

COUNTY OF WARREN



County Administrator's Office
Warren County Government Center
220 North Commerce Avenue, Suite 100
Front Royal, Virginia 22630

Phone: (540) 636-4600
FAX: (540) 636-6066
Email: dstanley@warrencountyva.net

Douglas P. Stanley
County Administrator

September 19, 2018

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CHAIRMAN
Tony F. Carter
*Happy Creek
District*

VICE-CHAIRMAN
Daniel J. Murray, Jr.
*North River
District*

Archie A. Fox
*Fork
District*

Linda P. Glavis
*South River
District*

Thomas H. Sayre
*Shenandoah
District*

Douglas P. Stanley
County Administrator

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, District of Columbia 20554

RE: Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WC Docket No. 17-84; Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WT Docket No. 17-79

Dear Ms. Dortch,

Warren County writes to express our concerns over the Federal Communications Commission's proposed Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order regarding state and local governance of small cell wireless infrastructure deployment. Warren County is uniquely situated in the Shenandoah Valley and is home to the northern entrance to Shenandoah National Park. The siting of cell infrastructure is an extremely important topic to our citizens. That said, the County has approved a number of cell towers over the years including stealth towers disguised as farm silos.

While we share the Commission's objective of finding new ways to effectively deploy broadband technologies, especially in underserved communities, we are concerned that the proposed language would significantly impede local governments' ability to serve as trustees of public property, safety and welfare. Counties own substantial amounts of public rights-of-way, which many communication providers use to construct their own communications networks. The proposed order would significantly narrow the amount of time for local governments to evaluate 5G deployment applications from communication providers – effectively hindering our ability to fulfill public health and safety responsibilities during the construction and modification of broadcasting facilities.

* The FCC's proposed new collocation shot clock category is too extreme. The proposal designates any preexisting structure, regardless of its design or suitability for attaching wireless equipment, as eligible for this new expedited 60 day shot clock. When paired with the FCC's previous decision exempting small wireless facilities from federal historic and environmental review, this places an unreasonable burden on local governments to prevent historic preservation, safety,

*Front Royal-Warren County
Rivers of Opportunity-Mountains of Success*

or environmental harms to the community. The addition of up to three cubic feet of antenna and 28 cubic feet of additional equipment to a structure not originally designed to carry that equipment is substantial and may necessitate more review than the FCC has allowed in its proposal. This would be a particular concern in our corridor overlay districts where we regulate the appearance and materials of buildings.

* The FCC's proposed definition of "effective prohibition" is overly broad. The draft report and order proposes a definition of "effective prohibition" that invites challenges to long-standing local rights of way requirements unless they meet a subjective and unclear set of guidelines. While the Commission may have intended to preserve local review, this framing and definition of effective prohibition opens local governments to the likelihood of more, not less, conflict and litigation over requirements for aesthetics, spacing, and undergrounding.

* The FCC's proposed recurring fee structure is an unreasonable overreach that will harm local policy innovation. We disagree with the FCC's interpretation of "fair and reasonable compensation" as meaning approximately \$270 per small cell site. Local governments share the federal government's goal of ensuring affordable broadband access for every American, regardless of their income level or address. That is why many cities have worked to negotiate fair deals with wireless providers, which may exceed that number or provide additional benefits to the community. Additionally, the Commission has moved away from rate regulation in recent years. Why does it see fit to so narrowly dictate the rates charged by municipalities?

Warren County has worked with Comcast and CenturyLink to build the best broadband infrastructure possible for our residents. We oppose this effort to restrict local authority and stymie local innovation, while limiting the obligations providers have to our community. We urge you to oppose this declaratory ruling and report and order.

Sincerely,



Douglas P. Stanley, AICP ICMA-CM
County Administrator

dps:EAM