

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Federal Communications Commission

RECEIVED

SEP 12 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM



Washington, D. C. 20554

**CHANGE IN OFFICIAL MAILING ADDRESS FOR DOCKETED
AND RULEMAKING PROCEEDINGS**

Mail to: Federal Communications Commission
The Secretary
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 - Stop Code: 1170
Washington, DC 20554

MM Docket No. 92-11

1. Docket or Rulemaking Number(s):

Lucille Ann Lacy

2. Applicant/Petitioner:

2025 N. Hwy. A1A

3. Street Address or Post Office Box:

Indianatic, Florida 32903

4. City, State, and ZIP Code:

Section 1.5 of the Commission's Rules requires an attorney to keep the Commission informed of any change in mailing address in order that the station may be served documents or other official papers without delay.

Only one mailing address can be maintained for each applicant.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

042

Forward the original and two copies to the Secretary.

Copy

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

MM Docket No. 92-11

In the Matter of

Amendment of Section 73.202(b), RM-7881
Table of Allotments,
FM Broadcast Stations.
(Inglis, Florida)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER
(Proceeding Terminated)

Adopted: August 12, 1994; Released: August 24, 1994

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division:

1. The Commission has before it the Petition for Reconsideration filed by Chapman S. Root Revocable Trust ("Root"), licensee of Station WEZY-FM, Channel 231C1, Lakeland, Florida.¹ Root requests reconsideration of our action allotting Channel 282A to Inglis, Florida.² William D. Elliott filed comments supporting Root's petition. Lucille Ann Lacy filed comments opposing the petition for reconsideration.

2. *Background.* At the request of Lucille Lacy, the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*³ in this proceeding proposed to allot Channel 282A to Inglis as that community's first local FM service. Both William Elliott and Lucille Lacy filed comments expressing continuing interest in the proposed allotment, and no oppositions were filed to this proposal. As a result, the *Report and Order* allotted Channel 282A to Inglis. Thereafter, a construction permit was granted to Lucille Lacy for a new FM station on Channel 282A at Inglis.⁴

3. *Petition for Reconsideration.* While Root did not participate earlier in this proceeding, it has filed this petition for reconsideration because it believes that the allotment of Channel 282A at Inglis would prevent the grant of its application to upgrade its Station WEZY-FM, Lakeland, Florida, from Channel 231C1 to Channel 231C. Specific-

cally, Root explains that, in order to grant its application, Station WRBQ(FM), Tampa, Florida, must switch from Channel 284C to Channel 283C.⁵ Since the allotment of Channel 282A at Inglis conflicts with the substitution of Channel 283C at Tampa, Root requests that we now substitute Channel 242A at Inglis for Channel 282A to eliminate the conflict.

4. Root acknowledges that Channel 242A is the subject of a pending petition for allotment at the neighboring community of Yankeetown. However, Root contends that this is the only alternative channel that would not conflict with Channel 283C at Tampa. Root further argues that Channel 242A is not really needed at Yankeetown because (1) the Inglis and Yankeetown proposals are essentially identical in terms of both the area and population that would be served and (2) the populations of the two communities are very small.⁶ As a result, Root requests that the allotment of Channel 282A at Inglis be deleted and that Channel 242A should be allotted to either Inglis or Yankeetown.

5. *Comments.* Elliott filed a comment in support of Root's proposal. In an opposition, Lacy contends that Root's petition for reconsideration is procedurally defective and should therefore be dismissed. Specifically, Lacy contends that Root has not complied with the requirements of Section 1.429 of the Commission's Rules because it has submitted impermissible new matter.⁷ Since Root did not participate earlier in this proceeding, Lacy contends that it should be barred from doing so now.

6. Lacy further argues that Root's petition openly states that the reason for its filing is personal self interest, not the public interest. Lastly, Lacy contends that Root's assertions regarding its proposal for Lakeland, Florida, are speculative and without merit as they relate to this proceeding. Indeed, Lacy states that Root's proposed substitution of channels at Tampa was specifically rejected in another FM allotment proceeding, MM Docket 88-512.

7. *Discussion.* After careful consideration of the pleadings filed in this proceeding, we find that Root's petition for reconsideration should be denied. As previously noted, Section 1.429 governs the filing and consideration of petitions for reconsideration in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings. Section 1.429 permits grant of a reconsideration request based on facts not previously presented to the Commission under certain conditions. However, we find that the new matter that Root has introduced does not fit into these conditions.

8. Specifically, Root is now contending for the first time in this proceeding that the allotment of Channel 282A at Inglis would conflict with the substitution of Channel 283C

¹ Public Notice of the petition was given on August 12, 1992, Report No. 902.

² *Report and Order*, 7 FCC Rcd 3446 (1992).

³ 7 FCC Rcd 884 (1992).

⁴ File No. BPH-920820MD. An application was subsequently granted on November 11, 1993, consenting to the assignment of the construction permit from Lucille Lacy to West Coast Radio Corporation.

⁵ This channel switch would prevent a short-spacing under Section 73.207(b)(1), Table A, of the Commission's Rules which requires Class C FM stations on channels 10.6 MHz apart (i.e., 53 channels removed from each other) to be separated by 48 kilometers (30 miles) to prevent intermediate-frequency (IF) intermodulation interference. See *Edens Broadcasting, Inc.*, 5 FCC Rcd 2576, 2578 n.1 (1990) for a brief explanation of IF

interference.

⁶ Root states that the 1990 populations of Inglis and Yankeetown were 1,241 and 602, respectively.

⁷ Section 1.429 of the rules provides that a petition for reconsideration which relies on facts which have not previously been presented to the Commission will be granted only under the following circumstances: (1) the facts relied on relate to events which have changed since the last opportunity to present them to the Commission; (2) the facts relied on were unknown to petitioner until after his last opportunity to present them to the Commission, and he could not through the exercise of ordinary diligence have learned of the facts in question prior to such opportunity; or (3) the Commission determines that consideration of the facts relied on is required in the public interest.