

Opposed to FCC Deployment of Next-Gen Wireless Infrastructure.

I am adamantly opposed to this proposal. Initially, the Cable Communications Act of 1984 was designed so that Cable Providers would be required to give back to the communities, in which were hosting cable facilities, poles, easements etc. by way of Franchise and PEG fees. These fees were not only required by the FCC to provide communities the means for LOCAL information, transparency in government, and public education, but also as a rental fee for the use of LOCAL community Rights of Ways being used by Cable Providers. Rights of Ways belonging to the communities...tax payers... in which they invade. Should this proposal pass the doorway will then open for franchise fees and PEG fees to disappear from our communities. In addition, this proposal serves to take away local control of our Rights of Way, again, property which belongs to your tax payers. The people you were hired to serve, not to serve big business, billion dollars Cable Providers. You and I both know these providers have no intention to build out in the rural communities, nor will they be concerned about the aesthetics of a community...any community...the communities in which your constituents reside. This is not a proposal born of concern for the people, but one born out of the pockets of Cable Provider Lobbyists and I am adamantly opposed to this proposal passing.