In reference to docket 17-108, I voice my support in favor of internet neutrality. My argument is that the whole thing was initially constructed with public money so let the public have equal, unimpeded access.  However using the Washington Department of Transportation model of allowing those with the money access to the fast/HOV lanes on the urban interstates (they pay a toll depending upon traffic conditions) with less than 3 people as an example, it will be a rich man's cyberspace.  As WA drivers realize those without the $$ hit the backup and wait to get to where they are going.  The rich speed along unimpeded because they can afford it.  I've already seen this, but instead of the $$ going to the state it will go to the ISPs.

The principle on which our government was founded is that the people control the government. George Orwell would counter “Which people.” I firmly believe that the vast majority of the citizens of the USA support net neutrality. If the Commission will take time to review these public comments I think they will prove my stance is correct. I therefore urge the Commission to support net neutrality because it is the desire of the citizens and will allow for the free unimpeded use of this valuable resource and benefit the citizens rather than penalize them.