

1635 N. Silveston St

Jackson, SC 29231

Jan. 7, 1993

REC-111

RECEIVED

JAN 11 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M St. NW  
Washington DC 20534

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

Dear Mr. Chairman: JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am writing regarding graphic Pro-Life ads used by candidates for office on TV. As much as I hate gore and blood, these ads are no different from any news report or health program, in that they tell the truth about what abortion is. America denies what abortion is and must be shown the

truth. Pro-abortion groups  
call the fetus a tissue glob  
or potential life, but these  
ads show that the result  
of abortion is a dead human  
being. Please allow these  
ads to go uncensored, as  
allowed in our First Amend-  
ment.

Sincerely,

Sharon J. Gibbs  
(Mrs. Carl Gibbs)

RECEIVED

Jan. 4, 1993

JAN 11 1993

93010266  
RECEIVED

To FCC, FCC MAIL ROOM

JAN 26 1993


FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I understand that you  
are wanting public opinion on  
pro-life ads on television. I  
believe that they should not be  
censored by the TV stations.

There are a lot of things  
on television that I wish my  
children were not subject to: profanity,  
sex outside of marriage, rude  
disrespectful attitudes of sit-com  
children toward authority, homosexuality  
and yet none of these are now  
will they be censored

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

One million and a half  
babies are killed every year by



RECEIVED  
JAN 26 1993

abortion. This is the truth. I believe my children can deal with truth easier than they can deal with some writers' fanciful political agenda of what they want this country to become.

Besides if there is any fairness in media we need some view of pro-life represented - you will have to admit that the news media is totally biased toward pro-death.

Lardy, Rod, Caleb and  
Nathan Campbell

1-6-93

92-254

Federal Communications Commission  
1909 M Street NW,  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JAN 11 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM  
RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sir,

I am writing to ask to continue to allow that political ads be allowed to continue to inform the public about abortion and its affect on our nation. I feel the public needs to be informed about such matters. If we are not informed how can we function as a democracy. then our allowances of wrong things like abortion will continue.

We dont need pornography but these ads have nothing to do with pornography. They only expose something that the public should be made aware of and I feel should continue to be allowed.

Thank you.

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

Sincerely,

Nike Hyland



RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

General Contractor

RT. 3 BOX 510K  
WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76308

PHONE (817) 691-4142  
PHONE (817) 723-5020  
FAX 817-766-3430

JAN 11 1993

January 8, 1992,  
FCC office of Secretary  
1919 M. Street  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED  
JAN 11 3 45 PM '93  
MAIL ROOM  
INVEST

To Whom It May Concern,

We would like to voice our  
opinion for the abortion ads. It's  
time America wake up and face  
what is happening to our children.  
We vote yes for the abortion ads!

Sincerely,

Troy L. Inman  
Marilyn Inman

Troy L. Inman  
Owner

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 8, 1993

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
1919 M Street  
Washington, D.C. 20554

To whom it may concern:

I understand that you are considering comments regarding the airing of so-called explicit and offensive anti-abortion ads on television by Congressional candidates.


I support the airing of the ads for two main reasons. First, the First Amendment. It allows these candidates the right to express their views about abortion so that voters will know what the candidates believe and why.

Second, I sense that the pro-choice Planned Parenthood types are upset because the truth about abortion is finally being told, with graphic pictures, and they don't like it. They don't like that their source of money and control over many people's lives is being threatened. They don't like that they are being confronted about their lies and deceit with the truth, through a vehicle that they normally control, the media.

So, these same people who normally defend all kinds of obscene pornography, including that posing as art, are acting as though they are "offended" by the graphic pictures. Well, the pictures only show what they see and advocate everyday, and if they're truly offended, they should quit doing abortions!

Therefore, don't give in to their fake cries of disgust. The only disgusting thing about it is that the ads have to be shown at all in order to make people realize how truly horrible abortion really is.

Sincerely,



James W. Emerson  
700 Daphne Ct.  
Cincinnati, OH. 45240

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

JAN 11 1993

RECEIVED  
JAN 11 9 16 AM '93

JAN 1 1993  
JAN 1993  
REC'D

WAUNETA S. BARE  
801 E. MAIN #5  
LAUREL, MT  
59044

RECEIVED

JAN 11 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Federal Communication Commission  
1919 M. N.W.  
Washington D.C. 20004

January 4, 1993

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter which states my perspective on the issue of pro-life commercials being shown on some TV stations.

I believe that TV stations should NOT have the discretion on whether to show pro-life commercials or not. It is my viewpoint that the commercials should be shown. If the pro-life commercials are not shown then the constitutional right of free speech is being denied to the producers of the pro-life commercials.

It is important to inform the public, and these commercials are sharing the facts about what actually happens to the aborted children.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Neta Bare*

Neta Bare  
Concerned citizen

RECEIVED  
JAN 11 3 45 PM '93  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of copies rec'd  
List A B O D E

2

Most recently, Americans were not willing to get involved in the starvation in Somalia, until they saw the emaciated masses dying before their eyes. After raising Americans' awareness, public sentiment demanded action.

Although the pictures we've just described are not pretty, they have their place in informing and in educating the American people. We urge you to allow pro-life candidates to present their side of the abortion issue, even if the commercials are uncomfortable and unsettling. They are no more visually graphic than the evening news.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

*Larry Linsin*  
*Donna Linsin*

Larry and Donna Linsin  
(704) 369-9487

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

553 Mulberry Road  
Otto, NC 28763  
January 6, 1993

Administrator  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

92-254

RECEIVED

JAN 11 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

REFERENCE: PRO-LIFE TV SPOTS

Dear Administrator:

It is our understanding that the FCC is considering whether or not to allow pro-life political candidates to air a spot depicting aborted fetuses.

Please consider the following: Blacks in the South were beaten, fire-hosed, ridiculed, and unfairly incarcerated with little concern being shown by the rest of the country until they saw Martin Luther King and others being beaten by police. Public sentiment and concern due to this new awareness brought about many civil rights protections for Blacks.

Many Americans were unconcerned about the horrors in Viet Nam until they saw the bloody, dismembered bodies of American soldiers and Vietnamese civilians. Outrage, due to this awareness brought an earlier end to the war.

Similarly, graphic depictions of Saddam Hussein's atrocities, the brutal beating of Rodney King, and the Los Angeles riots all raised American awareness to heights that mere words could never do. Television has a long history of presenting facts, no matter how graphic or unpleasant, "in living color".

There is much debate today concerning pro-life political candidates showing aborted fetuses on television to make their case in ways that words cannot. It is the intention of these candidates to raise American awareness that fetuses are developing human beings, and by exposing the atrocities of abortion, the public will realize exactly what abortion is and thereby influence public opinion. We see no difference in showing a mutilated soldier as a result of war, and a mutilated fetus as a result of an abortion. If that mutilated fetus is too "intense" and "graphic" for television, then so are war scenes, police beatings, natural disasters, car wrecks, and most of the movies shown on television.

RECEIVED  
JAN 11 3 45 PM '93

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0

93010310

JAN 17 1993

FOC - MAIL ROOM

M. Zietz  
604288  
Sawyer, ND  
58781

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear sir,

I was appalled by the Commercial I saw on our T.V. station, KMOT-TV of Minot, ND on the abortion issue, and the man who wants to run this. I do not want this aired for my grandchildren to see or any children to see. Please do away with this sort of mind damaging programming. There is too much violence on T.V. now with out the horrifying results of abortion.

RECEIVED  
JAN 26 12 43 PM '93

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

Sincerely,  
M. Zietz