

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Yellow Cab Co. SM
of Sacramento

900 RICHARDS BOULEVARD • SACRAMENTO CA. 95814
BUSINESS OFFICE (916) 442-4696 • FAX (916) 442-1962

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

November 9, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

The Federal Communications Commission is considering several proposals to consolidate private radio services. The Yellow Cab Co. of Sacramento is strongly opposed to any concept that would consolidate Taxicab Radio Service with Business Radio users.

The Yellow Cab Co. of Sacramento has been a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service for many decades. We consider ourselves a public utility that provides a flexible, affordable and much needed service to the Sacramento community. General business operators and taxicab operators sharing frequencies is a prescription for calamity. It will be inefficient and costly.


More importantly, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies will jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 more times likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

The Yellow Cab Co. of Sacramento has been providing taxicab services to Sacramento since 1917. My family has been in the taxicab business since 1937 and we urge you to avoid consolidation of Taxicab and Business Radio services like you would avoid the plague.

Additionally I urge the Commission to avoid merging all 19 Radio Services into 3 or 4 catch all pools. User compatibility would be lost with nothing good in return. If you even consider consolidation of any kind, I urge the Commission to consolidate Taxicab Companies with compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,



Frederick Pleines Jr.
President

cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

6 Copies

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

MIAMI-LIBERTY CAB CO.

PHONE 222-2822

PHONE 222-4011

1124 E. SECOND STREET

DAYTON, OHIO 45403

FCC - MAIL ROOM

November 9, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N. W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written in regards to the FCC's consideration of different proposals to consolidate private radio services. My writing is particularly focused on the idea of consolidating the Taxicab Radio Service with Business Radio users. Of this idea, I wish to express my **strong opposition**.

Regarding our background, my company is a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service. My company, Miami-Liberty Cab Company, provides an important service in the nature of a public utility. In 1992, we logged nearly **1.5 million miles** and transported nearly **200,000 passengers** with a fleet of 32 taxicabs and 9 vans of which 8 are equipped with wheelchair lifts. Many of our passengers endure multiple disabilities, some more severe than others. Interference-free radio communications are **essential to safe, timely, and efficient delivery** of our public transportation service. Due to the differences in the way taxi and general business operators utilize radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

My past experience in the business radio service uniquely qualifies me to offer insight into some of the problems that would befall both groups if they were consolidated on the same frequencies. Most communications with taxicabs are extremely **short and quick**, with an average of eight to ten conversations occurring in one minute. On the other hand, business radio communications are much **longer and slower** with an average of one conversation lasting five minutes. With many business radio users interconnecting their base radios with their office telephone, the **length of an average conversation increases dramatically**.

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

015

Mr. William F. Caton
PR Docket No. 92-235
November 9, 1993

If one of our customers were to call and advise us to tell the cab driver that he is at the wrong pickup up location or that he wishes to change the time of pickup, **lengthy delays could be experienced by the customer**, the driver, and our dispatcher as they would all have to wait for any ongoing business radio conversation to end.

Unlike the days of old, where cabs would cruise the streets looking for fares, most of the trips today are requested by telephone and relayed to the driver by two-way radio. This **saves gasoline** and helps to **reduce congestion** on our streets as well as **minimizing the pollution** of our air.


Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are **21 times more likely to be murdered** on the job than the average worker.

*As recent as October 2nd, 1993 a cab driver of a Miami-Liberty Cab was forced at gun-point to drive around the city of Dayton for four (4) hours with his assailants directing his every move. **We are convinced that quick thinking by our dispatcher and a clear radio channel are the only things that saved his life.** When she realized that he may be in danger, she immediately directed all of the other cabs on the street to be on the lookout for his cab. Her constant chatter on the otherwise clear radio channel caused the assailants to believe that their apprehension was near and prompted them to take off running on foot **without firing a single shot.***

I understand the need for constantly reassessing the methods by which we operate, but as we challenge traditional thinking please bear in mind that not all original thoughts are bad and many deserve to survive the challenge. **The separation of the Taxicab Radio Service from other radio users is an original idea that deserves to survive.**

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,



R. L. Schultz
Assistant VP/GM
Miami-Liberty Cab Co.

cc: All Commissioners

ORIGINAL EX PARTE OR LATE FILED
DAYTON PARATRANSIT ENTERPRISES LTD.

P.O. BOX 291
DAYTON, OHIO 45401

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

November 9, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N. W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written in connection with the FCC's consideration of various proposals to consolidate private radio services. I am especially concerned about the idea of consolidating the Taxicab Radio Service with Business Radio users. I wish to express my strong opposition.

By way of background, my company, Dayton Paratransit Enterprises Ltd., Inc., is a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service. My company provides an important service in the nature of a public utility. Many of our passengers suffer from multiple disabilities, some more severe than others. Interference-free radio communications are essential to safe, timely, and efficient delivery of our public transportation service. Due to the differences in the way taxi and general business operators utilize radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

Most communications with taxicabs are extremely short and quick, with an average of eight to ten conversations occurring in one minute. On the other hand, business radio communications are much longer and slower with an average of one conversation lasting five minutes. With many business radio users interconnecting their base radios with their office telephone, the length of an average conversation increases dramatically.

Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are **21 times more likely to be murdered** on the job than the average worker.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+5
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993


FCC - MAIL ROOM

Mr. William F. Caton
PR Docket No. 92-235
November 9, 1993

For these reasons, I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

In conclusion, I also urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Once again, user compatibility would be lost without any material gains. If the Taxicab Radio Service is to be consolidated with anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.

Very truly yours,



C. W. Walker
President
Dayton Paratransit Enterprises Ltd., Inc.

cc: All Commissioners



YELLOW CHECKER CAB CO.

2200 S. Riverside Drive • Fort Worth, Texas 76104
817/534-7777

November 8, 1993

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC - MAIL ROOM

RE: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written in connection with the FCC's consideration of various proposals to consolidate private radio services. In particular I am writing to express my strong opposition to the notion advanced that the Taxicab Radio Service be consolidated with Business Radio users.

By way of background, my company and it's affiliates are licensees in the Taxicab Radio Service. They provide an important service in the nature of a public utility and each of the three Texas locations may conduct more than 3,000 radio/dispatch transactions per 24 hour period. Interference-free radio communications is essential to timely and efficient delivery of our public transportation service. Due to differences in that way taxi and general business operators utilizes radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 times more likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

For these reasons I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

Lastly, I urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Here again user compatibility would be lost without material gains. If the Taxicab Radio Service is to be consolidated with


No. of Copies rec'd 045
List A B C D E

Page 2: Mr. Caton

anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "James E. Richards".

James E. Richards
President

cc: All Commissioners


BERGEN
TRANSPORTATION
SERVICES

125 Piermont Road P.O. Box 100 Tenafly, New Jersey 07670
 567-2800 568-1828 487-2000 837-3100

November 8, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
 Acting Secretary
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street N.W.
 Room 222
 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton.

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

I am writing with regard to the proposals for the consolidation of private radio services presently being considered by the F.C.C. These proposals suggest that the Taxicab Radio Service be merged with Business Radio users - this prospect would be extremely damaging to our industry, and as such, I must voice my strong opposition to it.

Our transportation company consists of 30 cars, all of which are radio-dispatched to over 1000 calls daily. We currently hold an F.C.C. license in the Taxicab Radio Service for this operation. As it stands, we presently share the frequency with several other services throughout the tri-state area, and as a result, our drivers experience reception problems because of these other companies. This situation would be compounded twofold should any of these proposals be enacted.

Bear in mind that we are in a service industry - we provide an important service to the community, and it is essential that our communications remain as clear as possible to facilitate timely service. Our customers, the riding public, depend on it. Because of the differences in the nature of radio use between taxi and general businesses, the aforementioned sharing of frequencies will prove extremely detrimental not only to our company, but to the public, who rely on us for transportation.

As you may have heard, a report was recently released in which a taxi driver's job was listed among the top three most dangerous in America - more so than a New York City Police Officer.

Our drivers can attest to that, and to the fact that having use of a radio has made a difference. Over the past three months alone, our drivers were robbed at knifepoint six times - The perpetrator was apprehended with the help of our two-way radio system, and the driver who was using it. His safety would have been jeopardized if he had been unable to get through on the radio.

Lastly, I will point to the age and size of the system - the taxi industry has been using the same system since 1945 - needless to say, the industry has grown very much since then. Yet we are still using the same system, which is growing more crowded as time goes by. We have attempted to utilize data over our frequency in an effort to improve our service and reduce radio time, but because of the already-overcrowded nature of the band, there are no available frequencies for this use.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+5
 List A B C D E

I understand that an alternative has been offered in which all 19 Radio Services would be merged into 3 or 4 pools - I feel this would result in the same end - more crowding with no positive gain.

If, indeed, the Taxicab Radio Service must be merged with any other radio users, I suggest that it be consolidated with other compatible users in a Land Transportation Pool.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners, and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience. Until then, I remain

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Yablon, President". The signature is stylized and cursive.

Steven Yablon
President

cc: All Commissioners



Alabama BancServ, Inc.

ABS Service • Air Carriers • BancServ Air • Yellow Cab

Tommy Morrow
President

November 10, 1993

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing this letter to stress how important it is that Yellow Cab Inc. of Birmingham and others like it not be required to share channels with incompatible users. We have an automated dispatch systems that transmits data as well as voice.

In particular there is no way that an active taxi company can share its paired channels with simplex (single-channel) Business Radio users. The resulting interference will not only jeopardize the efficiency of my business, but also the safety of my drivers.

If you must consolidate private radio services, please make sure that we are at least grouped with other Land Transportation users.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the docket file.

Sincerely,

Tommy Morrow President/Owner

cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

5 Orig

TRANSPORTATION SPECIALIST

From the Executive Office

C.W.S. Transportation
Connecticut Wheelchair Service, Inc.

NOVEMBER 9, 1993

68 Diamond Avenue
 P.O. Box 866
 Plainville, CT 06062-0866

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
 1919 M STREET, N.W.
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

RE: PR DOCKET NO. 92-235

FCC - MAIL ROOM


DEAR SIRs,

I AM AWARE THAT YOUR AGENCY IS CONTEMPLATING A MANDATE FOR TAXI PROVIDERS SUCH AS MYSELF TO SHARE FREQUENCIES WITH USERS WHO OPERATE INCOMPATIBLE SERVICES. IT IS DIFFICULT TO ENVISION THE MESS THAT WILL RESULT FROM THE MANDATE TO SHARE. INASMUCH AS OUR FIRM SERVES THE DISABLED AS WELL AS REGULAR PUBLIC, RADIO TRANSMISSIONS CAN BE LONGER AND MORE DETAILED THAN WOULD NORMALLY BE NECESSARY.

OURS IS A HIGH VOLUME RADIO DISPATCH SYSTEM SPANNING A LARGE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA. IT WOULD SEEM MOST APPROPRIATE TO GROUP OUR FREQUENCIES WITH THOSE USED BY OTHER LAND TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS.

I HAVE ENCLOSED FIVE COPIES OF THIS LETTER FOR THE COMMISSIONERS AND THE DOCKET FILE.

VERY TRULY YOURS,


 HENRY MOORE, PRESIDENT
 BRISTOL TAXI
 BRISTOL, CT

No. of Copies rec'd 054
 List A B C D E

CC: ALL COMMISSIONERS



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CITY-CAB COMPANY

371 LISBON STREET

LEWISTON, MAINE 04240

(207) 784-4521

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

November 9, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am the operator of a taxicab system and a licensee of your Commission.

I understand that some have suggested that the Taxicab Radio Service in which I am a licensee should be consolidated with Business Radio users or in some broad pool consisting of a wide diversity of user groups.

I want to express my strong opposition to such an idea. First of all I see no need for consolidation at all. The existing coordination system works; so, why change it.

Second, under no circumstances should Taxicab Radio users be consolidated with Business Radio users or in a broad pool.

Third, if there must be come consolidation, at least consolidate the Taxicab Radio Service with other compatible groups in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the docket file.

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Leonas
President

DJL:jl
cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

024



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

PHONE 855-9009

NORVIEW CARS, Inc.
T/A NORFOLK CHECKER TAXI
6304 Sewells Point Road
NORFOLK, VA 23513

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
TAXI

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

November 8, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the notion advanced that the Taxicab Radio Service be consolidated with Business Radio users.

My company provides an important service in the nature of a public utility with over 10,000 transmissions a week between company and drivers.

Interference-free radio communications are essential to timely and efficient delivery of our public transportation service.

Any requirement that the Taxicab Radio Service and Business Radio Users share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 times more likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

For these reasons, I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

If the Taxicab Radio Service is to be consolidated with anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.


No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

5 Copies

Lastly I also urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Here again user compatibility would be lost without any material gain.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,



Judith O. Swystun
Vice President

cc: All Commissioners


ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

P.O. Box 3513 Madison, Wisconsin 53704-0513
Telephone: 608/242-2000 Fax 242-2009

November 9, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear M.r Caton:

This letter is written in connection with the FCC's consideration of various proposals to consolidate private radio services. In particular I am writing to express my strong opposition to the notion advanced that the Taxicab Radio Service be consolidated with Business Radio users.

I would like to give you a little background on Union Cab of Madison Cooperative, Inc. We are a worker owned and operated, and its division, Union Transit, is a privately held, for profit corporation organized under Chapter #185, Wisconsin Statutes. The corporation has 168 member/employees and is located at 2458 Pennsylvania Ave. We are Madison's largest taxicab operation, and the third largest in the state of Wisconsin. We are the largest diversified taxi company in the state. Union Cab transported its first passenger on October 29, 1979. We also offer parcel delivery service, airline limousine service, and group charter service. Our 54 taxis provide individual transportation services to over half of a million passengers a year. Union Transit, a division of Union Cab has a total of 21 vehicles, 13 of which are wheelchair lift equipped. Union Transit first began service July of 1991, and presently provides over 60,000 individual trips per year to the Madison community.

By way of background, Union Cab is a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service. Union Cab provides an important service in the nature of a public utility. Interference-free radio communications are essential to timely and efficient delivery of our public transportation service. Due to the differences in the way taxi and general business operators utilizes radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 times more likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+4
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Page 2


For these reasons I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

Lastly, I also urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Here again, user compatibility would be lost without any material gains. If the Taxicab

Radio Service is to be consolidate with anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,



Richard Christensen
General Manager

cc: All Commissioners

Yellow Cab Co. of Newport, Inc. DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
629 York Street
P.O. Box 541
Newport, KY 41071
(606) 261-2200

November 8, 1993

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written in connection with the FCC's consideration of various proposals to consolidate private radio services. In particular I am writing to express my strong opposition to the notion advanced that the Taxicab Radio Service be consolidated with Business Radio users.

By way of background, my company is a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service. My company provides an important service in the nature of a public utility. Interference-free radio communications are essential to timely and efficient delivery of our public transportation service. Due to the differences in the way taxi and general business operators utilizes radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.

Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 times more likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

For these reasons I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

Lastly, I also urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Here again, user compatibility would be lost without any material gains. If the Taxicab Radio Service is to be consolidated with anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,

James C. Ward
President

cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd 045
List A B C D E

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

L.I. YELLOW CAB CORP.
3130 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE
LEVITTOWN, NEW YORK 11756

NOV 15 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

November 10, 1993

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: PR Docket No. 92-235

Gentleman:

I am writing this letter to stress how important it is that my taxi company and others like it not be required to share channels with incompatible users.

In particular there is no way that an active taxi company can share its paired channels with simplex (single channel) Business Radio users.


Since our local taxi ordinance prohibits taxicabs from cruising for fares, our radios are imperative to our operation and driver safety.

We strongly object to any changes in the structure of the existing radio channels, as it will adversely affect our business and our drivers income.

Five copies of this letter are included for your distribution.

Very truly yours,

L.I. YELLOW CAB CORP.



KEITH A. KAUFMAN
Vice President

KAK/dw

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

Sorigi

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

H R P B COMPANY
Yellow & Checker Cabs
220 South 2nd
Saginaw, Michigan 48607

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

November 11, 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written in connection with the FCC's consideration of various proposals to consolidate private radio services. In particular I am writing to express my strong opposition to the notion advanced that the Taxicab Radio Service be consolidated with Business Radio users.

By way of background, my company is a licensee in the Taxicab Radio Service. My company provides an important service in the nature of a public utility. Interference-free radio communications are essential to timely and efficient delivery of our public transportation service. Due to the differences in the way taxi and general business operators utilizes radio, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies is a prescription for interference and costly inefficiencies.


Perhaps even more important, any requirement that the two groups share frequencies could jeopardize the safety of taxi drivers who are 21 times more likely to be murdered on the job than the average worker.

For these reasons I urge you to avoid any consolidation of the Taxicab and Business Radio Services.

Lastly, I also urge you to avoid any consolidation which would merge all 19 Radio Services into three or four broad catch-all pools. Here again user compatibility would be lost without any material gains. If the Taxicab Radio Service is to be consolidated with anyone, it should be with other compatible users in a Land Transportation pool.


Five copies of this letter are being furnished for the commissioners and for inclusion in the docket of this proceeding.

Sincerely,



cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

DALY CITY CAB, INC.

39 WELLINGTON AVENUE DALY CITY CA, 94014
415 992-8865

RECEIVED

NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

NOVEMBER 10, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
1919 M STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RE: PR DOCKET #92-235

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DALY CITY CAB, INC. ASKED ME TO WRITE THIS LETTER TO STRESS HOW IMPORTANT IT IS THAT OUR OPERATION, AS WELL AS THAT OF OTHER ENTERPRISES SIMILAR TO OURS NOT BE REQUIRED TO SHARE CHANNELS WITH INCOMPATIBLE USERS.

IN PARTICULAR THERE IS NO WAY THAT AN ACTIVE TAXICAB COMPANY CAN SHARE ITS PAIRED CHANNELS WITH SIMPLEX (SINGLE-CHANNEL) BUSINESS RADIO USERS. THE RESULTING INTERFERENCE WILL NOT ONLY JEOPARDIZE THE EFFICIENCY OF THE ENTIRE INDUSTRY, BUT ALSO THE SAFETY OF EVERY DRIVER.

IF YOU MUST CONSOLIDATE PRIVATE RADIO SERVICES, PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE AT LEAST GROUPED WITH OTHER LAND TRANSPORTATION USERS.

I INCLUDE FIVE COPIES OF MY LETTER FOR THE COMMISSIONERS AND THE DOCKET FILE.

SINCERELY,

Alicia J. Young
ALICIA J. YOUNG
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

AJY/rm

CC: ALL COMMISSIONERS
FILES

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

5 orig.
5

Stamford Yellow Cab, Inc.

7 Monroe Street

P.O. Box 701 • Norwalk, CT 06852

Taxi Service • 967-3633

Business Office • 866-2542



November 11, 1993

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing to stress how important it is that my busy taxi company and others like it not be required to share channels with incompatible users.

There is no way that an active company can share its paired channels with single-channel Business Radio users. The resulting confusion and interference will not only jeopardize the efficiency of my business, but also the safety of my drivers.

If you must consolidate private radio services, please make sure that we are grouped with other Land Transportation users so as to minimize the inconvenience.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the Docket file.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Martin J. Darby Jr.".

Martin J. Darby Jr.
President

cc:All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E 6 orig

JOURNAL TOP ORIGINAL

Norwalk Yellow Cab, Inc.
7 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 701 • Norwalk, CT 06852

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Taxi Service • 853-1267
Business Office • 866-2542

RECEIVED
NOV 15 1993
MAIL BRANCH

November 11, 1993

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing to stress how important it is that my busy taxi company and others like it not be required to share channels with incompatible users.

There is no way that an active company can share its paired channels with single-channel Business Radio users. The resulting confusion and interference will not only jeopardize the efficiency of my business, but also the safety of my drivers.

If you must consolidate private radio services, please make sure that we are grouped with other Land Transportation users so as to minimize the inconvenience.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the Docket file.

Sincerely,

Martin J. Darby Jr.
Martin J. Darby Jr.
President

cc: All Commissioners

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E

6 copies

ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Billings Yellow Cab

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

P.O. Box 21011 • Billings, MT 59104

11-8-93

Mr. William F. Caton
 Acting Secretary
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street N.W.
 Room 222
 Washington, D.C. 20554
 RE: PR Docket No. 92-235

RECEIVED


NOV 15 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am the owner of a Taxicab company in Billings, Montana and a licensee of your Commission. I understand that some have suggested that the Taxicab Radio Service in which I am licensee should be consolidated with Business Radio users on in some broad pool consisting of a wide diversity of user groups. I want to express my strong opposition to such an idea. First of all I see no need for consolidation at all; the existing coordination system works and "if it ain't broke, don't fix it."

No. of Copies rec'd 0+4
 List ABCDE



Billings Yellow Cab

P.O. Box 21011 • Billings, MT 59104


Second, under no circumstances should Taxicab Radio users be consolidated with Business Radio users or in a broad pool.

Third, if there must be some consolidation, at least consolidate the Taxicab Radio Service with other compatible groups in a Land Transportation pool.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the docket file.

Sincerely,
Jenni B. Bekker
President

cc: All Commissioners



Flash

ONE (312) 878-8500

EX PARTE OR LAT

ILLINOIS 60640

November 11,

RECEIVED

11 - 1993

MAIL BRANCH

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: DOCKET NO. 92-235

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing this letter to stress how important it is that my taxi company and others like it not be required to share channels with incompatible users.

Flash Cab Co. has been providing quality radio service to the people of Chicago for nearly fifty years, we handle 70 - 80,000 orders per month, with a new automated dispatch system we are installing at great expense we hope to raise this to 100 - 125,000 orders per month. Sharing a channel would prove a hardship to the company - our customers and last but not least our drivers, whose income would surely suffer.

Last year the City of Chicago ordered all taxicabs to have a safety system installed. Our Company chose World Trax, a GPS tracking system, if we do not have constant communication with our cars - the tracking system would be worthless and could put our drivers in grave danger.

Five copies of this letter are included for the Commissioners and the docket file.

Sincerely,

FLASH CAB CO.

James Bedigo
JAMES BEDIGO,
Vice-President and
General Manager

JP/sl
Encls.

CC: International Taxicab and Livery Association

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

6 Orig