December 12, 2018

The Honorable Ajit Pai, Chairman  
The Honorable Michael O’Rielly, Commissioner

The Honorable Brendan Carr, Commissioner  
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner   
Federal Communications Commission

455 12th Street, Southwest  
Washington, DC, 20544

*RE: MB Docket No 05-311 In the Matter of Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992*

I am writing in support of the Comments of the Cable Act Preservation Alliance (“CAPA”) in the Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making. I oppose the tentative conclusions the FCC reaches in the proceeding because of their impacts on my community.

As a resident of Peabody, I have appreciated the benefit of Peabody Access providing access to pertinent community information, events and city meetings. I have also seen how Peabody students have benefitted by having access to equipment and resources to learn how to effectively use the valuable information they have gained to produce and direct their own programming, sparking interest and preparing many of them for future employment opportunities that might arise.

This benefit for community engagement was what was intended when Congress set up the Cable Act. PEG Access does not solely benefit a local government or franchising authority: It benefits and strengthens the community as a whole.

By allowing cable operators to reduce franchise fee support for local communities if they opt for local channels, the FNPRM diminishes the ability of PEG channels to serve communities such as mine. I ask that it not be adopted.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

Janet L. Palmer  
24 Highland Street  
Peabody, MA 01960