

DOM

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

DUPLICATE
COPY

RECEIVED

MAR - 1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re Application of)
)
La Star Cellular Telephone Company)
)
For A construction Permit For)
Facilities Operating on Block B)
in the Domestic Public Cellular)
Radio Telecommunications Service)
in the New Orleans MSA)
)
and)
)
New Orleans CGSA, Inc.)
)
To Amend its Construction Permit)
for Facilities Operating on Block)
B in the Domestic Public Cellular)
Radio Telecommunications Service,)
Call Sign KNKA224 in the New)
Orleans MSA)

94-11

CC Docket No. 90-257

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

United States Cellular Corporation ("USCC"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.46 of the Rules, hereby requests a one week extension of time, from March 2, 1993, to March 9, 1993, to respond to the various pleadings filed in opposition to USCC's "Petition To Delete Or Nullify The Effect of Footnote 3" by Potosi Company ("Potosi"), Louisiana CGSA, Inc. ("LCGSA"), Rochester Telephone Mobile Communications ("RTMC") and Kenneth Hardman.¹

Undersigned counsel requires the additional week to finish preparation of a response and have it reviewed by USCC and other counsel. Many of the persons who must review the response before

¹ Potosi's pleading is styled an "Opposition," LCGSA's and RTMC have filed a "Motion To Strike" and "Motion For The Return of" USCC's Petition respectively and Mr. Hardman has filed a letter.

filing will be unavailable early this week owing to the CTIA Convention and/or attendance at a memorial service for the wife of a member of their law firm. Accordingly, the one week extension of time is necessary and is hereby requested.

Counsel for Potosi, LCGSA, RTMC and Mr. Hardman have authorized the undersigned to state that they are not opposed to a grant of the extension of time. The Mobile Services Division has been orally notified of the filing of its filing in accordance with Section 1.46(c) of the Rules.

Wherefore, for good cause shown, USCC requests that the instant motion be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION

By: Alan Y. Naftalin by PK
Alan Y. Naftalin

By: Peter M. Connolly
Peter M. Connolly

Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 467-5700

March 1, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Theresa Belser, a secretary in the law offices of Koteen & Naftalin, hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing "Motion For Extension of Time" have been served upon the following by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, this 1st day of March, 1993:

*Joseph Weber, Esq.
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 644
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mark Schneider, Esq.
Sidley & Austin
1722 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Russell Lukas, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1819 M Street, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006


Andrew Tollin, Esq.
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn
1735 New York Avenue., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

William J. Sill, Esq.
McFadden, Evans & Sill
1627 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20006

Kenneth E. Hardman, Esq.
Knopf & Burka
2033 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Arthur V. Belendiuk, Esq.
Smithwick & Belendiuk
2033 M Street, N.W., Suite 207
Washington, D.C. 20036

*By Hand


Theresa Belser