1616 WILSON AVE
98225-8027

EVERETT DDC WA *98203* 01

USA 19

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

F.C.C,
1919 M STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20554

© USPS 1991

SUNDAY JAN 24, 1993

DEAR SERVANT OF THE PEOPLE,

I HAVE BEEN TOLD BY AUTHORITATIVE SOURCES THAT A PROPOSED RULE CHANGE THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE F.C.C. (PR DOCKET 92-235) WILL ADVERSLY EFFECT MY HOBBY. WHICH IS RADIO CONTROLED (R/C) MODEL AIRPLANES.

PLEASE KEEP THE PRESENT FREQUENCY SEPARATION (10 KHZ) BETWEEN MOBILE PHONE USERS & R/C HOBBIISTS.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

Don E Llewellyn
1616 WILSON AVE
BELLINGHAM, WA 98225-8027

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

February 2, 1993
7320 Blanchard Dr.
Derwood, MD 20855

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M ST. NW
Washington DC. 20554

Subject: NPRM, PR DOCKET 92-235

People:

The subject rules propose a serious threat to radio controlled model aircraft. To make sure we are all on the same wave length you should know a few facts about these model airplanes. They aren't toys. These planes weigh up to 55 pounds and some travel at speeds of over 200 mph they cost several hundred to several thousand dollars and involve countless hours of labor. A 20 lb plane at 50 mph has about the same force as a well swung sledge hammer and is capable of causing serious damage, injury, or even death. Obviously we don't want to loose control of these aircraft.

The proposed rule would put more powerful mobile stations just 2.5 KHz off many of our channels. This condition is almost designed to destroy aircraft and jeopardize personal safety. New and tighter band widths for model aircraft just became effective in 1992 and caused many modelers to modify or trash older equipment. The Bible says the Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away, but I saw no mention of the FCC. Why are you now taking away that which you just gave last year.

It is particularly interesting to note that the proposed allocations have a 5KHz spacing between each other but are perfectly willing to sit only 2.5 KHz from our lower power frequencies.

I've been involved in this hobby/sport since I retired and I find it quite rewarding. I also see father and son teams enjoying the sport together. It is wholesome outdoor and indoor (building) activity. If the proposed rule goes through many of us may be forced out of the hobby due to expense. I have two radio systems who's total value is about $600 and they both become useless under the proposed rule.

I am opposed to the subject rule as it relates to the 72 MHz portion of the spectrum. In the past I have seen government agencies solicit public comment on proposed actions and then pursue the Government's interest regardless of either logic or public opinion. Please don't implement the proposed regulations without considering us little guys who can't possibly muster the power and influence of the Ma Bells of this world.

Your consideration of these thought is appreciated.

Respectfully

Robert S. Yount

Robert S. Yount

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9
List A B C D E

92-235

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

RECEIVED
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEB 0 1 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW
Washington DC, 20554

To Whom it May Concern:

I have recently been alerted of plans to create new cellular phone channels between 72mhz and 75mhz to be voted on February 26, 1993. I am part of a large group of people who have spent a great deal of time and money in R/C modeling, and believe that creating new channels between 72mhz and 75mhz would cause problems for me. Without at least 10khz between existing R/C surface and air channels, I believe interference would cause my equipment to malfunction, or become unusable. Please reconsider placing the new channels between these frequencies and save me and others a great deal of time and expense in replacement or modifications.

Thank you for your time,

Monty C. Carson
520 E. Wilmington Ave.
Salt Lake, UT 84106-1419

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

January 29, 1993

Dear Sirs:

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for many years. I own several radios and a variety of model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Sincerely,

Charles A. Conley Sr.

Charles Conley Sr.
P.O. Box 266
Beech Bottom, WV 26030

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E