

DEC 12 2017

FCC Mailroom

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To Whom it may Concern,

I am a part of the deaf Community and rely heavily on the internet. The Internet is a big part of how we communicate daily from Video Chatting "American Sign language" to a Video Relay Service a "Sign language Interpreter" speak my voice for me I can not hear on voice phone like the hearing can.

→ I must use a Video Services without Net Neutrality, mine and millions of other deaf Citizens everyday lives will be disrupted

Many deaf use the internet to communicate when they go out into their everyday lives, not for leisure, please allow us have Video Relay Services (VRS) System FCC Deaf to Deaf Videophone calls also is very important to our deaf Community. Please support the deaf Community by not repealing Net Neutrality this helpful act. Please protect us I vote NO of repealing Net Neutrality.

Thank you Very Much,

Rolando Vazquez
St. Petersburg, FLA.

138 Maquoit Drive
Freeport, ME 04032

November 30, 2017

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
DEC 11 2017
FCC Mailroom

Chairman Ajit Pai:

I'm a concerned US citizen from Freeport, ME, and I believe that a free and open internet is important to the democratic values of the United States. Because of this, I ask that the FCC votes against ending net neutrality, maintaining the current classification of ISPs as Title II orders.

The net neutrality rules of the FCC currently state that they "protect and maintain open, uninhibited access to lawful online content." This prohibits blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization by internet service providers. Because of these net neutrality rules, under a Title II classification, ISPs are not allowed to censor internet content, slow down content, or charge different prices for content.

The recent proposition that will be voted about on December 14 will change the classification of ISPs from Title II to the less restrictive Title I, allowing cable and phone companies to twist and mangle the internet for their own financial gain. I strongly urge you to vote against this change.

An open internet is necessary to uphold the democratic values of the US, allowing all residents to have an equal voice. I understand that everyone claims to be supporting the open internet, so to be entirely clear, I mean that all resources and information on the internet should be equally accessible to everyone in order to make our country as equitable as possible. With the goal of giving equal opportunity for success, allowing companies to restrict information is counterproductive and extremely harmful.

Besides the consequences inside the US, ending net neutrality will affect the rest of the world as well. For one thing, a large portion of the world follows our country's example. Many areas of the world, especially developing countries, tend to emulate our decisions regarding technology, politics, and ethics. If we abolish net neutrality, other countries may follow suit. It will harm their residents and businesses in a similar way to ours. In addition, if online services located within the US are more expensive to provide, they will become more expensive outside the US too.

A common justification for softening restrictions on ISPs is that if they have more money, they will be able to provide service to areas that they previously couldn't. However, as Tim Wu,



ALBERT A. STIRPE, JR.
Assemblyman 127th District

THE ASSEMBLY
STATE OF NEW YORK
ALBANY

CHAIR
Subcommittee on Export Trade

COMMITTEES
Agriculture
Alcoholism & Drug Abuse
Economic Development, Job Creation,
Commerce and Industry
Higher Education
Tourism, Parks, Arts &
Sports Development

Received & Inspected
DEC 11 2017
FCC Mailroom

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ONLY

December 4, 2017

Dear Chairman and Commissioners,

Many of my constituents share my alarm at the Federal Communications Commission's proposed plan to revoke net neutrality, and we implore you to vote down the proposal when it comes up for consideration. Stripping requirements that ensure internet service providers treat all web content equally would cause significant harm to residents and businesses here in Central New York – just to further line the pockets of multibillion dollar communications companies.

Much like electricity or running water, internet access is a necessity of everyday life. It's not simply a matter of convenience. The internet hosts primary forms of communication and is a marketplace where many of our goods are sold. Students depend on reliable internet access to complete research projects, and it's an invaluable resource for job seekers. The internet must be considered a vital utility.

The notion that net neutrality rules "micromanage" the internet couldn't be further from the truth. Eliminating these rules and allowing internet service providers to broker paid deals with websites for faster access will harm smaller companies working in the same market as a larger firm and will revoke equal access to sites and information for consumers. This proposal will weaken consumer protections, hurt small businesses and set an incredibly dangerous precedent. I urge you to protect Americans and our businesses by voting down these deeply disconcerting rollbacks.

Sincerely,

Albert A. Stirpe, Jr.
Member, NYS Assembly
127th Assembly District

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABOVE

As a very concerned American citizen and consumer, I'm writing to urge you to protect the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order. Received & Inspected

As you know, the FCC is currently considering Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. Namely, the Chairman seeks to reverse the 2015 order that treats broadband Internet providers as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. DEC 11 2017
FCC Mailroom

That decision would give major telecommunications companies an unprecedented level of control over how we use the Internet. No agency would be able to protect the public from unfair practices like site blocking and throttling. Large cable companies claim to support the principles of net neutrality, but without the authority of Title II, those principles will be impossible to enforce.

Please oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections. In addition, please oppose any effort in Congress to undermine the Open Internet Order.

A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to defend existing net neutrality protections. Protect my rights, not ISP profits. If you fail the American people by reversing the Open Internet Order, there will be consequences for all Americans, you included.

Sincerely,



Stephanie Zacharda

As a very concerned American citizen and consumer, I'm writing to urge you to protect the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order.

Received & Inspected
DEC 11 2011
FCC Mailroom

As you know, the FCC is currently considering Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. Namely, the Chairman seeks to reverse the 2015 order that treats broadband Internet providers as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.

That decision would give major telecommunications companies an unprecedented level of control over how we use the Internet. No agency would be able to protect the public from unfair practices like site blocking and throttling. Large cable companies claim to support the principles of net neutrality, but without the authority of Title II, those principles will be impossible to enforce.

Please oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections. In addition, please oppose any effort in Congress to undermine the Open Internet Order.

A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to defend existing net neutrality protections. Protect my rights, not ISP profits. If you fail the American people by reversing the Open Internet Order, there will be consequences for all Americans, you included.

Sincerely,



Mark D. Zacharda

The attached document is part of a mass mailing. The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may view the documents at the FCC Reference Information Center, at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257.