To the Federal Communications Commission regarding Proceeding 17-108,

The ideas put forward by Internet Service Providers (ISP's) such as Comcast, Verizon, Time Warner Cable, and T-Mobile, as well as FCC Commissioner Ajit Pai, suggesting that strict federal regulation of Net Neutrality is unnecessary, detrimental to technological innovation, and leaves the aforementioned providers more susceptible to the debilitating effects of underinvestment are themselves grossly hyperbolic and inaccurate claims.

Speaking candidly as a middle class American voter who both enjoys and relies on internet access every day for my work and education, I believe the shared goals of FCC Commissioner Pai, ISP's, the Trump Administration, and the Republican Congress to withdraw the Net Neutrality legislation passed during the Obama Administration in 2015 are both detrimental to my convenience and my ability to uphold my responsibilities in my work and education, as well as needlessly taxing on my already-burdensome financial situation. The efforts of these parties to this end have tarnished my views of them all; indeed, I would refuse to vote for a politician solely on the grounds that they do not support Net Neutrality as it is regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. That I have taken the time and effort to formulate this comment on the issue is indicative of my frustration towards the ISP's for continuing to lobby for Net Neutrality deregulation (in spite of some deceptively-phrased public statements by these ISP's which appear to support Net Neutrality but certainly do not) and the Republican Congress for complying with them.

I will not articulate in any great detail the plethora of reasons I have to support Net Neutrality under Title II, in part because I firmly believe all of these reasons are both grossly conspicuous and intimately understood by the guilty parties which I have mentioned. I will instead summarize my beliefs as follows:

1. Strict regulation of Net Neutrality as outlined under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is essential to safeguarding a "free and open internet" because I do not (and never would) trust any internet service provider (including the one I currently have) to voluntarily self-regulate the speed and cost of online information delivered to me through any mode whatsoever.
2. I do not believe regulation of Net Neutrality under Title II does (or would ever) inhibit technological innovations such as those made in Silicon Valley over the past decade, including for ISP's such as Verizon, Time Warner Cable, Comcast, etc. As Verizon’s Executive VP and CFO Francis Shammo once said, "[Net Neutrality] does not influence the way we invest...both in wireless and wireline Fios..."
3. In accordance with modern business ethics, I fervently believe all online information should be equally accessible to all people, in terms of both cost and speed, at the lowest possible price and highest possible speed for consumers, in accordance with Title II regulations. Furthermore, no ISP should ever have the opportunity to throttle certain websites for any reason whatsoever, as this would (in one example) give cable companies an unfair and unethical advantage over streaming sites like Netflix and Amazon with which they compete by allowing the former to either throttle the latter's content or increase the loading speeds of its own content in order to prevent consumers from enjoying their competitor's content.
4. ISP's such as Verizon and Time Warner Cable have left me frustrated and extremely distrustful of their actions as a result of the frequent and highly misleading public statements they have made which laud their apparent (yet completely disingenuous) support for Net Neutrality regulation. It is extremely apparent to me and many, many others where these corporations stand on the issue of Net Neutrality, and the notion that they would endeavor to falsely align their interests with mine and other like-minded consumers is both distressing and insulting, and spurs me to take further action against them in order to preserve the Title II regulations which are currently employed.

I view Net Neutrality as an extremely serious issue in the United States which has a tremendous impact on how I vote at the district, state, and federal levels. I will not compromise on any of the beliefs I have outlined above, nor will I ever refrain from actively opposing any efforts made by ISP's, the Trump Administration, Congress, or the FCC to revoke regulation of Net Neutrality under Title II.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Liam Mazur