August 24, 2018

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street S.W.
Room TWA325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation
CG Docket Nos. 02-278, 18-152

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On August 22, 2018, Arpan A. Sura of Hogan Lovells US LLP, counsel to the American Association of Healthcare Administrative Management; Mike Merola and Michael McMenamin of Winning Strategies Washington; Adam Goldberg of Anthem, Inc.; and Vincent Frakes of WellCare Health Plans, Inc. (by telephone) met with the following members of the Federal Communications Commission’s (“FCC” or “Commission”) Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau: Mark Stone, Deputy Bureau Chief; Kristi Thornton, Associate Division Chief, Policy Division (by telephone); and Daniel Margolis, Acting Legal Advisor (by telephone).

During this meeting, we reiterated our request for the Commission to expeditiously grant the Joint Petition, which seeks two clarifications regarding healthcare-related communications under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) and the FCC’s 2015 Omnibus TCPA Order:

1. That the provision of a phone number to a “covered entity” or “business associate” (as those terms are defined under Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”)) constitutes prior express consent for non-telemarketing calls allowed under HIPAA for the purposes of treatment, payment, or health care operations.

2. That the prior express consent clarification in paragraph 141 and the non-telemarketing health care message exemption granted in paragraph 147, both in the 2015 Omnibus TCPA Order, be clarified to include HIPAA “covered entities” and “business associates.” Specifically, each use of the term “healthcare provider” in paragraphs 141 and 147 of the 2015 Omnibus TCPA Order should be clarified to encompass “HIPAA covered entities and business associates.”


We also discussed the substance of our recent letters to Representatives Blackburn and Doyle\(^3\) and Senators Thune and Nelson,\(^4\) and as well as our comments to the Commission in June 2018 in response to the ACA International decision.\(^5\) As these submissions explain, there is no longer any reason for the Commission to delay grant of the Joint Petition now that the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit has issued a decision regarding the 2015 Omnibus TCPA Order. The D.C. Circuit’s ACA International decision confirms that the FCC has broad authority to harmonize the TCPA and HIPAA,\(^6\) And because the Joint Petition raises discrete issues from the FCC’s ongoing proceedings on the treatment of automated telephone dialing systems (“ATDS”), reassigned numbers, or revocation of consent, the Commission can grant the Joint Petition without affecting other TCPA decisions.

It has been two years since we filed the Joint Petition and five months since the D.C. Circuit released ACA International. Given the voluminous evidence on the record in support of the Joint Petition, including bipartisan endorsements from members of the House\(^7\) and Senate,\(^8\) the Commission should grant the Petition promptly to facilitate time-sensitive health care communications that patients want and need.

Indeed, following the recent FCC oversight hearing in July 2018, Rep. Bilirakis submitted a question for the record asking the Commission to “provide an update regarding the Commission’s view on protecting non-telemarketing calls allowed under HIPAA in light of their unique value to and acceptance by consumers and to do so in an expedited manner via delegated authority by the Bureau of Consumer and Government Affairs or by swift Commission action so that beneficiaries’ access to health care is not jeopardized, rather than waiting for a

---


\(6\) See ACA Int’l, 885 F.3d at 695.

\(7\) See Letter from Rep. Gus Bilirakis, et al. to FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, at 1 (Oct. 13, 2017) (asking Chairman Pai to act promptly to “afford clarity to covered entities and business associates making non-marketing communications that benefit patients” and observing that “helpful, important non-marketing communications can be critical safeguards to reaching underserved populations and supporting more effective, efficient health care.”).

\(8\) See Letter from Sens. Corey Booker and Bill Nelson to FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, at 1 (Nov. 3, 2017) (noting that the calls and text messages subject to the Joint Petition convey “important medical and treatment information” and “improve patient outcomes” and stating that “time is of the essence to ensure that consumers’ access to health care is not jeopardized” and asked the FCC to “resolve these issues as soon as possible (preferably within the next 90 days) and to protect communications allowed under HIPAA in light of their unique value to consumers and their positive impact on Americans’ health and well-being.”).
larger ‘omnibus’ TCPA ruling that could take much longer. Rep. Bilirakis’s question yet again underscores the importance of promptly granting the Joint Petition and removing the uncertainty created by the 2015 Omnibus TCPA Order that has chilled healthcare-related communications.

Acting quickly to grant the Joint Petition would promote a critical public policy goal of providing effective and efficient medical care, especially to at-risk populations, and it would support the Commission’s longstanding policy of harmonizing HIPAA and the TCPA. Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission’s rules, this letter is being filed electronically with your office. Please contact me with any questions about this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arpan A. Sura

Arpan A. Sura  
Counsel to American Association of Healthcare Administrative Management  
arpan.sura@hoganlovells.com  
D +1 202 637 4655

---

9 See Question for the Record from Rep. Gus Bilirakis to the Federal Communications Commission (July 25, 2018) (attached hereto as Exhibit C).