

Where I live, I only have one choice in broadband providers. There are no other providers to choose from, as even fixed wireless service availability is spotty at best, so my local cable company is my only option. The Net Neutrality rules are the only pressure that keeps my ISP from throttling, blocking, or modifying my access to the Internet, as competition is non-existent. This proposal negatively affects my access, and the access of many other rural communities in similar situations. Internet access, whether for a home, a business, or large enterprise should not be solely at the whim of large corporate interests. Considering the costs of service, I should be able to use my Internet service as I see fit within the law. My ISP should not be able to decide what I see or how fast I see it beyond reasonable network management practices. This includes the use of paid prioritization (Fastlanes) to gouge content providers who have already paid for transit and connection. This not only undermines the freedom of expression of Internet users, but also favors large corporate interests that can afford such prioritization, unfairly handicapping smaller interests and hampering competition and innovation. The Internet should be blind to what it carries and deliver the packets from source to destination without discrimination within the law and within reason. This includes the access layer and the transit layer. I am urging the FCC, Chairman Pai, and the other FCC commissioners to keep the Title II regulations in place to protect Internet Freedom.