The Internet nowadays is regarded as a public service, due to many ISPs offering it to the public at their proposed rates. However, with net neutrality and Title II rules in place, they provide a sense of security to the public that ISPs won’t exploit or abuse their existing rates at the expense of consumers or other corporations who benefit from these policies. I fear there is a strong possibility that companies may restrict certain popular websites or applications from being accessed in their Internet plans, such as YouTube, Facebook, Reddit, Netflix, Twitch, etc. Furthermore, this possibility may negatively impact low-income families who would be forced to pay more for the same access that they may have already, which would inhibit their ability to gain knowledge on new topics or access information via these sources, even though the Internet is classified as an “information carrier” in this way. There is also no evidence to support that ISPs won’t discover loopholes in the anti-net neutrality proposal and use these changes to access consumers’ Internet usage and activities, which would be considered as an invasion of privacy, something that all Americans have a right to. This problem already exists in Portugal, despite them being subject to net neutrality regulations. Even newer ISPs and smaller corporations may find it difficult to emerge as “common carriers” since the already existing ISPs such as AT&T, Verizon, Comcast, etc. would use this lack of neutrality to increase their own profits while others are struggling to further their own agenda. There is no reason to consider any of this Internet discrimination to be justifiable. In conclusion, I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to think twice and repeal this anti-neutrality proposal.