistake, you can hit Reset)

Press, Continue to review screen

If okay, submit your comments (you may have to wait a bit for it to go through)

Print out confirmation page for your records

Regarding Updating the Commission's Rule for Over-the-Air Reception Devices 19-36

Reply Comment from Mark Wahl, Coordinator of CLEAR (Citizen League Encouraging Awareness of Radiation)

Commissioners,

This update in the rules for locating reception devices on private property is not just a tweak but an entire re-configuring of the meaning and intention of the original rule. It is, of course, in line with other recent FCC rules involving 5G, antenna location, and pre-emption of local control by the activities, whims and desires of wireless companies. This literally turns towns and rural areas into the "Wild West—Anything Goes" regimen for locating intense radiation devices in densely inhabited areas. It opens the way for all competing wireless companies to redundantly locate radar-frequency emitters within 50 feet of each other in the middle of dense populations if desired, as long as private landowners are available to hold out their hands for hard cash.

Though the telcos may turn their deaf ears toward any complaint about health effects (1996 Telco Act which the CT supreme court says indemnifies them), the private landowners are not so indemnified against lawsuits by neighbors and public passers-by based on nuisance, disability, assault, and many other legal factors. This is, of course, as the telcos and their abetting FCC want it, i.e., to "externalize" the liabilities created by 5G as they disseminate it without restraint. (Note that Swiss Re, massive re-insurer, has recently re-declared that it will not underwrite any liability created by health effects of 5G for any entity including companies, governments or individuals.) There now is overwhelming internationally verified evidence (which the FCC has refused to acknowledge since 1996), that the non-ionizing radiation of wifi frequencies have strong health effects. The property owners who cooperate with telcos in this assault on the public welfare can be legally challenged by appeals these peer-reviewed results. In so doing, citizens are turned against other citizens who collecting lease money from the telcos and community divisions result.

Thus, this is an assault on the cohesion of communities as well as on the health and well being of the populace. I and my constituents in CLEAR located in WA State strongly oppose such a ruling against local sovereignty of regulating bodies as well as civic cohesion and health. Please revise, or better revoke, this ruling.

Mark Wahl

Laurie Keith, LMP
www.Attunementarts.com
P.O. Box 1014 Langley, WA 98260

To: Federal Communications Commissioner
From: Laurie Keith
re: reply comment :Updating the Commission's Rule for Over-the-Air Reception
Devices 19-36
date: 6/13/19

Dear Commissioners,

It is a breach of our human and civil rights to allow millimeter wave pulsed transmitting devices to be installed on private homes for the use of broadcasting signals out into the neighborhood and beyond. Adding a clause quietly to the 1996 Telecommunications Act to allow this is unacceptable and will be challenged.

These kind of frequencies have had no testing to show that they are safe. Overwhelming peer reviewed scientific evidence shows that wireless frequencies cause cancer, heart attack, neurological illness, genetic damage and a host of lesser ills from pulsed microwave radiation. Millimeter wave radiation, which is what 5G will be utilizing, is of higher and even more damaging frequencies and is currently used as a weapon by our military and some police forces for crowd control. Also it is what TSA workers say is causing cancer clusters for those stationed near the scanning machines and has already killed hundreds of birds and other wildlife in areas where it has been turned on in addition to causing nose bleeds, head ache, loss of energy and a whole host of the usual preliminary EMF exposure symptoms in humans, just in the first few days of activation.

Though the telecoms may turn their deaf ears toward any complaint about health and environmental effects (1996 Telco Act which the CT supreme court says indemnifies them), the private landowners are not so indemnified against lawsuits by neighbors and public passers-by based on nuisance, disability, assault, and many other legal factors. In so doing, citizens are turned against other citizens who collecting lease money from the telecoms and community divisions result. Thus, this is an assault on the cohesion of communities as well as on the health and well being of the populace. There will be a big backlash and this will eventually be revoked. Please pre-empt this insanity.

I strongly oppose such a ruling against local sovereignty of regulating bodies as well as civic cohesion and health. Please revoke, this ruling.

Sincerely,

Laurie Keith
Founding Member of CLEAR
Citizen's League Encouraging Awareness of Radiation

