

# Holland & Knight

Tel 202-955-3000  
Fax 202-955-5564  
[www.hklaw.com](http://www.hklaw.com)

Holland & Knight LLP  
800 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Ste. 1100  
Washington, DC 20006

**Leighton T. Brown**  
(202) 457-7161  
[Leighton.Brown@hklaw.com](mailto:Leighton.Brown@hklaw.com)

November 13, 2017

## Via ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Notice of Ex Parte Presentation*  
GN Docket Nos. 14-177 and 17-183

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 9, 2017, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Chairman, United States Cellular Corporation (“U.S. Cellular”), Joseph Hanley, Senior Vice President, Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. (the parent company of U.S. Cellular), Grant Spellmeyer, Vice President, Federal Affairs and Public Policy, U.S. Cellular, and the undersigned met with Commissioner Michael O’Rielly and Erin McGrath, Legal Advisor, Wireless, Public Safety and International to Commissioner O’Rielly, to discuss issues related to the above-referenced proceedings.

Specifically, U.S. Cellular discussed how its experiments with fixed 4G wireless service have produced strong results. U.S. Cellular also emphasized that its future ability to continue to compete with the dominant nationwide carriers will depend in large part on its deployment of 5G networks, which will require access to millimeter wave (“mmW”) band spectrum. In addition, U.S. Cellular explained that services operating on spectrum below 30 GHz are far more efficient than those using higher-band spectrum due to the superior propagation characteristics of below-30 GHz spectrum, which is particularly important for service providers like U.S. Cellular that focus their deployment efforts in rural and other less densely populated areas. As a result, acquiring mmW band spectrum below 30 GHz will be critical to providers such as U.S. Cellular.

In this respect, U.S. Cellular noted that only two mmW bands below 30 GHz, the 24 GHz band (24.25-24.45 GHz and 24.75-25.25 GHz) and the 28 GHz band (27.5-28.35 GHz), will be made available for flexible use licensing in the relatively near future. U.S. Cellular then explained that smaller bidders likely will not have a reasonable opportunity to acquire 28 GHz band licenses given that this band consists of only two blocks and the fact that, in many markets, only one of these blocks will be made available via auction as a result of the Commission granting mobile operating rights to existing Local Multipoint Distribution Service licensees in the 28 GHz band. Moreover, even where 28 GHz band flexible use licenses are made available, the large size of these blocks – 425 megahertz each – will cause the price of such licenses to exceed the financial means of most smaller bidders. As a result, the 24 GHz band likely will provide the only realistic option for smaller bidders to acquire rights to the below-30 GHz spectrum they will need to deploy 5G networks in rural and other underserved areas.

U.S. Cellular therefore urged the Commission to ensure that smaller bidders have a reasonable opportunity to acquire licenses for the 24 GHz band. In particular, U.S. Cellular urged the Commission to license the 24 GHz band using seven blocks of 100 megahertz each. U.S. Cellular explained that a focus on licensing the 24 GHz band using larger, 200 megahertz blocks would mean that only a single 100 megahertz block will be made available in this band. U.S. Cellular further explained that, because licenses for 200 megahertz blocks could be prohibitively expensive for many smaller bidders, all such bidders could be forced to compete for a single 24 GHz band license in each market, which undoubtedly would cause this license to sell at a premium compared to the 200 megahertz blocks. Licensing the 24 GHz band primarily on the basis of 200 megahertz blocks also would mean artificially restricting this band to, at most, four licensees, likely to the exclusion of smaller bidders.

U.S. Cellular further noted that its testing has indicated that a fixed 5G wireless service operating on a single 100 megahertz block should be able to provide competitive broadband speeds. Despite these speeds, U.S. Cellular acknowledged that some providers may desire additional 24 GHz band spectrum, but explained that these bidders would have the opportunity to acquire greater bandwidth by aggregating multiple 100 megahertz blocks. U.S. Cellular then suggested that the Commission could make 24 GHz band licenses available via a clock auction and subsequent assignment phase, similar to the approach recently used by the Commission for the 600 MHz band. As evidenced by the Incentive Auction, the use of an assignment phase would greatly facilitate the assignment of only contiguous blocks to the winners of multiple 24 GHz band licenses. At the same time, because every bidder, including smaller bidders, would be competing for all seven of the generic 100 megahertz blocks offered during the clock phase of the auction, the per-MHz price of these licensees likely would exceed the per-MHz price of 200 megahertz blocks, for which all but the largest bidders would lack the means to acquire.

U.S. Cellular also urged the Commission to adopt an operability rule requiring that all mobile and transportable stations that operate on any portion of the 24 GHz band be capable of operating on all frequencies within that band. As U.S. Cellular explained, because the lower segment of the 24 GHz band (*i.e.*, 24.25-24.45 GHz) is separated from the larger, upper segment of this band (*i.e.*, 24.75-25.25 GHz) by 30 megahertz of spectrum, absent an operability requirement, a boutique band class could be developed post-auction for only the upper band segment. As a result, an adequate device ecosystem may never develop for the lower band segment. At a minimum, equipment for that spectrum would be delayed and more costly. On the other hand, with an operability requirement spanning both segments of the 24 GHz band, bidders would view all of the licenses for this band as being fungible, which would lead to greater auction participation, and thus, increased competition and higher revenues. Ensuring that the 24 GHz band licenses are fungible by adopting a band-wide operability requirement also would facilitate the use of U.S. Cellular's proposed clock auction and assignment phase competitive bidding format.

Further, U.S. Cellular urged the Commission to apply a pre-auction mobile spectrum holdings limit to any additional mmW bands that the Commission decides to license on a geographic-area basis. U.S. Cellular noted that one option would be to include any new bands in the existing rule limiting a single entity to approximately one-third of the total flexible use spectrum made available in the 28 GHz, 37 GHz, and 39 GHz bands. However, given the superior propagation characteristics of below-30 GHz spectrum, as well as the limited opportunity smaller

bidders will have to acquire licenses for the 28 GHz band, U.S. Cellular proposed that the Commission adopt a rule that would prohibit a single entity from acquiring more than one-half of the 24 GHz band spectrum.

Although this limit would permit a single entity to acquire as much as 300 megahertz in the 24 GHz band, it nevertheless would promote competition both in the auction and the industry by requiring that at least three different entities are able to acquire 24 GHz band licenses in each market. At a minimum, U.S. Cellular urged the Commission to seek comment in the *Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* on applying a pre-auction aggregation limit to the new flexible use mmW bands. U.S. Cellular explained that, because the Commission last year applied the existing pre-auction aggregation limit collectively to all of the then-existing flexible use mmW bands, the Commission should not exclude the additional bands that will be addressed in the upcoming order from that existing aggregation limit, or decline to adopt new aggregation limits for these bands, without providing interested parties with an opportunity to comment on this issue.

Finally, U.S. Cellular touched upon the Commission's mid-band spectrum proceeding, in which the Commission recently sought comment on authorizing flexible use of several additional spectrum bands, including the 5.925-6.425 GHz and 6.425-7.125 GHz bands (collectively, the "6 GHz band"). Specifically, we noted that U.S. Cellular relies on its more than 2,000 microwave licenses in the 6 GHz band to interconnect its base stations and core network. We therefore stressed that any action taken by the Commission in that proceeding must ensure full protection for the essential microwave systems operated by U.S. Cellular and other service providers in the 6 GHz band. We also explained that it would not be feasible to transition incumbent 6 GHz band microwave systems to the 11 GHz band because the inferior propagation characteristics of that higher-band spectrum would force licensees to add a large number of additional links, and thus, purchase a large amount of additional equipment and secure the rights to a large number of additional sites, simply to attain the same coverage as these licensees' existing microwave systems in the 6 GHz band.

This notice of *ex parte* presentation is being filed electronically in the above-referenced dockets pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules.

Respectfully submitted,  
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

/s/  
Leighton T. Brown  
*Counsel for United States Cellular Corporation*

cc (via email): Commissioner Michael O'Rielly (Mike.ORielly@fcc.gov)  
Erin McGrath (Erin.McGrath@fcc.gov)