LAUCH FAIRCLOTH
NORTH CAROLINA

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3305

March 24, 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Ms. Donna Seacy
FCC
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D. C. 20554

92-235

Dear Ms. Seacy:

Enclosed please find letters from my constitutents concerning the FCC proposal regarding frequency allocations. I have indicated to my constituents that I have brought this matter to your attention and that you will be contacting them directly.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Lauch

Lauch Faircloth
United States Senator

LF:lh

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Feb 10-93

RECEIVED

1993 FEB 15 PM 1:01

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Senator Gorton

I am very active in a local club, we enjoy constructing + operating model airplanes. I am concerned about the ruling of PR Docket 92-235. This will reduce the frequencies currently assigned [illegible]

Feburary 6, 1993

Dear Senator Faircloth

My interest in aviation spans a good many years and includes all aspects of model building and flying. I am now retired and have advanced from hand-launched balsa gliders, to what I consider the ultimate; radio controlled powered model aircraft.

1993 FEB 11 AM 10:38

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communication Commission (F.C.C.) The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. Should this be adopted, radio controlled model aircraft use will be greatly affected, both in additional cost to model builders but also will create potential increased danger when flying these models.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the F.C.C. to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Sincerely,

James F. Snyder

Rt #8 Box 425-D
Statesville, N.C. 28677

Sincerely,

John S. Sitaber

202 Dale Ave.
Kannapolis 28081

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

4464 Mapleleaf Lane
Charlotte, N.C. 28208
February 8, 1993

1993 MAR -4 PM 2: 19

The Honorable Senator Faircloth
U S Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Faircloth,

I have been building and enjoying model airplanes for more than thirty years. For the last twenty five years I have been flying radio controlled models. At present I have in excess of three thousand dollars invested in radio control equipment and models. I am presently serving on an advisory board working with the Mecklenburg Parks and Recreation Commission in overseeing the activities of a public radio control flying facility which hundreds of other local modelers use and enjoy.

I am very concerned about proposed rules currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. The band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control model operations, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly radio controlled models, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is reduced as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly diminished.

Many of our models have wing spans of up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing serious injury, property damage, and even death if radio interference causes loss of control. We often fly models at organized events and contest where hundreds of

people are present. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to insure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as other users, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement of the commercial and military aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my hobby by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

Peter Rourk

Perer I. Rourk

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR -6 PM 4: 36

1113 Riviera Drive, N.W.
Wilson, N.C. 27893-1515
February 23, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
U. S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Sir,

I have been interested in aviation since I was a young child in the early 1940's. Over the years I have had a keen interest in building and flying model airplanes and have been operating radio controlled models for the past 15 years. Having also been a teacher for the past 20 years, I have had an opportunity to share the activity with my students. Youngsters really enjoy watching us demonstrate these models and a good number of them have become modelers themselves.

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. Adoption of these rules will greatly reduce the usability of R/C model frequencies and render most of them unusable.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of flying radio controlled model airplanes and the sharing of this activity with my students by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72 - 76 MHz bands.

Yours truly,

Michael Kushman, Jr.

Michael Kushman, Jr.

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR -2 PM 7: 14

RICHMOND COUNTY MODELERS ASSOCIATION
Richmond County, North Carolina

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Feb. 10, 1993

Subject: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Senator Faircloth:

I am a member of a local club who enjoys building and flying radio controlled model airplanes. I spent many hours and dollars in this hobby along with my fellow club members. We are very concerned about the proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Comm. (FCC), namely PR Docket 92-235. If accepted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and can increase the risk of accidents to spectators, other modelers and the models themself. The control frequencies we use are in the 72-76 MHz band that we presently share with other private land mobile dispatch operations.

The present band spacing is far enough apart for this sharing withqut interfering with each other. The proposed new frequencies require narrower band widths which will interfer with our present use. We presently have use of approx. 50 freqs. which is fine for existing usage but the new proposal will reduce this number to approx. 19 freqs. or less than one half of the present available frequencies. This can result into a major safety problem for all concerned. We do our best to operate as safely as is possible with the equipment that we presently have and our safety record is remarkable. Please do not jeopardize this fine record by allowing this proposal to be enacted.

We ask that you study this matter in light of the enjoyment of modelers and spectators using this hobby and reject this proposal as put forth by the FCC.

Sincerely,

Curtis D. Bennett
Rt. 4 Box 40
Rockingham, N.C. 28379

February 25, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 RE: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Senator Faircloth,

After working for many years and foregoing the simple pleasures of life, I now find myself at retirement age. Little attention was allowed for hobbies, as providing for family and paying the bills occupied most of my time. In July of 1992, my son (age 12) and I attended a "fly-in" in Mooresville, NC, and soon after became involved in "radio controlled" aircraft. Since that time, I have purchased three (3) airplanes plus the needed radio equipment. As I only have my son with me every other weekend, we have found this to be an enjoyable way to spend our time together, and I hope to be able to continue this hobby during my retirement.

In August of 1992, I joined the **Academy of Model Aeronautics**, (**AMA**), and a local club in Mooresville, NC so that I would have the chance be around others in the sport who enjoy building and flying model aircraft.

I've learned recently through publications received from the **AMA** and our local club that several new channels are being lobbied for by the **FCC**, **(NPRM-PR Docket 92-235)**. Should these proposed rules take effect, it could have a devastating effect on our sport and hobby. These planes can weigh as much as 30 or more pounds, spin a propeller at approx. 10,000 r.p.m.'s, and carry a tank of flammable liquid. As you can well imagine, precise control of these aircraft is imperative. **Safety** for ourselves, as well as spectators is a major concern for both the **AMA** and our local club.

The proposed changes would allow the insertion of additional "channels" between the existing "channels" we now use. These "mobile" channels would have a power output nearly four times the output of our transmitters. Should these "mobile" units interrupt the signal being sent to our planes, loss of control could occur, carrying serious consequences, (i.e., personal injury, and/or property loss for anyone within range of the aircraft).

Because of my concern for the safety of those in the sport,

Rarely have I petitioned an elected government official to assist me in my private matters, but because of my concern for the future and safety of this sport, one that I hope will bring many years of enjoyment for myself and my 12 year old son, I find myself drafting this letter. I hate to be a **NIMBY**, (**Not In My Back Yard**), but I urge you to look into this matter for those of us who enjoy building and flying radio controlled aircraft. Surely the **FCC** can find some area other than the 72-76 MHz range to place these additional channels.

I appreciate your time and support in this matter.

M. H. Kramer

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

2/23/93

Feb 15, 1993

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington D.C. 20150

1993 MAR -2 PM 3:52

Dear Senator Faircloth

I am an active member of Sanford Model Airplane Club, I have invested a lot of money in Radio Equipment, and it concerns me deeply about the proposed Rules Change considered by the F.C.C. concerning the frequencies currently assigned for model use. It will greatly increase the Risk of accidents and attendant liability of controlling model airplanes.

Please help me continue safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC [illegible] the 72-76 MHZ band

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR · 52

Feb. 23, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth,

I am writing to you concerning the proposed rule making PR Docket 92-235. Radio controlled model boats are a favorite pastime in my family. My husband has a considerable investment in the models and in the radio equipment. Our sons are too young to operate the boats, but they are very interested. I enjoy watching my husband compete in the boat races.

If the proposed frequency assignment is adopted, many radio transmitters would be affected. At boat races and practice times, each boat operator goes to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders. These proposed frequencies are "mobile" and very close to the RC frequencies. Such radio interference could cause the operator to lose control of the boat and maybe cause serious injury to a spectator. The radio controlled model boats weigh 5 to 10 pounds and operate from 30 mph to 100 mph. Therefore, these new proposed frequencies will have a profound effect on the RC frequencies. It will make them unusable.

Please help us continue the safe enjoyment of our pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

2005 Mallard Lane
Raleigh, NC 27609
24 February 1993

1993 MAR -2 AM 9:53

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Faircloth:

I am an active member of our local model airplane club. I enjoy the hobby of building and flying remotely controlled model aircraft, boats and cars.

I own 4 radios which I use in the hobby which cost over $300.00 each and may be unusable if PR Docket 92-235 is adopted. These radios are used to control airplanes which weigh 6 to 8 pounds and fly at speeds up to 90 miles per hour.

Since the proposed frequencies are so close to our frequencies, they will cause interference on our frequencies and could cause loss of control and serious damage to the airplane. These airplanes are built from material and equipment which costs over $600.00 and include weeks of work to build. Loss of control also includes the possibility of damage to other property or injury to spectators or other flyers.

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR -2 AM 9:53

Feburary 23, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
U.S. Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Faircloth,

I am very active in a local radio control club whose members enjoy building and flying radio controlled model airplanes. I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. This band is primariloy used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR -2 AM 9:53

Feburary 23, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
U.S. Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Faircloth,

I am very active in a local radio control club whose members enjoy building and flying radio controlled model airplanes. I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted,

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1993 MAR -1 PM 7:46

Mr. John T. Pope
16 Happy Valley Rd.
Littleton NC 27850
February 22 1993

The Honorable Mr. Lauch Faircloth
403 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington DC 20515

I am a retired resident of Warren County. Since the late nineteen thirties I have been involved in aviation in some manner, including over three years flying in the U.S. Air Corps.

After WWII I continued flying as a private pilot. Now I am not physically able to fly full scale aircraft, so I am active in building and flying radio controlled aircraft. I have invested a lot of time and money in this sport.

The FCC is considering inserting land mobile frequencies only 2.5 KHZ away from our frequencies, their transmitters emit upwards four times the power as our transmitters and being mobile they could be in close proximity to flying sites. Should this occur it is highly possible that the radio controlled model would crash and since some weigh up to 40 pounds this could be disastrous.

I presently own two radios valued at $350.00 that I would never use for fear of being interfered with by mobile radios. Should my transmitter interfere with a mobile, that would be an inconvenience, should the mobile unit interfere with my frequency, it would be a disaster.

If the FCC is successful in implementing the proposal it would be just a matter of time before they abort every frequency that we worked so many years to get.

Please consider these comments and allow me and others to continue our sport by not approving FCC (NPRM-PR DOCKET 92-235).

Respectfully,

John T. Pope

John T. Pope

February 25, 1993

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

1993 MAR -1 PM 7:46

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RE: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Senator Faircloth,

My name is Cristopher Greene, I'm 12 years old, and I live in Davidson, NC. Last summer my dad and I went to an air show in Moorseville, NC and watched some people flying radio controlled airplanes. The very next week, my dad bought us a plane, and we started going every other weekend to fly. (Because my mom and dad are divorced, we only get to be together then.) We now have three planes and if the weather is bad we work on building and if its pretty, we fly them.

Last year we joined the **AMA**, (**Academy of Model Aeronautics**), and a club in Mooresville, NC. I've been reading in the AMA magazine, and have heard my dad and others at our field talking about the FCC wanting to put some new channels close to the channels we use on our planes. I don't really understand all that I've heard and read, but I believe my dad when he says that this would be bad for us. I do know what happens when you lose control of an airplane and how much it costs to fix them.

I'm to young to vote for you, but my dad says I'm not to young to write and ask you to help. Would you please look into **NPRM-PR Docket 92-235** and see what you can do to keep our sport safe.

Chris Greene

PIEDMONT AEROMODELERS

809 ELM STREET

Radio Control Flying Club, Inc.

FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28303

1993 MAR -1 PM 6:09

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

08 FEBRUARY 1993

THE HONORABLE LAUCH FAIRCLOTH
UNITED STATES SENATE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

SUBJECT: NPRM - PR DOCKET 92-235

DEAR SENATOR FAIRCLOTH:

I AM A RADIO CONTROL MODEL ENTHUSIAST AND VERY CONCERNED ABOUT PROPOSED RULES THAT ARE CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC). THE PROCEEDING IS PR DOCKET 92-235. IF ADOPTED, THE NEW RULES WILL GREATLY REDUCE THE USABILITY OF FREQUENCIES CURRENTLY ASSIGNED FOR MODEL USE AND INCREASE THE RISK OF ACCIDENTS AND ATTENDANT LIABILITY FOR CONTROLLING MODEL AIRPLANES.

OUR RADIO CONTROL FREQUENCIES ARE IN THE 72 - 75 MHz BAND. THIS BAND IS PRIMARILY USED FOR PRIVATE LAND MOBILE DISPATCH OPERATIONS. HOWEVER, OUR RADIO CONTROL FREQUENCIES IN THIS BAND ARE SUFFICIENTLY ENOUGH APART FROM THE LAND MOBILE FREQUENCIES THAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SHARE THE BAND WITHOUT EITHER USE INTERFERING WITH THE OTHER.

NOW THE FCC WANTS TO CREATE MORE LAND MOBILE FREQUENCIES BY SPLITTING THEM INTO NARROWER BANDWIDTHS AND REARRANGING THE BAND PLAN. AS A RESULT, MANY LAND MOBILE FREQUENCIES WILL MOVE CLOSER TO THE RADIO CONTROL FREQUENCIES AND CAUSE INTERFERENCE TO RADIO CONTROL OPERATIONS. I AM TOLD THAT OF THE 50 FREQUENCIES THAT ARE PRESENTLY AVAILABLE FOR RADIO CONTROL OF MODEL AIRPLANES, ONLY 19 FREQUENCIES WILL BE LEFT IF THESE NEW RULES ARE ADOPTED.

WHEN WE FLY OUR MODEL AIRPLANES UNDER RADIO CONTROL, WE GO TO GREAT LENGTHS TO ASSURE THE SAFETY OF THE OPERATORS AND BYSTANDERS AND THE PROTECTION OF PROPERTY. MANY OF OUR SAFETY PRECAUTIONS INVOLVE THE CAREFUL COORDINATION AND USE OF THE RADIO CONTROL FREQUENCIES. IF THE NUMBER OF USABLE FREQUENCIES IS DIMINISHED AS PROPOSED BY THE FCC, THE REMAINING FREQUENCIES WILL BECOME CONGESTED AND THE MARGIN OF SAFETY WILL BE GREATLY DECREASED.

PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT MANY MODEL AIRPLANES HAVE WING SPANS UP TO 10 FEET AND WEIGH AS MUCH AS 30 OR 40 POUNDS. THE MODELS THEMSELVES ARE EXPENSIVE TO BUILD; BUT MORE TO THE POINT, THEY ARE CAPABLE OF CAUSING PROPERTY DAMAGE, SERIOUS INJURY, OR EVEN DEATH IF RADIO INTERFERENCE CAUSES THE OPERATOR TO LOSE CONTROL OF THE CRAFT. WE OFTEN FLY OUR MODELS AT ORGANIZED EVENTS AND CONTEST WHERE HUNDREDS OF OPERATORS PARTICIPATE. WE NEED THE USE OF OUR FULL COMPLEMENT OF RADIO FREQUENCIES IN ORDER TO ASSURE A SAFE FLYING ENVIRONMENT.

I DO NOT THINK IT IS WISE OF THE FCC TO SEEK TO IMPROVE THE OPERATING CONDITIONS OF LAND MOBILE RADIO USERS AT THE EXPENSE OF RADIO CONTROL MODELERS. THE FCC MAY NOT THINK WE ARE AS IMPORTANT AS BUSINESS USERS OF RADIOS, BUT WE HAVE A CONSIDERABLE INVESTMENT IN OUR MODELS AND IN OUR RADIO EQUIPMENT. THE HOBBY PROVIDES MANY HOURS OF ENJOYMENT TO THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF AND CONTRIBUTES TO THE ADVANCEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMERCIAL AVIATION INDUSTRY.

PLEASE HELP ME CONTINUE THE SAFE ENJOYMENT OF MY PASTIME BY NOT ALLOWING THE FCC TO CARRY OUT ITS PROPOSALS FOR THE 72-75 MHz BAND

SINCERELY,

C. Douglas Hobbs

109 ROSEWOOD DRIVE
LUMBERTON, N.C. 28358

PLEASE HELP US

72 YEARS OLD - 62 YEARS A MODELER

IT'S WONDERFUL

February 25, 1993

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Lauch Faircloth
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

1993 MAR -1 PM 4:52

RE: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Senator Faircloth,

After many years of looking for a suitable hobby to occupy what little "free" time I have in this hectic world we live in today, I settled on the building and flying of model "radio controlled" aircraft. Years ago, when I was very young, my father built and flew controlled line planes, and from that time on, I've have kept an interest in airplanes. I'm 35 years old, been married once, (10 years), and have two children (boys ages 6 and 8), that I hope to be able to share my interests in this hobby with.

In July of 1992, I had the opportunity to fly a "radio controlled" plane, and now I'm "hooked". I joined the **Academy of Model Aeronautics**, (**AMA**), and a local club (Cabarrus Radio Control) so that I would have the chance to learn, be taught, and appreciate the art of building and **safely** flying model aircraft. Since that time, I have "scratch" built two planes and am in the process of building a third, which adds up to a considerable expense.

I've learned through publications received from the **AMA** that

Should they choose not to, <u>lives and property would be at risk.</u>

It is because of my concern for the future of this sport that I am writing to you, petetioning for your help in representing my interests. Surely the FCC Land Mobile Service can find some other area besides the 72-76 MHz area to locate their new channels that will not have the severe impact that their present proposal creates. **Please assist me in insuring that the safety and enjoyment of my pastime will not be compromised for myself or my children.**

I thank you for your time and your support.

Randall H. Lowe

PIEDMONT AEROMODELERS

809 ELM STREET

Radio Control Flying Club, Inc.

FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28303

1993 MAR -1 PM 4:57

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

08 FEBRUARY 1993

THE HONORABLE LAUCH FAIRCLOTH
UNITED STATES SENATE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

SUBJECT: NPRM - PR DOCKET 92-235

DEAR SENATOR FAIRCLOTH:

I AM A RADIO CONTROL MODEL ENTHUSIAST AND VERY CONCERNED ABOUT PROPOSED RULES THAT ARE CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC). THE PROCEEDING IS PR DOCKET 92-235. IF ADOPTED, THE NEW RULES WILL GREATLY REDUCE THE USABILITY OF FREQUENCIES CURRENTLY ASSIGNED FOR MODEL USE AND INCREASE THE RISK OF ACCIDENTS AND ATTENDANT LIABILITY

I DO NOT THINK IT IS WISE OF THE FCC TO SEEK TO IMPROVE THE OPERATING CONDITIONS OF LAND MOBILE RADIO USERS AT THE EXPENSE OF RADIO CONTROL MODELERS. THE FCC MAY NOT THINK WE ARE AS IMPORTANT AS BUSINESS USERS OF RADIOS, BUT WE HAVE A CONSIDERABLE INVESTMENT IN OUR MODELS AND IN OUR RADIO EQUIPMENT. THE HOBBY PROVIDES MANY HOURS OF ENJOYMENT TO THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF AND CONTRIBUTES TO THE ADVANCEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMERCIAL AVIATION INDUSTRY.

PLEASE HELP ME CONTINUE THE SAFE ENJOYMENT OF MY PASTIME BY NOT ALLOWING THE FCC TO CARRY OUT ITS PROPOSALS FOR THE 72-75 MHz BAND

SINCERELY,