

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE
Federal Communications Commission

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re Applications of)
)
SCRIPPS HOWARD)
BROADCASTING COMPANY)
)
For Renewal of License of)
Station WMAR-TV,)
Baltimore, Maryland)
)
and)
)
FOUR JACKS BROADCASTING, INC.)
)
For Construction Permit for a)
New Television Facility on)
Channel 2 at Baltimore,)
Maryland)

MM Docket No. 93-94
File No. BRCT-910603KX

File No. BPCT-94

RECEIVED
APR 13 1995
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To: The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge

**JOINT REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company ("Scripps Howard") and
Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc. ("Four Jacks"), by their attorneys,
hereby request an extension of time until Friday, April 21, 1995,
in which to respond to the Comments filed by the Mass Media
Bureau on the "Joint Request for Approval of Settlement
Agreement".

No. of Copies rec'd 26
List A B C D E

The Mass Media Bureau's Comments support approval of the settlement agreement, but object to Section 8 of the agreement. The parties need additional time to address this aspect of the Bureau's Comments.^{1/}

Counsel for the Mass Media Bureau has been contacted and has indicated that he will not interpose any objection to the requested extension.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, it is respectfully requested that time for responding to the Mass Media Bureau Comments be extended until Friday, April 21, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,

**SCRIPPS HOWARD BROADCASTING
COMPANY**

Baker & Hostetler
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

BY: _____
Kenneth C. Howard, Jr.
Leonard C. Greenbaum
Sean H. Lane

Its Attorneys

FOUR JACKS BROADCASTING, INC.

Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader
& Zaragoza, L.L.P.
2001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006-1851

By: _____
Martin R. Leader
Kathryn R. Schmeltzer
Gregory L. Masters

^{1/} A response would be today. Counsel for both parties have been out of the office for substantial portions of the period between April 3rd and today and consequently have not had an opportunity to discuss the matter.

- 2 -

The Mass Media Bureau's Comments support approval of the settlement agreement, but object to Section 8 of the agreement. The parties need additional time to address this aspect of the Bureau's Comments.^{1/}

Counsel for the Mass Media Bureau has been contacted and has indicated that he will not interpose any objection to the requested extension.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, it is respectfully requested that time for responding to the Mass Media Bureau Comments be extended until Friday, April 21, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,

**SCRIPPS HOWARD BROADCASTING
COMPANY**

Baker & Hostetler
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

BY: Sean H. Lane

Kenneth C. Howard, Jr.
Leonard C. Greenbaum
Sean H. Lane

Its Attorneys

FOUR JACKS BROADCASTING, INC.

By: _____

Martin R. Leader
Kathryn R. Schmeltzer
Gregory L. Masters

Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader
& Zaragoza, L.L.P.
2001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006-1851

^{1/} A response would be today. Counsel for both parties have been out of the office for substantial portions of the period between April 3rd and today and consequently have not had an opportunity to discuss the matter.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Margie Sutton Chew, a secretary in the law firm of Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza L.L.P., do hereby certify that true copies of the foregoing "JOINT REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME" were sent this 13th day of April, 1995, by hand delivery, to the following:

The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Room 218
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert A. Zauner, Esq.
Hearing Branch
Enforcement Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7212
Washington, D.C. 20554


Margie Sutton Chew