Dear FCC,

The FCC writes that comments are being sought regarding how burdens associated with Title II regulation have impacted broadband investment (Section 48, Page 17). I respectfully disagree with their analysis that regulation adversely impacted broadband service and innovation. Absent a legally binding requirement to invest profits in broadband services from the leading ISPs which would allegedly benefit from less regulation, there is no way to hold companies, entities, or people accountable for promised investments. Over the last five years, according to the NASDAQ index, since the 2015 rules were levied companies such as Comcast have only seen continually increasing profit margins and a consistently increasing stock value. In the end, I disagree with the FCC’s analysis and see no correlation with between an increased regulatory environment and increased investment in broadband services nor do I find any indication that savings from ISPs will be passed onto consumers. I would only support this de-regulation if there additional regulations were added regarding the fair market value for internet services at various speeds. It appears that the sources being used in the FCC’s analysis have a vested interest in the companies or have been provided by companies whose pocketbooks stand to benefit from less regulation. I urge you to conduct additional and more balanced research that takes into account the viewpoints of consumers, small businesses, and entrepreneurs with respect to the effect of regulations on services rendered.

Sincerely,

Mike Good