

**BAIS
RUCHEL
D'SATMAR**

בנשיאות כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר שליט"א



**ישיבה תורה ויראה
ובית רחל דרבינו יואל
מסאטמאר**

נתייסדה ע"י כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר הקוה"ט זי"ע

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Subject: Appeal of USAC Funding Decision - Docket 02-6
Request for Review**

From: Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
BEN # 16035574
info@centraluta.com
718-797-7888

We would like to appeal the decision by USAC to deny funding for FRNs 2406121, 2406124, 2406123 and 2406122 of form 471 # 883192, FRNs 2407374, 2407376, 2407412, 2407413 and 2407415 of form 471 # 883847, FRN 2407430 of form 471 # 883846, FRN 2407260 of form 471 # 883744 and FRN 2407314 of form 471 # 883809, FRN 2407294 of form 471 # 883807.

On the FCDL dated December 5/18/2017 it states: *This FRN is denied because the funding request appears to be duplicative of a current year's FRN. Program rules prohibit USAC from funding the same products and services, to the same location in the same funding year. The applicant failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request to address the issue. As such, the FRN is denied.*

We are appealing this decision because we responded to all reviews that we got from USAC including a review we received from Fabio Nieto about the potential of duplicative funding requests. In our response we clearly showed there are no duplicates in our request as no location is receiving products and services twice. FRNs 2407314, 2407260 and 2407294 are all cellular service but are not duplicates, as all phones was used by different users.

Following is copy of the spreadsheet we sent that pertains to the above FRNs:

Form 471	FRN	SPIN	SERVICE_PROVIDER	Functionality	BEN(s)/Location(s)
883846	2407430	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	T1 - Internet Access	16059581
883847	2407374	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	T1 - Internet Access	16044496
883847	2407376	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	DSL - Back - Up Internet Access	16044496
883847	2407412	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	PRI	16044496, 16063449
883847	2407415	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	PTP	16044496 - 16035574
883192	2406121	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	T1 - Internet Access	16035574
883192	2406124	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	DSL - Back - Up Internet Access	16035574
883192	2406123	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	PRI	16044493
883192	2406122	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	4 PRI and Local and L.D. Lines	16035574, 16044499
883809	2407314	143036790	THE HOMEWORK HOTLINE INC	These are used for voice (With Unlimited service)	
883744	2407260	143000677	Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	These are used for voice and data	
883807	2407294	143034909	Discount Cellular	These are used for voice (With Unlimited service)	

We originally appealed directly to USAC however USAC denied our appeal. On the "Administrator's Decision on Appeal" letter dated December 7, 2017 it states "Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding request. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding request was denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied."

We are appealing this decision to the FCC, because on the original FCDL it stated *"This FRN is denied because the funding request appears to be duplicative of a current year's FRN. Program rules prohibit USAC from funding the same products and services, to the same location in the same funding year. The applicant failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request to address the issue"*, this issue we clearly addressed in our appeal letter to USAC. As we copied the spreadsheet (that pertains to the FRNs of funding year 2013) that we had sent during the reviews of our applications, that spreadsheet clearly showed that our requests were not duplicates. After we sent our appeal to USAC, we did not receive any further requests from USAC. As far as the reason that USAC provided on the Administrator's Decision on Appeal" letter, that we failed to respond to a Program Integrity Assurance review, we believe that we responded to all USAC's inquiries and we addressed the issue USAC brought up during the review of the potential of duplicate services requested.

We are therefore hopeful that the FCC can overturn the USAC denial and provide us with the opportunity to respond to any issues USAC may have on our original applications.

Thank you

**Berish Ekstein
Office Manager**



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

December 07, 2017

Berish Ekstein
Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
76 Rutledge Street, 1st Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Re: Applicant Name: BAIS RUCHEL D'SATMAR
Billed Entity Number: 16035574
Form 471 Application Number: 883809
Funding Request Number(s): 2407314
Your Correspondence Dated: May 21, 2017

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2407314
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding request. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding request was denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied.
- USAC Schools and Libraries Division oversees the administration of the E-rate Program and has the authority to make decisions concerning the administration of the application process, including activities to ensure compliance with Federal

Communications Commission rules and regulations. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.705(a)(1)(iii). To conduct these reviews, USAC has put in place administrative measures to ensure the prompt resolution of applications. If applicants do not respond within the designated time period, USAC reviews the application based on the information available. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Marshall County School District, Moundsville, West Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-220105, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, 18 FCC Rcd 4520, 4522, Order, DA 03-764 para. 6 (rel. Mar. 13, 2003). Typically, applicants are required to respond to USAC's requests for additional information necessary to complete their application within 15 days of being contacted. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, Louisiana, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487170, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316-5317, FCC 06-54 para. 23 (rel. May 19, 2006) (Bishop Perry Order). *See also* Application Review posted in the SLD section of the USAC website. For those instances where USAC contacts the applicant in reference to a Selective Review Information Request, the applicant may be provided 30 days to comply with the request. This procedure is necessary to prevent undue delays during the application review process.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

December 07, 2017

Berish Ekstein
Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
76 Rutledge Street, 1st Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Re: Applicant Name: BAIS RUCHEL D'SATMAR
Billed Entity Number: 16035574
Form 471 Application Number: 883744
Funding Request Number(s): 2407260
Your Correspondence Dated: May 21, 2017

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2407260
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding request. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding request was denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied.
- USAC Schools and Libraries Division oversees the administration of the E-rate Program and has the authority to make decisions concerning the administration of the application process, including activities to ensure compliance with Federal

Communications Commission rules and regulations. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.705(a)(1)(iii). To conduct these reviews, USAC has put in place administrative measures to ensure the prompt resolution of applications. If applicants do not respond within the designated time period, USAC reviews the application based on the information available. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Marshall County School District, Moundsville, West Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-220105, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, 18 FCC Rcd 4520, 4522, Order, DA 03-764 para. 6 (rel. Mar. 13, 2003). Typically, applicants are required to respond to USAC's requests for additional information necessary to complete their application within 15 days of being contacted. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, Louisiana, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487170, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316-5317, FCC 06-54 para. 23 (rel. May 19, 2006) (Bishop Perry Order). *See also* Application Review posted in the SLD section of the USAC website. For those instances where USAC contacts the applicant in reference to a Selective Review Information Request, the applicant may be provided 30 days to comply with the request. This procedure is necessary to prevent undue delays during the application review process.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

December 07, 2017

Berish Ekstein
Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
76 Rutledge Street, 1st Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Re: Applicant Name: BAIS RUCHEL D'SATMAR
Billed Entity Number: 16035574
Form 471 Application Number: 883192
Funding Request Number(s): 2406121, 2406122, 2406123, 2406124
Your Correspondence Dated: May 21, 2017

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2406121, 2406122, 2406123, 2406124
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding requests. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding requests were denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied.
- USAC Schools and Libraries Division oversees the administration of the E-rate Program and has the authority to make decisions concerning the administration of the application process, including activities to ensure compliance with Federal

Communications Commission rules and regulations. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.705(a)(1)(iii). To conduct these reviews, USAC has put in place administrative measures to ensure the prompt resolution of applications. If applicants do not respond within the designated time period, USAC reviews the application based on the information available. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Marshall County School District, Moundsville, West Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-220105, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, 18 FCC Rcd 4520, 4522, Order, DA 03-764 para. 6 (rel. Mar. 13, 2003). Typically, applicants are required to respond to USAC's requests for additional information necessary to complete their application within 15 days of being contacted. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, Louisiana, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487170, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316-5317, FCC 06-54 para. 23 (rel. May 19, 2006) (Bishop Perry Order). *See* also Application Review posted in the SLD section of the USAC website. For those instances where USAC contacts the applicant in reference to a Selective Review Information Request, the applicant may be provided 30 days to comply with the request. This procedure is necessary to prevent undue delays during the application review process.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

December 07, 2017

Berish Ekstein
Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
76 Rutledge Street, 1st Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Re: Applicant Name: BAIS RUCHEL D'SATMAR
Billed Entity Number: 16035574
Form 471 Application Number: 883847
Funding Request Number(s): 2407374, 2407376, 2407412, 2407413, 2407415
Your Correspondence Dated: May 21, 2017

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2407374, 2407376, 2407412, 2407413, 2407415
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding requests. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding requests were denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied.
- USAC Schools and Libraries Division oversees the administration of the E-rate Program and has the authority to make decisions concerning the administration of the application process, including activities to ensure compliance with Federal

Communications Commission rules and regulations. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.705(a)(1)(iii). To conduct these reviews, USAC has put in place administrative measures to ensure the prompt resolution of applications. If applicants do not respond within the designated time period, USAC reviews the application based on the information available. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Marshall County School District, Moundsville, West Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-220105, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, 18 FCC Rcd 4520, 4522, Order, DA 03-764 para. 6 (rel. Mar. 13, 2003). Typically, applicants are required to respond to USAC's requests for additional information necessary to complete their application within 15 days of being contacted. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, Louisiana, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487170, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316-5317, FCC 06-54 para. 23 (rel. May 19, 2006) (Bishop Perry Order). *See also* Application Review posted in the SLD section of the USAC website. For those instances where USAC contacts the applicant in reference to a Selective Review Information Request, the applicant may be provided 30 days to comply with the request. This procedure is necessary to prevent undue delays during the application review process.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

December 07, 2017

Berish Ekstein
Bais Ruchel D'satmar
76 Rutledge Street, 1st Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Re: Applicant Name: BAIS RUCHEL D'SATMAR
Billed Entity Number: 16035574
Form 471 Application Number: 883846
Funding Request Number(s): 2407430
Your Correspondence Dated: May 21, 2017

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2407430
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- Upon review of the appeal and all relevant documentation, it has been determined that during the Program Integrity Assurance review process, Bais Ruchel D'Satmar (the District) failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request dated June 9, 2016 to determine the eligibility of the above listed funding request. The District was instructed that the request was time sensitive and that a response was expected within fifteen calendar days. As the District failed to respond, the funding request was denied. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate or provide any evidence that USAC's decision was incorrect. Consequently, your appeal is denied.
- USAC Schools and Libraries Division oversees the administration of the E-rate Program and has the authority to make decisions concerning the administration of the application process, including activities to ensure compliance with Federal

Communications Commission rules and regulations. *See* 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.705(a)(1)(iii). To conduct these reviews, USAC has put in place administrative measures to ensure the prompt resolution of applications. If applicants do not respond within the designated time period, USAC reviews the application based on the information available. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Marshall County School District, Moundsville, West Virginia, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-220105, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, 18 FCC Rcd 4520, 4522, Order, DA 03-764 para. 6 (rel. Mar. 13, 2003). Typically, applicants are required to respond to USAC's requests for additional information necessary to complete their application within 15 days of being contacted. *See* Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, Louisiana, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487170, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316-5317, FCC 06-54 para. 23 (rel. May 19, 2006) (Bishop Perry Order). *See also* Application Review posted in the SLD section of the USAC website. For those instances where USAC contacts the applicant in reference to a Selective Review Information Request, the applicant may be provided 30 days to comply with the request. This procedure is necessary to prevent undue delays during the application review process.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

**BAIS
RUCHEL
D'SATMAR**

בנשיאות כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר שליט"א



**ישיבה תורה ויראה
ובית רחל דרבינו יואל
מסאטמאר**

נתייסדה ע"י כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר הקוה"ט זי"ע

May 21, 2017

To: Letter of Appeal
Schools and Libraries Division - Correspondence Unit
30 Lanidex Plaza West - PO Box 685
Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

From: Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
BEN # 16035574
info@centraluta.com
718-797-7888

We would like to appeal the decision by USAC to deny funding for FRNs 2406121, 2406124, 2406123 and 2406122 of form 471 # 883192, FRNs 2407374, 2407376, 2407412, 2407413 and 2407415 of form 471 # 883847, FRN 2407430 of form 471 # 883846, FRN 2407260 of form 471 # 883744 and FRN 2407314 of form 471 # 883809.

On the FCDL dated December 5/18/2017 it states: *This FRN is denied because the funding request appears to be duplicative of a current year's FRN. Program rules prohibit USAC from funding the same products and services, to the same location in the same funding year. The applicant failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request to address the issue. As such, the FRN is denied.*

We are appealing this decision because we responded to all reviews that we got from USAC including a review we received from Fabio Nieto about the potential of duplicative funding requests. In our response we clearly showed there are no duplicates in our request as no location is receiving products and services twice. FRNs 2407314 and 2407260 that are both cellular service but are not duplicates, as all phones was used by different users.

Following is copy of the spreadsheet we sent that pertains to the above FRNs:

Form 471	FRN	SPIN	SERVICE_PROVIDE R	Functionality	BEN(s)/Location(s)
883846	2407430	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	T1 - Internet Access	16059581
883847	2407374	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	T1 - Internet Access	16044496
883847	2407376	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	DSL - Back - Up Internet Access	16044496
883847	2407412	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	PRI	16044496, 16063449
883847	2407415	143033763	Jay Telecom Inc.	PTP	16044496 16035574
883192	2406121	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	T1 - Internet Access	16035574
883192	2406124	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	DSL - Back - Up Internet Access	16035574
883192	2406123	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	PRI	16044493
883192	2406122	143031065	Jet Wave Corp.	4 PRI and Local and L.D. Lines	16035574, 16044499
883809	2407314	143036790	THE HOMEWORK HOTLINE INC	These are used for voice (With Unlimited service)	
883744	2407260	143000677	Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	These are used for voice and data	

Thank you

Berish Ekstein
Office Manager

**BAIS
RUCHEL
D'SATMAR**

בנשיאות כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר שליט"א



**ישיבה תורה ויראה
ובית רחל דרבנינו יואל
מסאטמאר**

נתייסדה ע"י כ"ק מרן אדמו"ר הקוה"ט זי"ע

July 20, 2017

To: Letter of Appeal
Schools and Libraries Division - Correspondence Unit
30 Lanidex Plaza West - PO Box 685
Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

From: Bais Ruchel D'Satmar
BEN # 16035574
info@centraluta.com
718-797-7888

We would like to appeal the decision by USAC to deny funding for FRN 2407294 of form 471 # 883807.

On the FCDL dated December 5/30/2017 it states: *This FRN is denied because the funding request appears to be duplicative of a current year's FRN. Program rules prohibit USAC from funding the same products and services, to the same location in the same funding year. The applicant failed to respond to the Administrator's Information Request to address the issue. As such, the FRN is denied.*

We are appealing this decision because we responded to all reviews that we got from USAC including a review we received from Fabio Nieto about the potential of duplicative funding requests. In our response we clearly showed there are no duplicates in our request as no

location is receiving products and services twice. FRNs 2407314 and 2407260 that are both cellular service but are not duplicates, as all phones was used by different users.

Thank you

Berish Ekstein
Office Manager