I oppose proceeding 17.108 because it violates the principle of Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is necessary for the fair use of the internet including free speech. Right now, everyone has the right to internet speech, but if 17.108 is implemented, speech can be curtailed or significantly delayed. If customers are paying for a particular level of service, it should not be possible for a company to pay to have priority in speed/bandwidth because this could unfairly preempt or slow consumers’ internet traffic while the company profits from its advantage. This harms the consumers who have every right to expect that if access they are paying is impeded by high traffic levels, it is because everyone shares equally in the shortage of bandwidth. 17.108 would in effect allow companies to pay to monopolize bandwidth. In addition to harming consumers, it would harm small businesses, who can only compete if they have a level playing field in terms of bandwidth access. I also support keeping Telecom and ISPs designations, rather than reclassifying them as Internet Services under Title II.