l. Exceptions/Comments

Litchfield Exceptions to the Draft Findings and Statement Regarding Desire for Oral Argument

Written Exceptions and Notification of Intent to Make Oral Argument of Metro Mobile

Atty General of Connecticut Comments

Office of Consumer Counsel's Comments

Exceptions of Springwich Cellular

DPUC Investigation Into The CT Cellular Service Market and the Status of Competition

pp. 1-139
" 372-653
" 654-908
" 909-1180
" 1181-1190
" 1430-1439
" 1608-1615
" 1617-1732
" 1733-1783

SECTION 2 -- MATERIALS THAT WILL BE DISCLOSED SUBJECT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER IN APPENDIX B OF THE *FIRST CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER*.

a. Late filed exhibit No. 3, **Attachment A; dated May 27, 1994**
Springwich's five-year projection of cellular numbers.

Late filed exhibit No. 4, **Attachment A; dated May 27, 1994**
Springwich's long-run incremental analysis cost study and forecast assumptions

Late filed exhibit No. 7, **Attachment A; dated May 27, 1994**
Springwich's analysis showing actual subscribers vs. theoretical switch capacity.

Late filed exhibit No. 9, **Attachment A; dated May 27, 1994**
Copy of Springwich Cellular Limited Partnership Agreement

b. Response to request No. TE-3, **Attachment A; dated May 6, 1994**
Springwich's wholesale financial statements and annual cost and revenue data

Response to request No. TE-5, **Attachment A; dated May 6, 1994**
Springwich's cellular end-user churn data

Response to request No. TE-6, **Attachment A; dated May 6, 1994**
Springwich's monthly average minutes of use per wholesale subscriber, from 1985 - present.

c. Response to request No. TE-6, **dated April 18, 1994**
Bell Atlantic Metro Mobile: Average Usage Per month - Connecticut only

d. Late filed Exhibit No. 34, **Attachment A; dated June 16, 1994**
Springwich forecasted revenue calculations

e. Late filed Exhibit No. 2, **Attachment A; revised June 6, 1994**
Springwich: planned operating revenues, operating expenses, net operating income, partners' equity, and distributions to partners, for 1991, 1992, and 1993; Springwich Partnership: detailed revenues, operating income and operating expenses, for 1991, 1992, and 1993; Springwich's financial projections.

Late filed Exhibit No. 3, **Attachments A & B; revised June 6, 1994**
Springwich's five year projection of its cellular service market share and subscriber base, given various hypothetical marketplace actions by competitors.

Late filed Exhibit No. 28, **Attachments A, B, & C; revised June 6, 1994**
Springwich's list of charges from affiliates, description of charges from affiliates, method of allocation, detail of charges from affiliates to Springwich, and Springwich's detailed operating expenses.

f. Response To TE-6, date May 18, 1994
Litchfield's monthly average of minutes of use per wholesale subscriber, from Jan. 1992 to April 1994. (Excepting from confidential treatment in any form, however, associated responses to TE-1 and TE-2, describing Litchfield's offering since 1991 and attaching wholesale tariff with 1991 rates, unchanged since.)

Exhibit B, May 18, 1994
Litchfield's financial statements.

g. Litchfield's responses to Interrogatories TE-1 through TE-18, filed under letter dated May 5, 1994, received May 6, 1994 by DPUC, including, *inter alia*:
Response to TE-3: appending financial reports for the years ending Jan. 31, 1991 and Jan. 31, 1992 as Appendices B and C (B was in fact later filed under letter dated May 18, 1994), including balance sheets showing assets and liabilities, operating expenses and losses, cash flows and increase in cash.

h. Late-filed Exhibit 15, **dated May 27, 1994**

Bell Atlantic Metro Mobile's statement of wholesale operations' revenues and expenses

Late-filed Exhibit 16, dated May 27, 1994

Late filed Exhibit 17, dated May 27, 1994

Bell Atlantic Metro Mobile's statements of wholesale operations' assets and liabilities and wholesale operations'revenues and expenses.

i. Brief of the Attorney General of Connecticut

SECTION 3 -- CONNECTICUT MATERIALS THAT WILL BE DELETED FROM THE COMMISSION FILES AND WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN THE COMMISSION'S DECISION ON THE MERITS.

a. Cellular Resellers Coalition Brief

b. Confidential Hearing of the DPUC Investigation pp. 1373-1429
In camera session for Bell Atlantic

c. Brief of the Office of Consumer Counsel

d. Confidential Hearing of the DPUC Investigation pp. 1440-1545- Testimony

e. Confidential Hearing of the DPUC Investigation pp. 1580-1607- Testimony

f. Initial Brief of Springwich Cellular Limited Partnership

g. Confidential Hearing of the DPUC Investigation pp. 1194-1372- Testimony

h. Confidential Hearing of the DPUC Investigation pp. 1546-1579- Testimony

i. Late-filed Ex. 29, dated June 7, 1994
1993 Unaudited MetroMobile monthly revenues, estimated expense allocation. (Item is not specifically mentioned either in the protective order or in the DPUC's Jan. 9, letter requesting confidential treatment.)

j. Late-filed Ex. 16, dated May 27, 1994, revised June 3, 1994
Connecticut Wholesale Cellular report documenting revenue, expense and subscriber information. (Item is not specifically mentioned either in the protective order or in The DPUC's Jan. 9, letter requesting confidential treatment.)

APPENDIX B

Parties Whose Pleadings
in PR Docket Nos. 94-105 or 94-106
are Referenced in Second Confidential Treatment Order

California
PR Docket No. 94-105

Airtouch Communications (Airtouch)
California Public Utilities Commission (California or CPUC)
Cellular Carriers Association of California (CCAC)
Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association (CTIA)
Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Co. (L.A. Cellular)
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc. (McCaw)
US West Cellular of California (US West)

Connecticut
PR Docket No. 94-106

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control (Connecticut or DPUC)