

Becky Cunningham
418 23rd Avenue, Apt. 4
San Francisco CA 94121

Sep 4th 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I am retired and need to watch what I spend. I am a satisfied SONIC customer (internet and phone service). SONIC's service and its customer service is great, and I would be totally pissed if anything happened to spoil the excellent service I've become accustomed to from SONIC. If I were glued to the television set all day I'd probably also pay SONIC additional money for the Dish service they now offer, but I'm not a couch potato and don't need a million channels. Instead, in order to simply get a picture on my television set so I can watch the little television I do, I'm stuck with COMCAST for overpriced basic cable service because there aren't any other providers to choose from. I chose T-MOBILE for phone and internet service on my old iPhone. So, I do do business with more than one provider. I don't really understand all the technicalities involved ("fiber networks", "UNEs", etc.), but it is my belief AT&T's petition to you to prevent SONIC from doing what it needs to do so it can continue serving its customers is just plain sour grapes and stingy. Apparently SONIC needs access to something AT&T's got ("UNEs"?) in order to expand its fiber network and to compete. If AT&T succeeds, satisfied residential customers of SONIC will no doubt end up paying more money every month and possibly have to forfeit some quality in our internet service; that would be the pits for me and many others who like and rely on SONIC.

Becky Cunningham