DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Freedom Mobile Communication, Inc.
14 Ray St.
Beaver Falls, Pa. 15010

December 2, 1994

RECEIVED
DEC 05 1994
FCC MAIL ROOM

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: PR Docket No. 93-144; RM-8117;
RM-8030; RM-8029;
and PP Docket No. 93-253

Gentlemen:

As an SMR licensee and small business owner I would like to make the following comments.

I have relied on the FCC to uphold the Rules and Regulations set forth in Part 90, at the time I recieved my SMR License.
I have invested Seven Years of my time, and a large amount of money, building, and maintaining a State Of The Art SMR System which my customers rely on for there business communications.
I oppose any change in Rule making which would limit my ability to grow and attract Investors, force Spectrum Relocation, or force Customer Radio Reprogramming, which in my case would cost over 1000Hrs of Labor, and unknown service interruptions.

At a time when we face maximum amount of competition from other SMR providers, as well as Cellular Companies, it is ill advised for A business such as I own to be mandated to destroy customer confidence in the products and service we provide, because of Spectrum Relocation.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9
List A B C D E

The Pittsburgh MTA is wait listed which shows A maximum amount of competition and Spectrum usage.

80 Frequencies designated for relocation will cause a short fall of 120 Channels in my area, which would lead any SMR owner to question if he will be the License holder to be frozen out, and if so who pays the bill for breach of contract and other types of law Suits Initiated by Stockholders, Customers, and Creditors ?

I believe more consideration should be given to the Incumbent who stands to loose everything, than Big Business which is driving the Rule making process.

Sincerely,

Jerome M. Freund
President