

RECEIVED

SEP 19 1995

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of)
)
American Cablesystems of Florida, Ltd.,)
d/b/a Continental Cablevision of)
Broward County, and)
)
Continental Cablevision of)
Jacksonville, Inc.)
)
Complainants,)
)
v.)
)
Florida Power and Light Company)
)
Respondent)

CC Docket No. 95-95

PA 91-0012

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To: The Honorable Edward Luton, Administrative Law Judge

**COMMON CARRIER BUREAU COMMENTS
ON SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

1. On September 18, 1995, Complainants and Respondent jointly filed a "Settlement Agreement" (Settlement) in the captioned proceeding. The Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, through her attorneys, recommends that your Honor approve the Settlement and dismiss with prejudice the complaints of American Cablesystems of Florida, Ltd., d/b/a Continental Cablevision of Broward County, and Continental Cablevision of Jacksonville, Inc.

2. In full and final settlement of Complainants' claims regarding Respondent's pole attachment rates at issue, the parties agreed as follows. First, Respondent will apply its rate of \$6.38 from July 1, 1995 to July 1, 1997 unless the rate it routinely calculates for cable pole attachments next year is less (in which case the lower rate will apply). Either party

No. of Copies rec'd 01 + 4
List ABCDE
AGS

however has the option to terminate this provision if the Commission changes the formula for such rates. Second, the parties shall deem each of the Complainants' licensing agreements for pole attachments amended to reflect their Settlement. Third, the parties will jointly file with the Commission a motion to vacate the Hearing Designation Order (HDO) within thirty days after an order approving their settlement. Lastly, Respondent agrees to refund \$27,822.86 to Complainants within thirty days after issuance of both an order approving the settlement and an order vacating the HDO. The Settlement further states that it cannot be construed as an admission of liability because it represents a compromise settlement of disputed claims. Pursuant to the Settlement, the parties also agreed not to file any pole attachment complaints with respect to the rates agreed upon in the Settlement, but stipulated that it does not affect their present or future participation in any rulemaking or other similar non-complaint proceedings.


3. The Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, through her attorneys, recommends approval of the Settlement. After careful review of the Settlement, the Bureau finds that no issues remain in controversy, the rates are just and reasonable, and approval of the Settlement will serve the public interest. The Commission has a policy of encouraging negotiations and mutually agreeable settlements in complaint cases to extent that they serve the public interest by eliminating the need for further litigation and concomitant expenditure of time and resources by the Commission and other parties to the proceeding. See, e.g., Longview Cable TV Company, Inc., et al. v. Southwestern Electric Power Company, 5 FCC Rcd 686 (1990); Warner Amex Cable Communications, Inc. v. Southwestern Electric Power Company, 5 FCC Rcd 578 (1990).

4. Accordingly, the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, through her attorneys, requests that your Honor approve the Settlement and dismiss with prejudice the complaints of American Cablesystems of Florida, Ltd., d/b/a Continental Cablevision of Broward County, and Continental Cablevision of Jacksonville, Inc. At this time the Bureau takes no position on the Motion to Vacate the HDO that the Settlement requires the parties to file with the Commission. In the past, the Commission, and the Bureau acting under delegated authority, have vacated orders for good cause shown when parties have reached settlement in a dispute. See, e.g., GTE Telenet Communications Corp. v. AT & T, File No. E-81-32, Mimeo No. 4755 (Com. Car. Bur.) (released May 29, 1986), vacated as a condition of settlement where order dealt with rates no longer in effect, Mimeo No. 5670 (released July 10, 1986), part. recon. denied, 1 FCC Rcd 367 (Com. Car. Bur.) Such a motion must be considered on its own merits when it comes before the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen M.H. Wallman
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau

September 19, 1995

By: 
John V. Giusti, Esq.
John C. K. Hays, Esq.
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ernestine Creech, hereby certify that I have, this 19th day of September, 1995, served a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appearance by First Class mail, postage prepaid, except as otherwise noted, on the following parties:


Honorable Edward Luton*
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Room 225
Washington, DC 20554

American Cablesystems of Florida, Ltd.
d/b/a Continental Cablevision of Broward County
141 N.W. 16th Street
Pompano Beach, Florida 33060-5291

Continental Cablevision of Jacksonville, Inc.
5934 Richard Street
Jacksonville, Florida 32216

Gardner F. Gillespie
Hogan & Hartson
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Jean G. Howard, Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
9250 West Flagler Street
Miami, Florida 33174


Ernestine Creech

* By hand delivery