

MM9348

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

MAIL ROOM

10105 Cherryhill Place
Spring Hill, FL 34608
904-688-0549

October 20, 1995

FCC
Office of the Secretary
1919 N St., NW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to your Notice of Proposed Rule Making that would establish regulations for broadcasters that would ensure an adequate supply of children's educational and informational programming:

1. There ARE NOT enough educational programs for children on television;
2. A large portion of programs currently geared for children are too violent or thematically inappropriate;
3. Many programs now broadcast at the weeknight 8 P.M. timeslot should be moved to a later time. The sexual comments and themes are extremely explicit. Example: when "Mad About You" was moved to 8 P.M. (possibly a year or so ago) the very first episode was about the couple trying to have sex amid an incredible amount of interruptions. While I believe parents should be monitoring their childrens' TV viewing habits, this does not always happen and networks should therefore have some responsibility.

Many years ago, it was the norm for the "adult-theme" programs to be aired at 9 P.M. or later. Not only have the networks been broadcasting these shows much earlier in the evening, but now we have these shows in syndication. For example, while I enjoy "Wings" and "Seinfeld" reruns, many of their episodes are too mature to be aired at 7 and 7:30 P.M.

Our children are bombarded from all sides with violence and sexual themes. While parents can prevent them from seeing inappropriate motion picture movies at theaters, it is much harder to isolate them from inappropriate TV shows. A child can see an eyeeful just from channel-flicking.

I hope your new regulations will force broadcasters to see both the harm and the good they can do with their programming.

Sincerely,

Patricia Lonergan

Patricia Lonergan

P.S. Re: Radio Stations - it's frustrating and enraging to be listening to music in the car, with young children present, and hearing "hell" among other references

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE
0

M493-48

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Arthur J. Deikman <deikman@itsa.ucsf.EDU>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 1:53pm
Subject: network TV producing kids programs

Let network TV pay PBS to produce the programs for them. PBS will do a better job and it can use the money to make up for decreased government funding.

Arthur J. Deikman, M.D.
Clinical Professor of Psychiatry
University of California, San Francisco

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Mark Manning <markem@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 12:32pm
Subject: Children's Television Act of 1990

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am writing to say I am in favor of some controls being placed upon what is broadcast for children to see. Such controls to extend to producing plays or short shows based upon books found in the public libraries, exploratory shows which explain how things work, or other works of this same nature.

I am not in favor of more shows which pander to someone with the IQ of an idiot, which demean people of various races or ethnic origin, or which serve up sex as either a demeaning situation or a passion of desires. Nor am I in favor of seeing endless re-runs of "Leave it to Beaver".

I am also in favor of forcing the studios to bring back live entertainment shows - but that is another subject. :-)

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Matt Elvey <matthew.elvey@gs.com>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 12:11pm
Subject: Quality

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I'd like to ask you to advocate high quality TV for children. The big three and others will probably get double their current spectrum for them to use for HDTV. They should be required to use it for that purpose, or shouldn't be granted it at all. If the FCC wants to grant spectrum for data transmission, (which I advocate) then some should be granted for common, on-demand usage, with different bands that cover different ranges. A wireless version of FIDOnet should be encouraged.

The current choices for kids TV are poor, and improvements should be required in exchange for the additional HDTV bandwidth. Some TV shows on each channel should be non-commercial, i.e. it doesn't help sell toys, games, etc.

-- Matthew Elvey New York, NY _____ finger for more goodies/info: elvey@ra.cs.yale.edu
|Goldman |
(212)MAT-5414(H) (212)357-3774(O) (917)506-7190(B) |Sachs |
PARA Development Group: 85 Broad/15. | |
|_____ |

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Mark LeBlanc <mleblanc@wheatonma.edu>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 11:56am
Subject: Kids TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Sir/Madam:

I encourage your review of the "Children's Television Act of 1990".

As a father of four sons, I have resorted to "pulling the plug."
We currently have no TV in our home. Until the content and especially the ad's are cleaned up, we choose not to participate.

Thanks for your work. I personally appreciate it.
Dr. Mark D. LeBlanc
Asst. Professor of Computer Science
Wheaton College
Norton, MA 02766

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE _____

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Gary McGraw <gem@cogsci.indiana.edu>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 11:30am
Subject: Children's TV

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I applaud the recent efforts to force the big three TV networks to offer *real* educational TV for children. The swill that passes for children's programming today is neither creative nor educational. Please pressure the networks to produce better programming and come into line with the *spirit* rather than the *letter* of the current FCC requirements.

Thanks.

Gary McGraw (gem@cogsci.indiana.edu)

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Nikolai Vishnevsky <sako@us.net>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 11:11am
Subject: Children's educational TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

A quick note:

Please add my name to the huge list of concerned citizens and parents that want to improve children's TV programming. This can be achieved by improving children's TV educational value and by increasing the numbers of hours broadcasters are required to air them (at a time the kids will be watching). Please do not give in to the lobbying efforts and the pressure tactics of the commercial TV companies. Three hours a week is a laughably small amount of hours, but if this is the best we can (realistically) achieve, then let it be three hours. Also, tighten those standards, make them real, don't let the broadcasters make a mockery out of them.

Nikolai Vishnevsky
7328 Willow Avenue
Takoma Park, MD 20912

sako@us.net

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM93-98

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: <NANNAN5@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 11:02am
Subject: re:wash. post article

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Geiger;

I read your article "Fed up with all the TV trash?" in the Washington Post, October 22, 1995 at a time when I am so outraged, I could just scream. TV is not alone in this disgraceful exhibition on sex and violence.

I can't believe the gutter talk on radio (i.e. Victoria Jones, Imus and shock jock Howard Stern). I "station surf" to find something decent to listen to as I work through my mornings. Is the use of the word penis, over and over again and its description, peeing and with whom and all the other disgusting dialogue really necessary? It seems that each station tries to outdo the other in gutter talk...all for the sake of the "ratings share" which means, of course money in their pockets.

What has happened to the English language? We have SO distorted the first ammendment of free speech, I think our forefathers are probably turning over in their graves.

All the garbage that is being heard by our impressionable young people is giving them license to converse with the same, expletives that they hear on the radio and tv and accept as normal.

We monitor and restrict TV in our house, but our daily lives and our main society are so polluted with obscenities.

Everywhere one turns, one is confronted with sex, violence, explicit pictures in newspapers, magazines and yes, even childrens books.

There is no way a child or children can be monitered 24 hours a day. Even the schools are no longer havens of decency.

When are we going to get some educational programs for our children to view???

I am, a concerned parent,

Hope Bazaco

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: <strothkin@nynexst.com>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 9:34am
Subject: Children's Television

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

As a future parent, I support requiring more ****TRUE**** children's educational programming on TV, and with better/stricter quality of content guidelines.

Steve Rothkin NYNEX Science and Technology
strothkin@nynexst.com Room T5
voice: (914) 644-5211 400 Westchester Avenue
fax: (914) 644-2153 White Plains, NY 10604

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: T.J. Urbanski <charact@siu.edu>
To: FCCMAIL.SMTP("kidstv@fcc.gov.")
Date: 10/27/95 1:51am
Subject: community requirements

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

To Whom it may concerns:

I recently read about the proposed changes to the Children's Television Act of 1990. I was wondering, what proposed changes to the quantity and quality requirements are under consideration? I support some requirements, but I'm unclear on the FCC's stance. My main concern is the community orientated changes, and the tax benefits to local area business' that support such educational requirements. Any information, or guidance sent will be appreciated.

Thank you,
Thomas J. Urbanski
T.J. Urabanski charact@siu.edu
Freedom of information is an inalienable right?

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: C Andy Hailey <cahailey@nettap.com>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/26/95 11:17pm
Subject: Violent activity on TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

During a family gather, I watched in amazement as two male nephews swung wildly, kicked at, ramed, and otherwise immatated what they saw on one of the afternoon 'kids cartoons'. Later in the day I turned to my 26 year old daughter and asked that she keep any children she would have away from this crap, if she could.

I hope the media can be told what to do and to figure some other way to fatten their profits. Profits are good, but profits gotten the easy way are no good, and taking advantage of children is the easy way.

C. Andy Hailey <http://www.nettap.com/~cahailey/>
"He that would make his own liberty secure must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself." - Thomas Paine

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: <Ellis=Bromberg%TV%WILL@bigbird.will.uiuc.edu>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/26/95 5:47pm
Subject: Chairman Hundt's Address

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I read with interest the remarks Chairman Hundt delivered at the CME's Issues Forum on Children and the Information Superhighway, and thought they were right on the mark---for the most part.

I realize that Mr. Hundt was directing his criticism at the major commercial networks, but I was disappointed that he did not even once refer to other broadcasters who have been diligently trying to ensure "a good day for kids" every day in our communities and our nation through their commitment to quality educational programming: America's public broadcasting stations.

Our station, WILL-TV, licensed to the University of Illinois and serving the rural areas and small cities of central Illinois, allots much of its broadcast time and resources to serving children. And we are not unique in the public broadcasting community.

We already offer the quality children's educational programming before and after school that Mr. Hundt calls for. In fact, we offer nine and a half hours of such programming EVERY DAY. We call it PTV: READY TO LEARN, and all of the programs we offer do have the endorsement of teachers and researchers.

We conduct on-site workshops for teachers and daycare workers so they may learn how to use television intelligently and effectively to teach their youngsters.

In addition, during the day and overnight (for taping purposes), we broadcast a schedule of instructional television programs specifically geared to the elementary and secondary school curricula in 200 public and private schools throughout our region. Teachers from these schools select what programs will be offered, and each series has teacher guides and other ancillary materials.

On the information superhighway, we are working with mathematics teachers on the innovative Mathline project, intended to introduce the new middle school math curriculum across America. Mathline utilizes over-the-air broadcast elements, teleconferences, and computer links to enable master teachers to share their knowledge with other teachers.

Beyond that, with the input of educators, our station has produced award-winning LOCAL children's television and radio programming, "KidVentures" and "Treehouse Radio." Vice President Gore praised the latter in a speech earlier this year.

If Mr. Hundt is unaware of the variety of quality programming and services now being offered by public broadcasters---and threatened by projected federal funding cuts---we (and our national organizations) would be delighted to provide him with more information.

Yes, reform among the large commercial networks is very important, and I commend him for speaking out on the issue. But words of support for those of us with records of good works are helpful, too, and would have been most appropriate in his CME address.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Ellis Bromberg
Station Manager
WILL-TV/Urbana, Illinois

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("kidstv@cme.org")

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

**A Family
Community
Leadership**

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Docket # 93-48
M.M.

RECEIVED
OCT 27 1995
FCC MAIL ROOM

Oct 19, 1995
70 Hayden Rd
Rollis, NH 03049

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioners:

Please pay attention to the need for enforcement of the educational standards. The air waves belong to all of us and for the privilege of using them the stations should at least follow the educational guidelines for children.

Thank-you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan B. Durham
State Representative
Rollis, NH

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

RECEIVED
OCT 27 1995
FCC MAIL ROOM

1036 Mokuahano Street
Honolulu, HI 96825
October 21, 1995

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
1919 M St., NW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Sirs:

Subject: MM Docket 93-48

I am writing to express not only my concerns about the types of material that children are exposed to on TV, but also my disappointment that although this subject has been studied and discussed at the highest levels ad nauseam nothing has yet been done.

Common sense which often seems lacking these days tells us that it is unhealthy for children to watch the sex and violence frequently shown on TV regardless of what any studies may say to the contrary. If this were not true, why do we take our children to museums and zoos and not to the latest sado-masochistic live sex act or perhaps buy them front row seats at a public hanging. If this were not true, why not have a live demonstration of the act of procreation in the classroom when human reproduction is taught in our schools. Lets see, if it were not true, how would the subject of rape with both violence and sex, be covered in school. Ahhh, but there are those who would say that TV is not the same. I agree. It is much worse simply because it invades our homes, the last remaining safe haven for our children, where by a simple push of a button a child, regardless of age or mental competency, often all alone can be exposed even unintentionally to subject matter that he/she is incapable of comprehending and frequently with no parent (assuming they care) there to explain. How could this be healthy? I shudder to think of retarded or mentally disturbed children or young adults watching this on TV and perhaps believing this is normal adult behavior. I have heard of a case where such an individual frequently watches pornographic tapes and has sexually molested others and even wrongfully accused adult supervisors of doing the same to him. Don't we have a social responsibility to protect those incapable of understanding such things? Who knows how deviant behavior is born...is it possible that some of it can be attributed to what is shown on TV to anyone that can press a button? What happens to a young mind in its formative state that is bombarded with scenes of sex and violence? A serial killer once confessed that as a child he was addicted to watching pornographic tapes which he admitted was the beginning of his killing spree of young women. Is there one scholar that can argue that it will have no affect? I doubt it. Is it possible that what our children watch on TV can to some degree be the cause of the rapid increase in violent crime by our


No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

For God's sake and our future please do something to stop this now.

Margaret Wong

Sincerely,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Lucien and Margaret Wong
Parents of three wonderful daughters

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: <makaleho@mailbox.syr.edu>
To: A4.A4(ssegal)
Date: 10/26/95 5:50pm
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

Max Ashley Kalehoff (makaleho@mailbox.syr.edu) writes:

I agree that much of television's spirit is carried out in poor taste. I don't think, however, that we should attach the well-being of America's kids to that debate. The well being of our kids lies in their ability to interpret the images and metaphors that television conveys. Associating children with their supposed need for "descent" programming in order for them to develop more sets fourth less of an emphasis on the role of real, live, active influences, not imaginary metaphors!

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0
Remote host: syru5-098.syr.edu
Remote IP address: 128.230.5.98

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM93-48

From: <DAVE@zylab.mhs.compuserve.com>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 2:50pm
Subject: Children's TV Requirements

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear FCC and Chairman Hundt:

The Constitution ordains that the US Government provide for "the common welfare". I can think of no resource more valuable than the children of America. Yet our broadcasters treat them as European explorers treated the native peoples, as a resource to be subjugated, humiliated, bought and sold to the highest bidder. I have a 13 year old daughter and I am sickened at the amount and the inanity of the commercials she is exposed to while viewing so-called children's programming and encourage her to turn off the television.

The electromagnetic spectrum is a public resource - it belongs to ALL citizens. Air broadcasters should be required to devote some of their profits to ensuring that future generations have the mental skills necessary to have a good job in a global economy and participate in our government. I recently learned that "America's Funniest Home Videos" is classified as an educational program. This is appalling - the only thing to be learned from that show is how to act stupid in front of a national audience. And there is already plenty of that on talk shows. Entertainment is fine, but not under the guise of education.

I understand the NAB and other broadcasters are calling the educational requirements "extortion". To avoid this problem, I suggest they give up their licenses, and let another company run the station. If they would put the money into developing quality educational programs that goes into developing new dramas and sitcoms and lobbying against these rules, they could easily make excellent shows that advertisers are proud to sponsor.

I demand at least one hour a day of educational programming, with the content subject to review by an independent panel composed of educators, psychologists, PARENTS, and industry reps, for fairness. Then we won't have trash like "America's Funniest.." pass for educational material. I would also propose that cartoons based on toys are labeled as commercial programming, the same as an infomercial.

This is MY spectrum too, and I want something done!

Sincerely,

David A Chwalisz

644 W South St

Woodstock, IL 60098

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM93-48
RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: Unprivileged user <nobody@wwa.com>
To: A16.A16(KIDSTV)
Date: 10/27/95 3:17pm
Subject: FCC Braodcasters giveaway

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I am deeply concerned about the FCC deal with private broadcasters to double their airwaves FOR FREE. In this time of economic insecurity coupled with a huge deficit, it does not make economic sense to give one of the most profitable industries a "free lunch." This occurrence is especially disturbing because at the same time we are seeing Congress cut social programs for people in need, rationalizing these measures by citing the large U.S. deficit and the need to reduce spending. One alternative to these damaging cuts would be to raise more revenue by charging the broadcasters for their use of the airwaves. Certainly if the U.S. Congress ended this costly subsidy to an already wealthy sector, our country's social welfare would benefit. Thank you very much. Sincerely,

Judy Marblestone
5523 Trent St.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

From: <SY_MCR@mavca.ohio.gov>
To: A16.A16(kidstv)
Date: 10/27/95 4:28pm
Subject: kids daytime TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Secretary:

In response to Keith Geiger's very thoughtful article in the October 25 Education News.

Here is what we have done to our children in the U.S.

1. We have made them unhealthy; instead of playing, running outside, riding a bike, catching a ball or any of the things children used to do, they now sit passively. For hours. 2. We have presented the picture of the adult world as that of sick, abnormal people talking about subjects that used to be taboo in polite society. Children now think that adults are cross dressers or foul mouthed rappers or whatever else is presented hours on end on the "talk" shows. 3. We have made children think that most adults think about sex 24 hours a day; we don't talk about careers or history or beautiful places in our country. Yet

TV broadcasters fail to see that presenting sex as a favorite indoor sport has any relationship to our burgeoning illegitimate population. Kids are never shown the results of all this activity. 4. We have created a practically illiterate society. Kids used to read. They used to read classics - good literature. They don't now. 5. And they spend little time on homework - little time on brain activities at all. I agree with Geiger - enough. These kids are my future, too. Peggy Rabideau, sy_mcr@mavca.ohio.gov

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM93-48

From: FCCMAIL.SMTP."nobody@info.fcc.gov"
To: A4.A4(ssegal)
Date: 10/27/95 4:16pm
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Tim Rongstad () writes:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Chairperson:

I'm sorry I don't have an Email address as of yet.

I am an educator and parent of a first grader and kindergartener. I just want you to know how my wife and I feel about network T.V. We don't let our children watch it because of the tasteless junk that is a part of much of the programming. We do, however, allow them to watch channel two when we know what is on.

As a teacher, I have seen children change in the last few years. They are becoming more violent in their problem solving. It is no wonder when they are exposed to so much violence on the T.V. More than 90 percent of programs during children's prime viewing hours are violent. Yes, the parents should be responsible in monitoring the T.V., but some just can't. Parents have to work. Kids have to have a place to go. Hopefully that place is their own home or a qualified daycare. If they are old enough to be left alone for periods of time they may not act as responsibly as we would want them to act. Many times that may mean turning on the T.V. to some rotten programming. Every year, the average child in the U.S. watches more than 1,000 rapes, murders, armed robberies, and assaults, and the average U.S. teenager views 14,000 T.V. references to sex.

I do understand that broken families and communities play a part in the lives of all children. We feel the FCC could be doing more to help the communities in our country. Wouldn't it be nice to have all T.V. stations required to air at least an hour or hour and a half of educational broadcasting per day? By educational, we mean standards that don't allow Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles, or the Power Rangers, or Americas Funniest Home Videos to be considered "educational." Get some qualified educators to help out with those qualifications. Get some community leaders who are also parents to help out defining some of those qualifications.

Much of the stuff that is on the network and cable T.V. stations now is clearly inappropriate for children, or anyone for that matter, to be watching.

Sincerely,
Tim Rongstad _____
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0
Remote host: Remote IP address: 199.199.157.51

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM 93-48

MM

October 11, 1995

RECEIVED

FCC
Chairman Reed Hundt
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 27 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20540

Dear Commissioner Hundt:

**Strengthening the Children's Television Act is increasingly important--
TELEVISION HAS AN INFLUENTIAL EFFECT ON THE LIVES OF
THIS NATION'S CHILDREN.**

Children often must rely on television to be teachers and baby-sitters, especially in this day of *latch-key* children. Children must be given alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programming that currently saturates the airways.

Commissioner Hundt, please give our nation's children an opportunity for better intellectual growth by acting on the following:

- **Set higher standards** for specifically designed educational and informational programming on all TV stations. Educational and informational programming can provide children an important opportunity for learning.
- **Refine the definition of *Educational and Informational Programming*.**
- **Exclude programs aired before 7 AM or 10 PM** from counting toward the *Educational and Informational Programming* requirement.
- **Keep sexually explicit and violent shows off the airways** during prime-children viewing times (after school through 9:00 PM, weekends). Children need alternatives to the violent cartoons and sexually explicit talk shows (Jenny Jones, Rikki Lake, Jerry Springer, etc.) that are currently being broadcast.

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is in the best interest of our children's health and welfare. The act could expand children's opportunities to learn and would provide alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programs currently on the airways. Thank you for your actions on this important matter.

Sincerely,

Doroathy L. Van Oort

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

MM93-48

October 11, 1995

RECEIVED

FCC
Chairman Reed Hundt
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT 27 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

Dear Commissioner Hundt:

**Strengthening the Children's Television Act is increasingly important--
TELEVISION HAS AN INFLUENTIAL EFFECT ON THE LIVES OF
THIS NATION'S CHILDREN.**

Children often must rely on television to be teachers and baby-sitters, especially in this day of *latch-key* children. Children must be given alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programming that currently saturates the airways.

Commissioner Hundt, please give our nation's children an opportunity for better intellectual growth by acting on the following:

- **Set higher standards** for specifically designed educational and informational programming on all TV stations. Educational and informational programming can provide children an important opportunity for learning.
- **Refine the definition of *Educational and Informational Programming*.**
- **Exclude programs aired before 7 AM or 10 PM** from counting toward the *Educational and Informational Programming* requirement.
- **Keep sexually explicit and violent shows off the airways** during prime-children viewing times (after school through 9:00 PM, weekends). Children need alternatives to the violent cartoons and sexually explicit talk shows (Jenny Jones, Rikki Lake, Jerry Springer, etc.) that are currently being broadcast.

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is in the best interest of our children's health and welfare. The act could expand children's opportunities to learn and would provide alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programs currently on the airways. Thank you for your actions on this important matter.

Sincerely,

Karla Fuzpan

*6301 Barry Avenue
Anchorage, AK 99516*

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

MM 9348

October 11, 1995

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

FCC
Chairman Reed Hundt
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Hundt:

**Strengthening the Children's Television Act is increasingly important--
TELEVISION HAS AN INFLUENTIAL EFFECT ON THE LIVES OF
THIS NATION'S CHILDREN.**

Children often must rely on television to be teachers and baby-sitters, especially in this day of *latch-key* children. Children must be given alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programming that currently saturates the airways.

Commissioner Hundt, please give our nation's children an opportunity for better intellectual growth by acting on the following:

- **Set higher standards** for specifically designed educational and informational programming on all TV stations. Educational and informational programming can provide children an important opportunity for learning.
- **Refine the definition of *Educational and Informational Programming*.**
- **Exclude programs aired before 7 AM or 10 PM** from counting toward the *Educational and Informational Programming* requirement.
- **Keep sexually explicit and violent shows off the airways** during prime-children viewing times (after school through 9:00 PM, weekends). Children need alternatives to the violent cartoons and sexually explicit talk shows (Jenny Jones, Rikki Lake, Jerry Springer, etc.) that are currently being broadcast.

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is in the best interest of our children's health and welfare. The act could expand children's opportunities to learn and would provide alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programs currently on the airways. Thank you for your actions on this important matter.

Sincerely,

E. G. Hamm

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE 1

MM 93-48

October 11, 1995

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

FCC
Chairman Reed Hundt
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Commissioner Hundt:

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is increasingly important--
TELEVISION HAS AN INFLUENTIAL EFFECT ON THE LIVES OF THIS NATION'S CHILDREN.


Children often must rely on television to be teachers and baby-sitters, especially in this day of *latch-key* children. Children must be given alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programming that currently saturates the airways.

Commissioner Hundt, please give our nation's children an opportunity for better intellectual growth by acting on the following:

- **Set higher standards** for specifically designed educational and informational programming on all TV stations. Educational and informational programming can provide children an important opportunity for learning.
- **Refine the definition** of *Educational and Informational Programming*.
- **Exclude programs aired before 7 AM or 10 PM** from counting toward the *Educational and Informational Programming* requirement.
- **Keep sexually explicit and violent shows off the airways** during prime-children viewing times (after school through 9:00 PM, weekends). Children need alternatives to the violent cartoons and sexually explicit talk shows (Jenny Jones, Rikki Lake, Jerry Springer, etc.) that are currently being broadcast.

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is in the best interest of our children's health and welfare. The act could expand children's opportunities to learn and would provide alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programs currently on the airways. Thank you for your actions on this important matter.

Sincerely,



No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

MM 93-48

October 11, 1995

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1995

FCC
Chairman Reed Hundt
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

Dear Commissioner Hundt:

**Strengthening the Children's Television Act is increasingly important--
TELEVISION HAS AN INFLUENTIAL EFFECT ON THE LIVES OF
THIS NATION'S CHILDREN.**

Children often must rely on television to be teachers and baby-sitters, especially in this day of *latch-key* children. Children must be given alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programming that currently saturates the airways.

Commissioner Hundt, please give our nation's children an opportunity for better intellectual growth by acting on the following:

- **Set higher standards** for specifically designed educational and informational programming on all TV stations. Educational and informational programming can provide children an important opportunity for learning.
- **Refine the definition of *Educational and Informational Programming*.**
- **Exclude programs aired before 7 AM or 10 PM** from counting toward the *Educational and Informational Programming* requirement.
- **Keep sexually explicit and violent shows off the airways** during prime-children viewing times (after school through 9:00 PM, weekends). Children need alternatives to the violent cartoons and sexually explicit talk shows (Jenny Jones, Rikki Lake, Jerry Springer, etc.) that are currently being broadcast.

Strengthening the Children's Television Act is in the best interest of our children's health and welfare. The act could expand children's opportunities to learn and would provide alternatives to the sexually explicit and violent programs currently on the airways. Thank you for your actions on this important matter.

Sincerely,

John Kolbeck

2003 248th

enclosure, dk. 99517

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1