

The internet is useful in countless forms of media. While entertainment may indeed be its most used source today, the web is also used for educational and working purposes. If Net Neutrality were discontinued a site used by someone to learn art, computer science, and web development such as PluralSight; which is an educational website that is hailed in higher regard to many artists in the computer graphics industry than the Art Institute and Devry University for the amount of high quality content offered, could be blocked by an ISP owned by a company that competes with Microsoft due to PluralSight having a partnership with them. Or Unreal Engine 4, a professional game engine made by developers responsible for the code running nearly a quarter the industry of modern AAA titles which is offered for free could be blocked by an ISP because they think video games cause violent behavior in children and young adults.

We all know the arguments you'll hear in the contrary view as well. Let's face it, you've heard these multiple times, as you've been forced to make a decision on whether or not to keep Net Neutrality several times.

The first is that horrible content such as snuff, pedophilia and bestiality is on parts of the internet. The problem with this argument is films or pictures involving any of these are banned already due to displaying eroticized murder, and child/animal porn being illegal. Sure people can post these things on the internet using VPNs and complex ciphering of their browsing, uploading, and downloading history and potentially not get caught. But where would they post it? They have to post any content on the web on some form of server, and because of that any server that allows such horrid content could be tracked and shut down quickly, limiting any chances of spreading such content. And website owners such as Google, Facebook, Twitter, Patreon, DeviantArt etc while allowing uploads, if they saw something such as that would definitely help the government track you down as best as possible. Meaning you would never get popular and you would constantly have to hide, thereby forcibly living in paranoia if you tried.

The second is religious freedom. The Bible and Qu'ran both have a few sentences that speak against homosexuality. But the problem with this is homosexuality is openly supported by any educational support site, news organization or social network that more than a few tens of thousands of people use. With many websites, such as YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter having people in the billions. Imagine how people would react even if they held these views as well if their ISPs banned them from using their Facebook or YouTube accounts. Millions would potentially lose their jobs because of this as well due to either having a YouTube creator career, or getting laid off due to missing important Facebook or LinkedIn announcements by their boss when they are in a career which requires social media interaction.