

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 26 1994

October 23, 1994

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET NO. *94-59*

This proposal seems to indicate that a distant station, when communicating with an unattended remote station, can in fact guarantee there will not be any interference to another station.

I do not believe this to be possible under any conditions, other than the remote station is within a few miles of the calling station.

Radio propagation affecting one station does not necessarily allow a distant station to hear the exact signals as the other station, thereby making it impossible to guarantee not interfering with other stations that may be on or near same frequency when he calls up the "No Operator Station".

I hope you will consider the fact that the radio spectrum allowed the amateur radio operators in the United States is very limited, and our frequencies are used throughout the world by others....Unnecessary interference by someone not being able to hear what the unattended stations receiver can hear would cause unnecessary interference both locally and to others. In some cases and on some frequencies anywhere in the world.

I would suggest that the American Radio Relay League be requested to poll the digital operators in this country and submit a new petitionone that represents the majority of Amateurs operating digital communications.

I would recommend the Federal Communications Commission discard this petition.....

Very Sincerely,

John E. Huetter

John E. Huetter, K8DZR
2146 Chesterland Avenue
Lakewood, Ohio 44107

No. of Copies *2*
LISTA B C D E