



Office of the Mayor

City Hall
14177 Frederick Street
P.O. Box 88005
Moreno Valley, CA 92552-0805
Phone: 951.413.3008
Fax: 951.413.3760
www.moval.org

November 14, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Submit your letter or comments directly with the FCC electronically, here:

<https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filings>

Chairman Ajit Pai
Commissioner Michael O’Rielly
Commissioner Brendan Carr
Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: MB Docket No. 05-311. Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992.

Honorable Chairman Pai and Commissioners O’Rielly, Carr, and Rosenworcel:

The City of Moreno Valley is strongly opposed to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), which proposes to allow cable companies to deduct the fair market value for a wide range of public benefits from their franchise fee obligations, namely public, educational, and government (PEG) channel capacity and transmission.

In 2006, California passed the Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act, which streamlined the deployment of cable services by making the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) the sole franchising authority in the state and preserved many of the provisions commonly found in local franchise ordinances. It was the intent of the state legislature to streamline deployment while keeping local government revenues intact, ensuring that local public rights-of-way remained under control of cities and counties, and that a sufficient amount of capacity on cable networks was preserved for public, educational, and government (PEG) access channels.

Unfortunately, while the FCC would normally exempt from their Orders states with a centralized franchising authority that have preserved such policies, this FNPRM provides no such exemption, threatening to undermine such priorities. As proposed, the FNPRMs broad definition of all “cable-

related, in-kind contributions” other than PEG capital costs and build out requirements could be treated as “franchise fees,” meaning:

- Cable operators currently paying the typical five percent franchise fee permitted by federal law will be able to reduce their current franchise fee payment by the fair market value of all in-kind contributions, with the exception of PEG capital costs required by the franchise associated with the construction of PEG access facilities and build out requirements.
- There will be significant reductions in cable franchise fees, depending on how the “fair market” value for PEG capacity and transmission is calculated within any given jurisdiction.
- PEG programming would be severely limited, if not altogether eliminated in some or most jurisdictions.

PEG programming offers a host of community benefits, including public access channels, educational access channels, and government access channels all aimed at providing locally beneficial information. Public access channels are available for use by the general public, usually created by a diverse variety of individuals, groups, and organizations within a community that is non-commercial in nature and generally free from editorial oversight. Educational channels are typically dedicated for learning institutions, such as local schools, colleges, and/or universities for school related activities, fully televised courses of instruction, and other educational purposes. Government access channels are often the easiest and best ways for the local governments to be transparent, often televising city, county, school district, and other government meetings or live local election returns, town hall meetings, public debates, and other public policy topics.

In Moreno Valley, the City’s government access channel, MVTV-3 functions as an effective communication tool. Residents can find out about upcoming City events, watch City Council and Planning Commission meetings, and learn how to get involved to make Moreno Valley a better place to live work, and play – all while watching MVTV-3 from the comfort of their home. The channel also provides City staff the ability to quickly – and effectively – disseminate important emergency information to its nearly 210,000 residents and 4,500 businesses. This is critical for a City which is located in an area prone to earthquakes, wildfires, and flooding.

Losing any amount of PEG fees would severely impact the cable service the City provides to our residents and our ability to provide transparent media documentation and government television services to our community.

The “fair market value” of such services may be impossible to discern and would likely be a source of litigation between cable operators and local governments. Most regrettably, however, is that this FNPRM threatens to limit or eliminate public, educational, and government access channels all meant to better help inform and empower the public. The potential loss of this public benefit alone should be concerning enough for the FCC to reject this FNPRM. Unfortunately, the FNPRM further threatens the use of local right of ways for non-cable related purposes as well.

The FNPRM also proposes to prohibit local governments from regulating the facilities and equipment used by cable operators in the provision of non-cable services, such as wireless

communications services. If preempted from regulating these installations outside the franchise (since these franchises do not generally address the use of rights of way for non-cable facilities), local governments may lose their authority to manage a cable company's deployment of non-cable facilities, such as "small cells." This preemption would threaten to extend to fees for use of the rights of way, meaning:

- Cable companies can use local rights of way for any purpose, regardless of the terms of the franchise, and avoid having to pay fair compensation to the local government for the use of publicly funded assets in the rights of way.
- Cable companies could potentially install "small wireless facilities" with little to no public input, without having to meet any aesthetic or equipment size requirements aimed to mitigate blight and preserve community character.
- Cable companies would gain a significant advantage against their competitors, including telecommunications providers even though the FCC has just adopted an order lowering their deployment standards, resulting in a race-to-the-bottom deployment strategy for both cable and telecommunications companies.

Fair and appropriate use of the public right-of-way is the fundamental policy principle for the imposition of a cable franchise fee and any other reasonable conditions required to preserve the character of each community. While the cable and telecommunications industry continues to attack the responsibility of local governments to protect the public health and safety of their own communities, our residents stand to lose the most in terms of the public benefits they receive and the input they can provide for facilities installed in their own backyards. The FCC should instead consider ways that cable operators can: improve their services, help close digital divides, and expand deployment to rural and lower income communities. Unfortunately, this FRNPRM continues a recent pattern of lowering standards and public responsibility for the communications industry as a whole.

For these reasons, the City of Moreno Valley **opposes** the FNPRM and respectfully urges the FCC to reject the deterioration of PEG services and fair use of the public right-of-way.

Sincerely,



Dr. Yxstian A. Gutierrez
Mayor
City of Moreno Valley

cc: Congressman Mark Takano
Sam Caygill, East Bay Division, League of California Cities, scaygill@cacities.org
Meg Desmond, League of California Cities, cityletters@cacities.org