

92-235

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

FEB 05 1993

OFFICE OF MANAGING DIRECTOR

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M STREET , NW  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JANUARY 29, 1993

FEB - 8 1993

Dear Sir;

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am extremely upset over the pending issue that the FCC may be taking Radio Control frequencies and giving the them to MOBILE PHONE COMPANIES!

My son & I have 6 radios and countless RADIO CONTROLLED 100 MPH airplanes, RADIO CONTROLLED 60-75MPH GAS POWERED RACE BOATS....and countless RADIO CONTROLLED CARS. We already spend countless dollars trying to keep our investments SAFE by keeping our radios tuned and in good condition. However, people who own MOBILE TELEPHONES do NOT....I REPEAT, DO NOT ....care how, where, when they use their phones nor do they ever consider SAFE operation of their phones. We already experience interference in operating cars and have had problems with other frequencies when they are close by.

One weekend on racing cars, we kept having visits from several local police and sheriff cars.....every time they came by the track 1/2 the racers would have radio problems until we started asking them NOT to have any electronics turned on.....they argued the "fact" that their radios would not interfere...yet everytime they left the area they took all the glitchings of our radios/cars with them.

R/C BOATS have more than tripled the past two years in popularity and not only do we ONLY OPERATE THEM IN A.P.B.A. approved areas allowing us to have insurance protection from a 5 lb, to 20 lb, 50 to 100MPH boat valued anywhere from \$600.00 to \$2,500.00 flying across the water! We can NOT take the chance of a "glitch" and have a R/C boat out of control with the possibility of hitting someone. Boaters are the are the most concerned of the three R/C hobblist....we already have enough problems with water leaks into the radio compartments!

At every R/C boat and airplane function we have scanners that check prior and during every race for unwanted frequencies and we will NOT operate any craft in an unsafe manner "if" there is any interference ON ANY CHANNEL!

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List A B C D E

8

If you do approve the new mobile phone frequencies you can then send me a check for \$10,000 and I will send you all of our radio control parts, planes, cars, boats, fuel, etc.! Because you will have ruined a great hobby and business for millions of hobbieists across the U.S.A.!

This is an ugent plea and I do hope it will be addressed in a civil manner.....and not to make Celluar Phone Companies rich off a people getting brain cancer using their mobile phones! Celluar Phone users are already a dangerous threat driving their 4,000 lb cars at 65MPH in a 55 ZONE using their phones in one hand, a cigarette in another! Using a mobile phone in a moving car should be against the law all across the U.S.A.!



Rick and Jay Stone  
720 Quinta Luz Circle  
El Paso, Texas 79922-2318  
(915) 581-0068

February 4, 1993

FCC  
1919 M St, NW  
Washington, D. C. 20554

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

FEB - 9 1993

FEB 08 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FCC MAIL ROOM

FCC,

This letter concerns PR Docket 92-235. I am an active member in a local club, Aerobatic, in Alameda County, California. I have found my participation to be significantly valuable in terms of the benefits gained from building and operating models. Modeling provides me with many hours of enjoyment building and ultimately flying radio controlled airplanes.

Upon learning about the proposed changes in rules that the FCC is considering in the above mentioned docket, I decided to voice my concerns of increased risks of accidents and the accompanying liability with the FCC. Insurance and basic support costs would increase and remote control modeling / flying will become too costly for hobbyists.

The FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many frequencies will have less separation and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if the proposed rules are adopted

Many of the models I fly have wing spans up to 10 feet and weigh up to 15-30 pounds. These models are expensive and capable of serious damage, injury or worse if radio interference cause loss of control. A full complement of frequencies is essential to assure a responsible and safe flying environment

The radio frequencies of the equipment I own are in the 72-76 MHz band. I own several pieces of radio equipment that would be rendered essentially unsafe and therefore largely unusable if the above if the frequency assignments referenced in the above docket are adopted. The FCC would be unwise to address improving land mobile radio conditions at the expense of radio control molders and the general safety of others. As a modeler I am just as important as big business.

I request your support in continuing the safe pursuit of radio control flying. You should not allow approval of the proposals for the above docket impacting the 72-76MHz band.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lamarr Thomas". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent initial "L" and "T".

Lamarr Thomas

PO Box 128  
Hooks, Texas 75561  
January 30, 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

92-235 /  
RECEIVED

FCC  
1919 M St, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

FEB 05 1993

RE: PR Docket 92-235

OFFICE OF MANAGING DIRECTOR  
RECEIVED

Director

FEB - 8 1993

Sir:

I am one of a number of retired people who enjoy building and operating radio controlled models. Many hours of pleasure are derived therefrom. This is one of the few sports that I am still able to participate in. FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

It is a clean family type sport that involves no drugs or alcohol.

At this time I own three pieces of radio equipment which would be rendered unuseable should this frequency assignment be adopted. This equipment represents a sizeable investment to one who is on a fixed income. I cannot afford to replace it.

The models I build may weigh as much as eight pounds and operate a speed of about one hundred MPH.

Our Club operates at a public park.

Since the proposed new frequencies are so close, interference will occur and render most model frequencies unuseable. Moreover the users of these frequencies will be operating at a higher power and will be mobile. Their use will be unpredictable and uncontrolled, which might, at any time, cause a model to go out of control.

Many families come out to watch our activities and enjoy them. Should a model go out of control in close proximity of these groups, serious injury and even death could occur.

I beg you to not allow this frequency assignment to destroy our hobby and entertainment activity and consider public safety.

Sincerely

  
Charles H. Huttanus

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E