

Admitted:
California
District of Columbia
New York
Oregon

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Law Offices
of
PETER A. CASCIATO
A Professional Corporation

Roundhouse Plaza
1500 Sansome Street, Suite 201
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 291-8661
Facsimile: (415) 291-8165

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Express

July 7, 1993

RECEIVED

JUL 8 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Donna Searcy, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW Suite 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 93-95 Windsor, CA


Dear Ms. Searcy:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding are an original and seven copies of (1) Judy Yep Hughes' (BPH-911115MT) Petition For Leave to File Informational Statement (2) Cross Motion For Summary Decision and (3) Opposition/Comments on Eric Hilding's Motion For Summary Decision, As Supplemented.

Please return the extra copy of each pleading to the undersigned in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,


Peter A. Casciato
Attorney for Judy Yep Hughes

enclosures

cc: Hon. Richard Sippel,
Administrative Law Judge w/encls.
Eric Hilding w/encls.
Public File w/encls.

PAC:sc

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re Applications of)
ERIC R. HILDING)
JUDY YEP HUGHES)
For a Construction Permit)
For a New FM Station on)
Channel 281A in)
Windsor, California)

MM Docket NO. 93-95
File No. BPH-911115MR
File No. BPH-911115MT

RECEIVED
JUL 8 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

To: Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge

OPPOSITION & COMMENTS ON ERIC HILDING'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION, AS SUPPLEMENTED


Judy Yep Hughes, by her attorney and pursuant to the presiding ALJ's Order, FCC 93M-428, released June 30, 1993, hereby files her Comments on Hilding's Motion For Summary Decision dated June 12, 1993 and supplemented June 19, 1993. Hilding's supplemented motion rightfully concedes that Hughes is entitled to prevail in this proceeding as a matter of law. Likewise, Mr. Hilding has stipulated to this result as a matter of law, with the proviso that he may document his challenge to the prevailing comparative criteria and how they allegedly disfavor his application. See June 23, 1993 Joint Motion For Stay of Discovery & Stipulated Procedures Concerning Respective Summary Decision Motions Regarding The Standard Comparative Issues.

Regardless, Mr. Hilding, in his Summary Decision motion, has not properly submitted any facts upon which the presiding judge may rule. As a result, the presiding judge should deny the Hilding motion and grant the concurrently filed Hughes' Motion

No. of Copies rec'd 046
List ABCDE

For Summary Decision, which clearly sets forth that there are no material facts at issue and that Ms. Hughes should prevail in this proceeding as a matter of law.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter A. Casciato
A Professional Corporation
1500 Sansome Street Suite 201
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 291-8661

July 8, 1993

Attorney for
Judy Yep Hughes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Peter A. Casciato, certify that the following is true and correct:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California, am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the within entitled action:

My business address is: 1500 Sansome St., Suite 201, San Francisco, California 94111.

On July 8, 1993, I caused the attached Opposition & Comments On Eric Hilding's Motion For Summary Decision, As Supplemented to be served by causing true copies thereof, enclosed in sealed envelopes with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be sent by regular U.S. Mail in San Francisco, CA for delivery as follows:

Hon. Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW Room 214
Washington. DC 20036 (By Federal Express)