

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

From: <WSTERBA@tiny.computing.csbsju.edu>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/24/95 10:21am
Subject: Children's Television Act

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Ms Chong,

I am writing to ask you to support the quantitative guidelines of the Children's Television act. It seems to me that children and parents should have some quality television available, especially sine the television has become the new alternative to the babysitter. Three hours a week even seems skimpy to me, in that this would not even guarantee a half hour per day per station.

Thank you very much for your time.

Sincerely,

Wendy Sterba

WSTERBA@TINY.COMPUTING.CSBSJU.EDU "It is better to light a candle, than to curse the darkness."
(Chinese Proverb)

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

From: Sheila Finch <sfinch@gi.alaska.EDU>
To: A7.A7 (RBCHONG)
Date: 10/5/95 6:50pm
Subject: Children's Television

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am concerned about the programs that are increasingly becoming more and more sexually explicit. Almost every new sitcom that is on this fall has something containing sex or they are sleeping with someone. We all have to be informed of this. Believe it or not, this is not what people turn the television on to see or hear. Watching someone on t.v. or in the movies, having sex is like watching someone on the toilet. No one likes to see it.

Please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week (during the time of the day when they would or could be watching).

Sincerely,

Sheila Finch
P.O. Box 81988
Fairbanks, AK 99708

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: Unprivileged user <nobody@wwa.com>
To: A7.A7 (RBCHONG)
Date: 10/9/95 12:39am
Subject: Television Frequencies

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

This country is based on free market principles. People who wish to use something, should have to pay for it, unless there are overriding reasons not to.

The only reason I can think of that television broadcasters would not pay for the use of their broadcast frequencies is if their lobby has undue influence. Any subsidies should be given directly to organizations deserving them, rather than slipping them through the cracks of the tax code and things like free use of the airways.

P.S. PBS deserves a direct subsidy much more than most of the stations deserve free use of the airways.

Thanks for your consideration,

Ken Flagg
759 Sonrisa Street
Solana Beach, CA 92075 kflagg@connectnet.com

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

1

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 24 1995

From: <THANNAH1@aol.com>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/20/95 2:02pm
Subject: Enforce the Children's Television Act

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Please support qualitative guidelines to enforce the Children's
Television
Act

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <SheriSndrs@aol.com>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/15/95 12:58pm
Subject: Children's Television Act

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

September 14, 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As someone who cares a lot about the future of our children, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids.

It took parents' groups over 20 years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

Sincerely,

Sheri Sanders
Mother of Danny, 4 & Jenna, 2
10612 183rd Court NE
Redmond, WA 98052-2853

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

OCT 24 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

From: Chuck Griffin <griffin@lemming.uvm.edu>
To: A7.A7 (RBCHONG)
Date: 9/14/95 3:59pm
Subject: FCC Changes to Television Programming for Children

Dear Commissioner Chong,

It is my understanding that the FCC will be considering a revision of rules that pertain to television programming for children. I would encourage you to support rules that

1) clearly define what constitutes educational programming and 2) mandate a minimum of 3 hours of educational programming/day

Thank you for your support,

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chuck Griffin
RR2, Box 649
Underhill, VT 05489

=====

CC: Chuck Griffin <griffin@lemming.uvm.edu>

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <tsbeeson@unity.ncsu.edu>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/7/95 7:22am
Subject: Children's Television

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As someone who works daily with children, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week. Children are naturally inquisitive and interested in programming that is intellectually stimulating and entertaining.

Unfortunately, most broadcasters have failed to provide this programming. They have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Sincerely,

Thomas Beeson

tsbeeson@unity.ncsu.edu

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Joel Rubinstein <jrubinstein@igc.apc.org>
To: Commissioner Rachelle Chong <rchong@fcc.gov>
Date: 9/6/95 7:41pm
Subject: Children's Television Act enforcement

Joel Rubinstein
29A Moss Avenue
Oakland CA 94610-1384 telephone: 510-655-5541

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

OCT 24 1995

FEDERAL

COMMUNICATIONS

Dear Commissioner Chong:

In 1990 Congress passed the Children's Television Act. One of the provisions of this legislation was that television broadcasters would be obliged to air children's educational programming as a condition of the license.

I respectfully urge you to vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week -- at least 3-5 hours per week.

Without such binding language, I believe that the broadcasters will never follow the spirit of the Children's Television Act. Instead, they will claim that "Saturday morning cartoons" are educational.

Please let me know your views on this subject.

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: HTML Pseudo-user <html@hopf.dnai.com>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/6/95 12:34pm
Subject: Mail from html

OCT 24 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20541

mailto:is_rchong@fcc.gov
Message is Dear Commissioner ~~Chong~~

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am very concerned about the effects of television on American children. We have seen test scores fall and tolerance to violence rise.

Unfortunately, we probably can't prevent children from watching television, so any programming that we can add that will be helpful rather than hurtful is something we must encourage.

Please support the Children's Television Act. 3-5 hours a week of educational shows is hardly enough, but it is a good start.

Sincerely,

Jeremy David Stolen
40 Clark St, #1
Boston MA 02109

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: MS KATHRYN R DICKINSON <DKFB84B@prodigy.com>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/12/95 4:28pm
Subject: Childrens TV

OCT 24 1995

Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As someone who cares a lot about the future of our children, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Dickinson
23621 NE 45th Pl
Redmond, WA 98053

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

OCT 24 1995

From: Erik Lumish <elumish@moe.coe.uga.edu>
To: A7.A7(RBCHONG)
Date: 9/11/95 2:39pm
Subject: the children

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

As a concerned, tax paying and voting citizen, it troubles me to learn that you have doubts about supporting the 'quantitative guideline' of the Children's Television Act. This addition is one of the only bright spots in an otherwise dismal campaign to destroy the free spirit of radio and television by going after creative entertainment like the Howard Stern Show, while leaving the children to zombify in front of hour after hour of mighty morphine addict power rangers and other brainless violence. If your going to censure anything, make the networks have to provide quality children's television. Prohibition of anything will never work. Focus on what the companies should produce, not what they can't. There is no better place to start than with the children.

-Sincerely
Erik Lumish

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Beth Blenz <blenzb@sawdust.cvfn.org>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/4/95 6:28pm
Subject: Childrens TV Act

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Ms. Chong:

I want to voice my support for the qualitative and quantitative guidelines for enforcing the Children's Television Act. This act is so important to families and children -- especially now that public tv is getting a bum rap. We're all counting on you to make the right decision. Thanks for your consideration. By the way, have you watched Saturday morning TV lately?

Beth Blenz-Clucas
5505 SW Illinois Street
Portland, OR 97221

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

REC'D

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: John V. Surr <74074.1764@compuserve.com>
To: FCC Com'r R. Chong <rchong@fcc.gov>
Date: 9/3/95 5:56pm
Subject: Children's TV

As an advocate for young children, and as an activist working for years to persuade the networks, particularly GE/NBC, to improve the quality of their programming for children, I need to ask you to vote in favor of a requirement that television broadcasters, including cable stations that use broadcast relays, devote at least 3-5 hours a week during children's viewing hours to programming specifically addressed to children and their developmental needs.

Your FCC Staff's proposals are a good starting place to this end. The broadcasters have been flouting their disregard of the requirements of the

Children's TV Act, and the public is beginning to get angry about this callous disregard of legal obligations and community moral obligations. Please vote for immediate substantive and meaningful implementation of the Children's Television Act. Thank you very much.

Sincerely, John V. Surr

RECEIVED

CC: children now <children@dnai.com>

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 24 1995

RECEIVED

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Monique Perricone-Wihlen <C585189@MIZZOU1.missouri.edu>
To: Rachelle Chong <rchong@fcc.gov>
Date: 9/4/95 1:35pm
Subject: FCC act

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Ms. Chong;

I am writing regarding the act that is currently up for vote that would require television networks to air a minimum of 3 hours per week of educational shows for children. As a new parent, this is an issue that is near to my heart; I am quite concerned about the availability of educational programs for my daughter.

Further, because I recently received my MS in Family Studies from the University of Missouri-Columbia, I have had the opportunity to learn about the many potential effects of television viewing on the lives of our young children.

I believe that this act would greatly benefit the young children in this nation, and I am asking you to please vote "yes" on this act. The lives of our children are of great portent, and the media is one of the most influential agents of socialization for our children (even though we may not want it to be quite that influential).

I thank you for your time and attention to this matter. If you would like to contact me you can reach me at:

Monique Perricone-Wihlen
2163 Bearfield
Columbia, MO 65201
E-Mail: C585189@mizzou1.missouri.edu

Thank you,
Monique Perricone-Wihlen

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

3000 1000

OCT 24 1995

7000 1000

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: <lwnet!lmaidan@uunet.uu.net>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/3/95 9:11pm
Subject: Children's Educational TV Programs

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

Thank you for considering new regulations regarding TV programming for children. As a secondary school educator, I recognize that youngsters are more media-focussed these days than in the past. Far fewer read for recreation than in years gone by. Television can help educate these youngsters by providing high quality children's shows that integrate education and entertainment. Better regulation would help. I hope you will support such an effort.

Thank you,
Laura Maitland
45 Florida Avenue
Commack, NY 11725-5115

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

OCT 24 1995

RECEIVED
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
OCT 24 1995

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Josh Edelman <jedelman@students.wisc.edu>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/4/95 6:42pm
Subject: CTA Support

RECEIVED
OCT 24 1995

Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As someone who cares a lot about the future of our children, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

Sincerely,

Josh Edelman
201 Natchez Trace
Madison, Wis 53705

jedelman@students.wisc.edu

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: NAME <MCROSBUR@umiami.ir.miami.edu>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/3/95 12:57am
Subject: children's television

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am in favor of requiring the major networks to create 5 or so hours of educational TV programming for our children. I am an active citizen and a parent.
Margaret Crosbie-Burnett
7420 SW 127th Street
Miami, FL 33156

95-314-D

OCT 24 1995

150 10 11-10-95

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Cynthia Randall S&T Onsite <a-cynra@microsoft.com>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/2/95 3:32pm
Subject: SUBSCRIBE CHILDNOW

OCT 24 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

I care a great deal about the future of my two children as well as those of other Americans. And because I am concerned with the messages and images children hear and see on a daily basis, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids. You would be amazed at how much children want and are excited by educational television. My husband, Jeff, our two boys, Joshua and Trisan, and I sit down regularly and watch PBS, Discover, and Nova "sponsored" programs. My children are so engaged--watching animals interact and fight for survival or seeing what new steps people are taking to secure a safe environment for both man and animal. But these programs can only offer a small number of educational programs. If other stations, who currently offer a great deal of "junk TV," were compelled to offer some educational programs, parents would have several opportunities to enhance the experiences of their children.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Randall

Our Address
Jeff, Cynthia, Joshua, and Tristan Randall
9727 159th Place NE
Redmond, Washington 98052

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com","majordomo@dnai.c...")

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: <DENNIS@pembvax1.pembroke.edu>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/2/95 3:55pm
Subject: Children's television act

OCT 24 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As a teacher and guidance counselor in the public schools I have seen firsthand the capabilities of students who are graduating from our public schools. One does not have to spend much time within the four walls of a classroom before becoming astounded at how poorly many of our students perform academically while at the same time being quite knowledgeable about television fare. Many students not only have difficulty discussing critical current events from the world around them, but also appear to have little realization of what the current topics are. At the same time these same students are often quite well informed about the latest in pop culture and broadcast fare offered by the media. While various studies have provided contradictory results, I am convinced that there is a co correlation between children's television watching and their academic performance. Please consider strengthening the requirements of the Children's Television Act to include a minimum number of mandatory hours of educational programming by each licensed station. I would also like to see closer monitoring by the FCC of stations' compliance with the CTA.

Thank you for your time,

Dennis Watts
4837 Pinedale Blvd.
Lumberton NC 28358

dennis@pembvax1.pembroke.edu

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: RICHARD ROBBINS <ROBBINRH@splava.cc.plattsburgh.edu>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/2/95 9:59am
Subject: Educational Programming for Children

OCT 24 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Date sent: 2-SEP-1995 08:52:59 Dear Commissioner Chong

I am writing to support the FCC initiative that requires stations to devote a specific amount of time to children's broadcasting. My feeling is that television has already played a major role in the creation of a youth sub- culture devoted to the marketing of violence for profit, and that this marketing has already been subsidized by tax dollars. It is not unreasonable, I believe, to expect television stations to repay their debt by contributing to educational goals that further the common good. This issue has gained greater significance with the recent legislative attacks on public television. Please support the initiative.

Richard H. Robbins Bitnet: Robbinrh@snyplava.bitnet
Department of Anthropology Internet: Robbinrh@splava.cc.plattsburgh.edu
SUNY at Plattsburgh AOL: RHROBBINS@aol.com
Plattsburgh, N.Y. 12901
(518) 564-4006

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <CHromatka@aol.com>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/2/95 10:14am
Subject: Children's Television Act

303-201-112

OCT 24 1995

Commissioner Rachele Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

As someone who cares a lot about the future of all children, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

I am very glad the Commission is considering these new rules to fully enforce the Children's Television Act. Please support a minimum of 3-5 hours a week of educational shows for kids.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

I have seen a significant change over the years as children spend more and more time in front of the television instead of interacting with other people. In our household, the television is rarely on. When the children are watching, they are watching public television because of its high quality educational programming. Unfortunately, many parents rely on the television to entertain their children and the children suffer as they spend more and more time in an unreal world of violence and mindless conversations. Educational programming does not have to be dull. Sesame Street has proven that. I hope that you can see the benefit of at least providing some alternatives on network television.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Cindy Hromatka
7580 Canyon Curve
Chanhassen, MN 55317
H (612) 474-3417
W(612) 448-2484

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

1

From: Gladys Roseman <gladys@lafn.org>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/2/95 1:36pm
Subject: support of kid's tv proposal

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 24 1995

I want to support the requirement that all television stations provide a specific number of hours of educational tv programming each week.

As a retired teacher of young children, and a mother of four and grandmother of three, I feel that this is the least television stations can do for the American Public which allows them to use our airwaves free of charge. I see the youngsters watching television, and I know their parents would like choices for their viewing. Parents are concerned that educational television is not available for their children on most stations, and would appreciate seeing the innovations of educational tv on their local stations.

Gladys Roseman
6008 Wish Ave
Encino, CA 91316
(818) 996-1924
gladys@lafn.org

Gladys Roseman "only connect" gladys@lafn.org

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

From: <TBROOKS@cccpp.com>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/5/95 8:47am
Subject: Regulating Television

RECEIVED

OCT 24 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Chong:

I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

Television broadcasters long ago abandoned even the slightest veil of concern for the children of America, and I think this is coming around to haunt us all here in the latter part of the 1990s. No matter what the sociologists cry, the children of America are going to watch TV, a lot. Though the airwaves supposedly belong to the American public (you remember this, don't you?) we, the public have had nothing to do with controlling our airwaves for a long time. If the beast cannot regulate itself, then we must. In this day of less federal government intervention, this is probably a poor political decision for you to make. I hope you can put aside your political affiliations for the defense of our greatest natural resource - the children of America. Thank you.

Sincerely

Ted Brooks 569 Manzanita Ave.
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

tbrooks@cccpp.com

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: Lori Roggman <falori@cc.usu.edu>
To: A7.A7(rchong)
Date: 9/5/95 12:09pm
Subject: children's television

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 24 1995

As a developmental psychologist and advocate for children, I am concerned what is available on television for children. I encourage you to support proposed FCC rules that would require televisions stations to provide a minimal number of hours of educational programs (less than one hour per day). Although this seems to be a very small amount of time per day, it is a step in the right direction toward providing child-appropriate programming that avoids violence, avoids poor social models, and actually provides educational content.

Parents, of course, are ultimately responsible for the selection and duration of televisions programs their children watch, but there are limitations of that responsibility. Parents cannot always be in the room and may never be able to afford televisions that allow electronic control of program choices. Also, the choices are limited by what is available. Some parents get around limited choices by building a video library of educational child-appropriate televisions programs, but not all parents are able to do that.

It is essential that good choices be available for children. Please support the proposed rules.

Sincerely,
Lori A. Roggman, Ph.D.
Department of Family and Human Development
Utah State University
Logan, UT 84322-2905

home address:
846 North 400 East
Logan, UT 84321

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("children@dnai.com")

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____