

Kevin DeMartelaere  
986 Teresita Blvd  
San Francisco CA 94127

Sep 4th 2018

Via ECFS  
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to  
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

6 months ago I had Sonic installed at my home in San Francisco. The speed is faster and cheaper than AT&T. I'm very satisfied with Sonic for my internet and do not want to only have AT&T or Xfinity as my options. AT&T's acquisition of DirecTV; which I also have in SF, means the number of options consumers have is very few. Consumers have to constantly switch back and forth between the big guys to keep costs down. The day I leave AT&T I get mailers constantly to come back. AT&T has a huge budget to mail junk to me but never attempts to keep it's customers after the 'special rate' contract period. Prices skyrocket so the consumer has to cancel, and respond to the competitions 'special rate' mailer. After several years of this I end up with a lot of equipment that I need to find a recycler for the outdated equipment. The current model of how the consumer gets internet, phone, and TV feels like a monopolistic attempt to increase profits and a huge resource waste. I have a home in San Francisco and Sonoma County. In Sonoma, I'm in a country setting and have even fewer options for internet. I welcome Sonic's ability to expand and will support it's success as long as they do not become just another AT&T or Xfinity gouge the consumer model.

Regards,

Kevin DeMartelaere