F2 Financial, Inc.

PO Box 190510

Dallas, TX 75219-0510

chantil@f2financial.com

"Helping solve your real world financial puzzles"

Accelerated Intermediate Academy

281-923-7151

FCC, Office of the Secretary

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

Billed Entity Name: Accelerated Intermediate Academy

Form 471: 478379

BEN: 227989

FCC RN: 0014304968

Funding Year July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006

RE: CC Docket No. 02-6

To Whom it May Concern,

Accelerated Intermediate Academy is requesting a waiver of the USAC denied appeal dated June 15, 2017. This request is based on the fact that Accelerated Intermediate Academy has not been involved in any improprieties or violated any SLP rules associated with the competitive bidding process. Any relationship that existed between the service provider and the consultant we were not aware of and had no bearing on the competitive bidding process and the subsequent decision. Any relationship that existed between the service provider and the consultant did not unfairly influence or have any influence to the outcome of this bidding process or provide "inside" information or allow it to unfairly compete in any way.

The Form 470 reflected the services offered under the SLD umbrella that met our needs. Since the technical expertise needed to eliminate duplicate functional services and then quantify those services did not exist in-house, it was our intention to have service providers make a visit to help us eliminate duplicate functional services and then quantify those service requirements. At no time, did Accelerated Intermediate Academy surrender its control of the bidding process to a service provider who participated in the competitive bidding process. The Form 470 format ("carbon copy") was a simplistic way to indicate to service providers that quality technical assistance and customer support were needed. A site visit was expected and/or at a minimum, a telephone conversation in regards to our needs. As a result of these site visits/telephone conversations, accurate and detailed bids could be generated. An analysis and comparison of these bids would follow. The lowest cost service provider that met our needs would then be selected. This decision would be made by Accelerated Intermediate Academy management. The Form 470 was submitted with this thought process in mind. This is open and fair.

All bids were to be submitted to the Accelerated Intermediate Academy contact who was an Accelerated Intermediate Academy employee. The consultant was never involved in determining functional services and quantities of services required, cost of these services, receipt of the bids, analysis of the bids and finally, the decision making process as to which service provider met our needs most cost effectively. Only one service provider responded with a visit and a bid.

The competitive bidding process was an open and fair process. There was nothing in this process that prevented additional service providers to contact us and provide us a bid. We welcomed other bids. We utilized the only bid we received and should not be penalized when the competitive bid process was open and fair.

As a result, Accelerated Intermediate Academy is requesting that the USAC denied decision on appeal be waived by the FCC. The implementation of these services thirteen to fourteen years ago has enhanced our ability to perform our number one mission to educate our children.

Thank you for your consideration.

Chantil Finklea

Chantil Finklea

Financial Consultant

Accelerated Intermediate Academy