

92-254

January 26, 1993

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Federal Communications Commission
Alfred Silkes, Chairman
1919 M Street
Washington DC 20554

Dear Mr. Silkes:

My request to you is to allow abortion facts and issues to be shown on television. We are speaking about helpless babies.

Ours belief in this area of the country is too much filth and sexual acts along with crime is being shown during prime time. We prefer more good family and educational programs to be shown.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sincerely,
Elaene Cartwright
43630 Trout Valley Rd.
Waupaca, WI 54981

No. of Copies rec'd 0
UNADDE

92254

January 7, 1993
93010263

Mr. + Mrs. James H. LaJon
242 Pine Hill Ln.
Grand Prairie, TX 75051

Federal Comm. Commission
Washington, D.C. RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

Dear Susi,

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

We wanted to make a statement concerning the censoring of pro-life advertisements for television. There are so many "offensive" subjects portrayed on T.V. daily which we choose not to watch. Soap operas are on the air with pornography and there is really no value in seeing it. Many daily talk shows air very offensive subjects which should be censored. However, the showing of the actual results of abortion - killing of innocent babies - is being

Chosen for censorship. Abortion is offensive, and it should be stopped, but closing our eyes to its effects will not make it go away. Only as people are shown its consequences will they know that it must be stopped. We ask that the F.C.C. allow truthful information on the pro-life issue ~~to be allowed~~ to be shown.

Sincerely,
Mr. + Mrs. James LaFon

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

0

92-254

DEAR MR. SIKES

I Am writing you to ASK you to Allow the F.C.C. to show ADDS ON T.V. ABOUT ABORTION DURING Elections. They ARE ADDS that show what ABORTION DOES & CAUSES. They ARE NOT Lies - But TRUTH. IF ABORTIONS ARE legal - then it should Be legal to show their effects on Society. I HOPE you will Continue to Allow these to Be Aired to Show AMERICA Just what ABORTION IS AND the TRUTH ABOUT it.

Sincerely
Thomas S Horton

Thomas & Wendy Horton
450 Stone Ave
Lake Zurich IL 60047

MIB EX-110
COPIES
JUN 14 1980

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 14, 1993

92-254

Office of the Secretary
Mr. Milton Gross,
Chief of Political Programming
Federal Communications Commission
1919 "M" Street NW
Washington D.C. 20554

RE: MM Docket #92254/#92486

Dear Mr. Gross,

My reason for writing is to express my concern in regards to the MM Docket #92-254 that would limit political campaign advertisements to have the freedom to be able to air material that would be considered 'indecent' from the media's viewpoint, such as, film clippings of aborted fetuses, at prime time, but would force them to air on 'safe harbor' time, midnight - 6:00 am.

Mr. Gross, there is great concern this petition would create *discrimination* based upon religious beliefs. For instance, Mike Bailey, a former '92 candidate, was able to air his stance against abortion by showing clippings of aborted fetuses. Should the MM Docket #92-254 take effect, this would give an unfair advantage to opposing political rivals and create a bias in an already tilted political arena. Christians that are running for office, would be open to the mercy of the media on what is considered 'decent' or 'indecent'.

A perfect example of being 'at the mercy of the media' is the refusal of WAGA-TV, Atlanta, Georgia to air Mr. Daniel Becker's (candidate for Congress in the Ninth District of Georgia) 30 minute political program "Abortion in America: the Real Story". Mr. Becker was denied his 'reasonable access' to broadcasting facilities that is in effect under Section 312(a) (7) of the Communications Act. WAGA-TV also denied their obligation under Section 315(a) of the Act not to censor programming aired on behalf of political candidates.....all in the name of 'indecenty'.

"Indecent" is used to describe a word or action that is grossly unseemly or offensive to manners or morals. Indecency can then be used to depict commercials with sexual connotations in perfume commercials, such as "Calvin Klein", or the "Seinfeld" show that aired a 30 minute program on masturbation at 9:00 pm, or "L.A. Law" that repeatedly airs sex and violence, or "Donahue" that performed a homosexual wedding between 2 men and allowed them to kiss and discuss their sex life, and the list could go on to create quite a volume of entries. These few were listed to reveal that ***"indecenty" is not the issue, but the struggle in the power for control in regulating moral issues.*** Mr. Gross, please deny petition MM Docket No. 92-254 for it would deny our constitutional freedoms that have already been established.....thank you so much!

Sincerely,

Beverly Swain

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____

0

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 14, 1993

Office of the Secretary
Mr. Milton Gross,
Chief of Political Programming
Federal Communications Commission
1919 "M" Street NW
Washington D.C. 20554

RE: MM Docket #92254/#92486

Dear Mr. Gross,

My reason for writing is to express my concern in regards to the MM Docket #92-254 that would limit political campaign advertisements to have the freedom to be able to air material that would be considered 'indecent' from the media's viewpoint, such as, film clippings of aborted fetuses, at prime time, but would force them to air on 'safe harbor' time, midnight - 6:00 am.

Mr. Gross, there is great concern this petition would create *discrimination* based upon religious beliefs. For instance, Mike Bailey, a former '92 candidate, was able to air his stance against abortion by showing clippings of aborted fetuses. Should the MM Docket #92-254 take effect, this would give an unfair advantage to opposing political rivals and create a bias in an already tilted political arena. Christians that are running for office, would be open to the mercy of the media on what is considered 'decent' or 'indecent'.

A perfect example of beingat the mercy of the media' is the refusal of WAGA-TV, Atlanta, Georgia to air Mr. Daniel Becker's (candidate for Congress in the Ninth District of Georgia) 30 minute political program "Abortion in America: the Real Story". Mr. Becker was denied his 'reasonable access' to broadcasting facilities that is in effect under Section 312(a) (7) of the Communications Act. WAGA-TV also denied their obligation under Section 315(a) of the Act not to censor programming aired on behalf of political candidates.....all in the name of 'indecenty'.

"Indecent" is used to describe a word or action that is grossly unseemly or offensive to manners or morals. Indecency can then be used to depict commercials with sexual connotations in perfume commercials, such as "Calvin Klein", or the "Seinfeld" show that aired a 30 minute program on masturbation at 9:00 pm, or "L.A. Law" that repeatedly airs sex and violence, or "Donahue" that performed a homosexual wedding between 2 men and allowed them to kiss and discuss their sex life, and the list could go on to create quite a volume of entries. These few were listed to reveal that ***"indecenty" is not the issue, but the struggle in the power for control in regulating moral issues. Mr. Gross, please deny petition MM Docket No. 92-254 for it would deny our constitutional freedoms that have already been established.....thank you so much!***

Sincerely,

Charles W. Martin

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

eral Communications Co.
RECEIVED

JAN 1 1993

St Paul, MN

92-254

4670 Cottonwood Lane
Plymouth, Mn 55442
January 14, 1993

Dear Sir:

It has come to my attention that the FCC is hearing public opinion concerning graphic abortion ads until January 20.

It is my feeling that these ads should be allowed on television. The ads may be graphic but they are truthful. Some abortion clinics do not want to show these because they are upsetting to their patients. If they are shown on television people have time to think openly about what they see and make up their own mind before they are in a panic situation. If they watch the ads and make an informed decision concerning abortion that is their decision. I am concerned about the numbers of people, especially the young, unformed people, who make a decision while in a state of panic, and regret it for the rest of their lives. Parents have no control over what their children are told in school and are not a part of doctor visits after about the age of 12. We have no say in the value statements which are made by these people who play such an important part in their lives. I, personally, find all the ads about condoms and safe sex offensive. All I ask is that they are given the opportunity to see the whole truth. I am a mother of 2 daughters and they are exposed daily to violence and immorality on the television. Many of the things on television should not be there. These ads, however, should be.

Sincerely,

Denise A. Bergo

Denise A. Bergo

Michael J. Drake

Route 6, Box 315-A5
Greenville, TX 75402

(903) 455-6060, 457-6188
January 20, 1993

92-254

RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mr. Alfred Sikes
Chairman, F.C.C.
1919 M. Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20056

Dear Mr. Sikes,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed change to FCC policy that would allow TV stations to restrict political ads of candidates for federal office. Register my vote to maintain the policy as is – TV station should not be able to restrict political ads of candidates for federal office. This is absolutely essential for the cause of free speech in the United States of America!

Sincerely,


Mike Drake

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E

0

9300058

92-251 RECEIVED

FEB - 4 1993

Mel Waters
7743-A South Victor #24
Tulsa, OK 74136
Phone 918-488-3200/Prodigy ID: GBCV66A

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 6, 1993

RECEIVED
JAN 11 9 25 AM 1993

Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N. W.
Room 8210
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Recommendation of the Continuation of Reasonable
Access Measures for Pro-Life Purposes

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in favor of continued reasonable access measures. Specifically, in the case its use for Pro-Life supporters. The reasons I am in favor of continuing reasonable access is as follows:

- The Civil Rights movement was helped during the 1950's by television and still photos of minority mistreatment (i.e. the use of police dogs and fire hoses on the protestors)
- The Viet Nam War was undoubtedly shortened by the graphic depictions of perceived and or real atrocities by American forces.
- The Jewish Holocaust is constantly remembered via photos of shriveled bodies in concentration camps and photos of burned bones during "documentaries"

In each above situation, there is a parallel with the depictions of "fetuses"...they represent truth. The result of a woman's "right to do with her body whatever she wants" should not be something for which she or anyone else should be ashamed. However, we must truthfully admit that "someone" is ashamed of it, if they do not want it on TV.

I believe the FCC would be a "de facto" supporter of abortion if it denied anyone the right to broadcast the "results" of a woman's "right" in the case of an abortion. Please keep in mind that segregation, the Viet Nam War and the holocaust was also legal---once upon a time.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my views.

Sincerely,

Mel Waters

Mel Waters

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE