

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In re Applications of)	MM DOCKET NO.	93-135
)		
THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION)	File No.	BRH-890601VB
)		
For renewal of Station WSWR(FM))		
Shelby, Ohio)		
)		
THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION)	File Nos.	BTCH-921019HX
)	and	BTCH-921019HY
)		
For transfer of control of)		
Station WSWR(FM), Shelby, Ohio)		

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 31 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

TO: The Commission

ERRATUM TO
OPPOSITION OF THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION
TO APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

On October 30, 1995, The Petroleum V. Nasby Corporation filed an Opposition of the Petroleum V. Nasby Corporation to Application for Review which was inadvertently directed to the Honorable Edward J. Luton, Administrative Law Judge. Enclosed for association with that filing is a replacement page which corrects this mistake by properly directing the Opposition to the full Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Ann C. Farhat
Ann C. Farhat

Bechtel & Cole Chartered
1901 L Street, N.W.
Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
202/833-4190

Counsel for The Petroleum
V. Nasby Corporation

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0214

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In re Applications of)	MM DOCKET NO. 93-135
)	
THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION)	File No. BRH-890601VB
)	
For renewal of Station WSWR(FM))	
Shelby, OH)	
)	
THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION)	File No. BTCH-921019HX
)	File No. BTCH-921019HY
For transfer of control of Station)	
WSWR(FM), Shelby, OH)	

TO: The Commission

**OPPOSITION OF THE PETROLEUM V. NASBY CORPORATION
TO APPLICATION FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to §§ 1.4, 1.104 and 1.115 of the Commission's Rules, The Petroleum V. Nasby Corporation (Nasby) through counsel hereby opposes the application for review filed by the Chief, Mass Media Bureau (Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) on July 5, 1995 in the above-referenced proceeding.¹

1. In its Application for Review, the Bureau urges that notwithstanding the decisions of the Honorable Edward Luton, the

¹ Nasby filed a petition for reconsideration of the Board's June 5, 1995 *Decision* (10 FCC Rcd. 6029), with the Review Board on July 5, 1995. Pursuant to § 1.104(c) of the Commission's Rules, action on the Bureau's July 5, 1995 application for review was withheld pending final action by the Review Board on Nasby's petition for reconsideration. On September 13, 1995, the Review Board released its *Memorandum Opinion and Order* (FCC 95R-17), modifying in part its prior *Decision*. Pursuant to § 1.104(d) of the Commission's Rules, Nasby filed its own Application for Review of the decisions of the Board on October 13, 1995. To the undersigned counsel's knowledge, the Bureau did not withdraw or amend its application for review previously filed on July 5, 1995, and therefore the Bureau has not appealed the Review Board's *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, modifying in part its prior *Decision*. An opposition to the Bureau's application for review is due on this date. See §§ 1.4, 1.104 and 1.115(d) of the Commission's Rules.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Kathryn M. Damm, a legal assistant of the firm of Bechtel & Cole Chartered, certifies that on October 31, 1995, she caused copies of the foregoing Erratum to *Opposition of The Petroleum V. Nasby Corporation to Application for Review* to be served by hand (or by U.S. first class mail, postage prepaid) on the following individuals:

John I. Riffer, Esquire (by hand)
Associate General Counsel -
Adjudication
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 610
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Shook, Esquire (by first class mail)
Hearing Branch
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 8210
Washington, D.C. 20554


Kathryn M. Damm