

To whom it may concern:

This is posted to this and other dockets similar. I ask these questions:

1. If this docket does not pertain to the “Plain Old Telephone System” (POTS) then why is there legislature in states for removal of this system? What is this docket full meaning? Why is it required for removal? What is the “safe” alternative you are going to present to people for POTS? Voice over Internet Protocols (VoIP’s) and Wireless are not “safe” and are not “reliable” alternatives. Wireless is not 100% safe, I want the telecommunication and wireless technology industry to come out and show proof that it is 100% safe. Provide proof that “ALL” wireless is safe. Provide this proof that it is safe to all the consumers, we as consumers deserve the right to know, and if you cannot provide this, then we want to know why the telecommunications industry is choosing to hide behind the “Telecommunications Act of 1996” by not providing all health risk on labels of their products and educating the public as they purchase these products.
2. Why is the wireless industry choosing to push products that have been shown to damage DNA & Melatonin among school children, does the industry not care about destroying children’s lives. If you do care then you should be removing all wireless devices from all schools nationwide, tearing down all cell phone and radio masts near schools and funding to put in fiber optic cable systems which are far safer and reliable. Unless the wireless industry can provide proof that wireless has no abilities to change human DNA or alters female’s melatonin around egg nucleuses. Of course most top CEO’s and company board members would much rather take a dollar or food from children including even destroying human life then to do what is right by providing 100% safe and reliable networks.
3. This brings me to my next question, the industry involved in this docket must not value human workers? I want to know how the industry plans to create jobs from the jobs they are taking away by following through with this docket of removal of services. Would the industry much rather make people live on the streets then to provide jobs fixing and maintaining such hard-wired and fiber optic networks which in return provides income support for the individuals to feed their families which then comes back to support the infrastructure.

I want to know how the industry plans to create safe work environments for people to work in as well as create safe educational environments for children and young adults to further their education. Provide answers to how this docket is going to this by providing safe environments for everyone, creating more jobs than it is taking away and is improving the health and well-being of the planet. Until all industries can provide 100% safe and reliable services then all dockets presented to the FCC should be rejected until they are able to do so. Any technology advancements that kills human life is not technology for the future and should never be heavily considered for any involvement in making and advancing the future of the United States.

Thank you for your time,

Matt Huck
CEO – Truth & Facts Never Lie