Dear FCC Commissioner,

Because you are advancing a money making scheme onto a public entity, where people in the past used the internet such as in schools and for homework, now the children of less well-off homes will not get the access they need to succeed. HOW can I say that? Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. Throttling was ALREADY tried in Europe. It was a complete failure. The whole system of throttling access didn’t work. People couldn’t game. (such a shame, you might say, let them read a book instead.) However, it was a disaster. What are you doing differently to have a different outcome here?

You have a legacy. As from your own ideas and words, you will be remembered as the one who took down a chance for equal access by using the cover of “economic growth” as a euphemism for corporate greed. After all you might say,” Let the little kids struggle through doing homework: it will teach them perserverance. Let the elderly on a fixed income not get access to get out to information; Let the poor not be able to watch a movie. “ The like sentiments of Mr. Potter found in the movie, It’s a Wonderful Life.. “ Taking a public entity to a corporate profit model means the internet is a party and only those who can pay to enter will get into the fast lane. We the public understand completely what you are doing. The small startups know what you are doing when an Amazon giant competes with the small guy and can pay to make them invisible. It means the bigger will get bigger, and the small fry will get trampled. That’s not what a public entity is supposed to do. The rage of a ruling to destroy net neutrality is beyond a cyber attack: it is an attack by yourself upon the American people.

Sincerely,

Janice Carey