

MM93-48

From: ASINHA.US.ORACLE.COM <ASINHA@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 11:21am

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I urge Congress to consider adding legislation to make it mandatory for broadcasters to show educational TV programming. My definition of educational programming does not include cartoons, etc. Sesame Street is an example of an educational program. I think the next generation of this country would be aided enormously if they watched more educational tv programming.

----- Amitabh B. Sinha Decision Support Systems, Server  
Technologies Division, Oracle Corporation 500 Oracle Parkway, Box 659413, Redwood Shores, CA 94065 (415)  
506-4513 -----

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Cindy Dahm <CDAH@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 12:08pm  
Subject: Responsibility for Future

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am in favor of more stringent requirements for broadcasting, especially during hours that kids watch. Why waste that precious time on idiotic shows like beethoven, Aladdin, Garfield, etc, when they could be learning/enjoying Mr. Rogers and other shows. I for one make a rule that we do not buy ANY toys or food advertised on TV, and I enforce it. There is no money there for the advertisers from me.

For the networks interested only in short term profits:

You will suffer in the long run; the children you are influencing will be supported by your tax dollars in prison.

Cindy Nelson Dahm 415-506-3814 fax 415-610-1631 Oracle Worldwide Alliances Named Account Manager San Francisco Bay Area

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

**From:** David McNickle <dmcnic@netcom.com>  
**To:** A16.A16(kidstv)  
**Date:** 10/30/95 12:18pm  
**Subject:** CTA of 1990

**RECEIVED**

**OCT 30 1995**

3443 Mahanna St., Apt. 4105  
Dallas, TX 75209  
October 30, 1995

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY**

Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M. St., NW  
Washington, D.C.

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

Dear Chairman,

I understand the Federal Communications Commission is reviewing the Childrens Television Act of 1990. I am writing to let you know I support strengthening the act for a narrower definition of educational content and to increase the amount of programming each station must carry. I was surprised to read about the latitude broadcast stations have in determining what is considered "educational." I find it hard to believe that "America's Funniest Home Videos" and "Biker Mice from Mars" can be counted as educational programming. I would like to see more programming directed to help children in school rather than defeat aliens from outer space.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

David McNickle dmcnic@netcom.com

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

MM 93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

From: Julie Butterfield <JBUTTERF@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 12:24pm  
Subject: children's programming

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I am so disgusted with the state of childrens programming on the commercial networks that I have 'unplugged' the television altogether. I simply called the cable company and unsubscribed. I don't have an antenna on the roof, so if we turn on the TV, all we see is fuzz (which probably has a higher educational content than any of the children's programs offered by NBC, ABC, or CBS). The only thing my kids watch are videos that we select together.

I intend to keep it this way until the networks have made a responsible effort to clean up their garbage and offer programs similar to those offered by the public television stations.

A by-product of my decision is that NO ONE in my house watches a single television commercial -- and won't until TV gets cleaned up!!

Please support the effort to regulate children's television. This should include a quality check to ensure that so-called 'educational' programming really has some value. The prime-times are morning (6:30-8:30), weekend mornings, and after school.

Julie Butterfield Parent, Belmont, California

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

From: Steve Gowin <SGOWIN@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 1:23pm  
Subject: Kids TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Kids TV generally sucks. As the parent of a two-year old and a five-year old, I'm worried about what is available for them. I just read some email that indicates that some of the networks consider "America's Funniest Home Videos" and "Biker Mice from Mars" educational. What rubbish. I'm not so worried about my own children seeing lots of violence and stupidity (we monitor the kids TV and provide them with plenty of reasonable and truly educational video tape). I am worried about families of fewer means whose kids watch commercial tv or nothing. We, none of us, need more violence, stupidity, and commercialism. Please work to keep the networks honest.

=====  
Steven Gowin  
Box 659107 Workgroup Solutions  
Redwood Shores, CA sgowin@us.oracle.com  
=====

Oracle Corporation Senior Technical Writer  
500 Oracle Pkwy 415 506 5132  
94065  
=====

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

From: NWILBUR.US.ORACLE.COM <NWILBUR@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 1:53pm  
Subject: Review of the Children's Television Act

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

I'd like to add my concern as a parent and as a taxpayer. Freedom is not just about free speech, as dictated by the networks and advertisers. Freedom is about taking responsibility for our next generation, giving them the opportunities they deserve. Opportunities to have equal access to quality information, and to learn, not be "stifled" by dreck on TV. I support quality children's television in every way I can, but I can't do it alone... I need the help of the authorities who were put there to safeguard our children's future.

Points of interest to me: 1) That Congress to adopt the "Snowe-Rockefeller" language in the Senate telecommunications bill. 2) Encouraging the work of the NTIA in supporting local initiatives for adopting technology. 3) The review of the Children's Television Act of 1990 by the FCC.

I'd specifically like to speak in favor of quality and quantity requirements.

Thank you for your help!

Regards, Nikki

\*\*\*\*\* Nikki Wilbur Waters

(415) 506-6587 phone Business Development/Marketing Manager (415) 506-1346 fax OCC  
Financial Services nwilbur.us.oracle.com Oracle Credit Corporation

Of course, I don't speak for Oracle.

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE



MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Michael Orta <71124.547@compuserve.com>  
To: Secretary <kidstv@fcc.gov>  
Date: 10/28/95 10:03pm  
Subject: A Plea

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

If you have read pleas for cleaner, more decent, more wholesome TV programming before you may be getting bored. I am a 24 year old junior high school teacher working in Japan now. You must receive numerous letters daily, but I hope you don't disregard the content and detail of what I am about to say. I am writing because I want you to hear my plea. So please read on. Have patience and compassion. Understand that it doesn't take a degree in psychology to see the direct link between things that enter a person (through the eyes, ears, heart) and the behavior that a person manifests. I want American TV to improve, to clean up its act, and to stop feeding worthless, caustic images and messages to viewers.

I have been more and more disgusted and offended by the smut that passes as "entertainment" on American TV. I desperately yearn for TV programming that properly socializes our youth, educates people, and can do so with decency.

Americans (especially children) have become more violent, more profane, less patient, and more sexually precocious--and that's just in the past 20 years of my life! So much TV programming simply insults the intelligence of average citizens. It's thoughtless, amoral, brain-draining junk.

I've read that the average American child (this is a child!!) watches more than 1000 rapes, murders, armed robberies, and assaults, along with 14,000

TV references to sex every year. That's not the kind of "input" human beings need to coexist peacefully. Kids shouldn't come home from school to watch talk shows with titles like "Gambling Spouses" or "Dad by Day, Cross-Dresser by

Night" or "White Supremacy." It may be feeding the wallets of TV station executives, but it's feeding veritable poison to young minds as well. (I hope you're still reading this. This plea should not fall on deaf ears.) This is trash that we feed our children. I hope my little cousin can watch the TV alone and NOT have to see Gansta rappers sing about abusing women, killing police officers and protecting territory. I hope my little cousin can escape the materialistic and sexist messages that flow so freely from the "idiot box" (my grandfather doesn't call the TV an "idiot box" for nothing!).

I believe television programming should be more wholesome, more educational, more interesting. Recently there has been unrest among Internet users because it seems that even young children can access pornography and violence through their computers. But really, who needs a computer to do that?

Just turn on the TV at any given time of the day and you're bound to find women selling their bodies and dignity for profit on TV. Even Saturday morning is full of Super Samurai, Ninja, Power Morphin violence. You know the story.

So, what I'm really saying here is "Enough!" Please, enough already!

Let's use the TV to nourish society, not fill it with images of cheap flesh, pain, guns, and amorality. Come on!

It has been suggested that the Children's Television Act of 1990 be fitted with teeth, requiring all television stations to air at least one hour of educational programming for children every day between 7am and 10pm. I think that's a great idea. I also hope that when I return to the United States from Japan (next July) my TV will have a V-chip in it so that I can block out violent shows without having to see the violence first. I don't even have a child, and I still want a V-chip!

So how 'bout it. Can you do something? Anything? I hope so. I sincerely do. I am fed up with the trash on TV. TV's influence on society is too powerful to dismiss. Please don't let the profit-focussed TV marketplace blind you to the filth that pervades our televisions. Take some action for the betterment of (not your wallet)...our children and our society.

Sincerely

Michael Orta

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

From: MR PHILLIP R HEIL <MKPF19A@prodigy.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/29/95 1:38am  
Subject: Kid's TV Act of '90

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

-- [ From: Phil Heil \* EMC.Ver #2.5.03 ] --

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Chairman Hundt,

I truly believe that children's TV is a dreadful waste of time and does absolutely no justice to children and only serves the advertisers.

"Get them hooked on our products and we'll have customers for life." No thank you!!! The content of the majority of programs, with few exceptions, "Beakman's World" for example, is totally without any "socially redeeming value." Sound familiar? Obscenity is where you find it. If the violence and stereotypes that are shoveled in the direction of children on Saturday mornings is not obscene I would really like to know what really fits the definition.

I've suffered through a few Saturday mornings with my 6 year old doing research on the subject and was really disturbed. Most of the shows show no imagination in the development of the story, have really no message, and don't teach the kids a damn thing plus the animation is really bad. Like a comic book with a little added motion.

I'm a computer salesman. My present employer has certified me to sell only computers and related equipment. I'm perfectly happy with that because there is whole lot of interactivity with a computer and you can go where you want to go. Not just sit there and mindlessly watch whatever is presented. You ARE in control, not some faceless corporation that really "knows what you want."

My previous employer allowed me the run of the store. The one area that I didn't frequent was the TV department unless somebody specifically asked for help there. I sometimes felt like a drug dealer when I sold a TV! "Here kid, this one's free, just watch this for a while, you'll really like it." I had one customer that wanted me to recommend a TV he could purchase for his daughter. I asked him how old she was, thinking it was for a college dorm room or some such, he told me she was 7!! I did my job and sold him a 13" TV. But in the back of my mind I felt like telling him to spend the money on books! If nothing else the child would develop an imagination instead of passively accepting whatever was dumped on her from the tube.

Since TV is a fact of life and it won't go away the next best thing is to insure that some of the programming will stimulate and educate children. The Republicans are so hell bent on looking so good for the next election by "balancing the budget" and keeping their advertised word about passing all the articles they proposed in "The Contract on America" they seem to have forgotten about investing in the future (education, health care, the environment, and scientific research).

I guess their idea of long range planning is 6 years at the most, or however long their elected term happens to be. They seem to have forgotten that the average life span these days is about 70 years or so.

But what do they care if the people don't have the tools they need to live a good life. "Hey, I've been re-elected for 5 terms."

And I'll bet that most of the election exposure they got was on TV. Strange thing about that, isn't it?

Don't let the barbarians screw up one of our most precious resources, our children!

Sincerely yours,

Phil Heil mkpf19a@prodigy.com

491 Bethlehem Pike D-1  
Ft. Washington, Pa. 19034

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: <RobertC908@aol.com>  
To: A4.A4(ssegal)  
Date: 10/29/95 3:08pm  
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Robert L. Cole (RobertC908@AOL.COM) writes:

I do not believe the show mentioned above are anything close to educational. I have seen many thing on Americas Funniest Video that I would consider very dangerous and frankly stupid stunts just to get on the show. I do not believe we should be teaching our childern thing like this.

There are many programs on TV that are just plan trash. The vast majority of talk shows that are currently on teach childern (and most adults) that this type of behavior is commonplace and should be accepted because of its wide spread practice. I also do not believe that we should be using this media to teach childern that homosexuality is a blessed event by GOD. I think it is ok to say some people have this perversion, but not to teach childern that if you want this is also an approved life style.

There is just to much SEX on TV. It has now splashed over into shows that are supposed to be family oriented. I think this is wronge, it teaches childern that sex is everything and your hormones count more that anything else. This is a wrong statement to send.

Thanks for your time.#form

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: screamer.gnn.com  
Remote IP address: 204.148.102.57

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE

MM93-98

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: <CarlynM52@aol.com>  
To: A4.A4(ssega)  
Date: 10/29/95 8:07pm  
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Carolyn Miranda (CarlynM52@AOL.COM) writes:

I agree that we should have more controls on what is provided to our children through the air waves. The newtorks should be more responsible towards America's youth. Keep up ypur good work.

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: Cust16.Max7.Los-Angeles.CA.MS.UU.NET  
Remote IP address: 153.36.59.16

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Ian Flanigan <flan@cs.wustl.edu>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 12:16am  
Subject: Children's Television

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

As someone who was brought up on the PBS of the 1970s and 80s, I'd just like to add my voice to those who think that there is a need for \*quality\* children's television in the 90s.

When I was growing up, my mother restricted my and my sister's viewing of television to programs that she considered both worth while \*and\* appropriate. Most of these were PBS programs, though she would allow some network programming like "The Muppets" and other shows geared towards children. She would not allow us to watch programs with needless violence or whose purpose seemed solely to sell a product. These days, I think she would be hard pressed to find suitable television for children on the networks.

The current batch of cartoons, to me, seem to be of poor quality and of little to no educational value. Some try to have a moral at the end, but they just fall flat and are hence quite useless. If a show is to have a "moral" or teach "morality" it should have that theme running throughout the show, not just tagged onto the end of an otherwise battle-filled program.

Entertainment shows like "Funniest Home Videos" which I understand is being counted as "educational" is actually far from it. It's just entertainment--there is no long-term value. A show should \*only\* be counted as education if it really is.

Now there \*are\* shows like "Beakman's World" which are a little bit educational and entertaining at the same time. However, for me, these shows never seem to explain enough--it's just some sound-bite explanation. Also, the shows rarely have a common thread which would greatly improve upon the impact of whatever was being taught.

All that said, I would also like to say that I am very much \*against\* censorship. I think that parents should be able to exercise enough control over their kids to prevent them from watching shows they don't approve of. At the same time I think parents should be presented with decent shows that they \*can\* allow their kids to watch.

I hope that in the future there will be a wide variety of truly \*educational\* programming both on public and commercial television.

Sincerely,

Ian Flanigan

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

MM93-48

From: <bisseks@churchill.srvusd.k12.ca.us>  
To: A4.A4(ssegal)  
Date: 10/30/95 1:57am  
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Barry Isseks (bisseks@churchill.srvusd.k12.ca.us) writes:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Bravo Mr. Chairman,

Your comments are a light in the long dark tunnel of FCC failures to effect change in childrens TV programming.

As a science teacher in grades 3-6 and District technology specialist I see first hand the impact that TV programming has wrought upon our elementary students.

Our children are a big target market, and without the controls so necessary to insure intellectually stimulating and curiosity building programming, the networks have wantonly planted and continue to plant seeds of violence, greed and mindless mis-information in the brains of our next generation. In any other country, this kind of act would be considered high treason. Shall we hang them for taking advantage of a ripe marketplace? Not a bad idea in this case.

But the blame unfortunately is at your feet Mr. Chairman. Since you're in the hot seat now, you need to get the new rule making done, and quickly please...

THERE IS NO TIME LEFT. Our children don't read. Our children cannot communicate and they cannot process information without spoon feeding. I blame TV Mr.Chairman, and the F.C.C. for letting it happen. If violent programming was banned until after 10PM and parents could control all viewing by children, we might have some chance of turning the corner on the horrible situation we find ourselves in.

As a parent as well as teacher, I cannot make my two boys watch the Discover channel or The Learning channel, So I have elected to have no TV. They hate me, and I would too if I were them. But for now it's the only solution that works.

God speed your ability to get new rulemaking through in this area. We are all looking forward to it.

Sincerely,

Barry Isseks

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: Remote IP address: 205.230.32.162

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

From: Simpson, Anthony <asimpson@panagora.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 7:26am

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Thanks for your wonderful piece in the newspaper calling for more responsibility/accountability on the part of TV program providers.

We have two children (8 and 3) and we have had the TV put away in a closet for nearly 2 years. The impact of even a modest exposure to TV programming was very visible and negative in terms of our children's behaviour patterns. After a couple of hours of TV, they would become moody, emotionally volatile, and difficult to reach. (And this is in England, where there's nothing like the range of offerings one finds in the US.)

Best regards,

Anthony Simpson

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("djsimp@sfsu.edu","khoward@navis.com"...

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

1

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Matt Mayerson <MMAYERSO@us.oracle.com>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/30/95 10:07am  
Subject: quality kids TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

please note my support for stricter regulations and stronger programs ensuring that Children's TV is educational and non-commercial. PBS programming should be the norm for the TV available to children - not the exception.

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

MM93-48  
RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

From: Mark W. Anderson <mwanders@siu.edu>  
To: A16.A16(kidstv)  
Date: 10/27/95 6:24pm  
Subject: kids TV

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I am engaged to be married and plan to have children in the next decade. I understand that Chairman Hundt recently gave a speech (Oct. 18) where he dreamed of a day where there would be quality children's programming available from morning to evening. This is a great goal for the FCC to undertake over the coming years.

It is my belief that children would benefit most by having this programming originate in many diverse places; however, given the current lack of programming, a relationship like the one posed by PBS recently would be better than nothing. I am speaking of the idea that stations could pay PBS affiliates to develop children's programming rather than broadcast it themselves.

I know that the FCC cannot regulate content of "children's" programs, but I believe that it can better define what is meant to be included. We have come to the point where a show like "Married...With Children" can claim to be a children's show just because the word "children" is in the title. I would not be surprised if CBS tries to claim that David Letterman is a kid's show because he used a kid to report on the World Series. The only way to combat this type of slacking by the networks is to define the concept clearly as educational childrens' programming with certain criteria. Heck, form a committee and allow the networks to have a minority role in coming up with the definition. But have a clearly defined standard that can be recognized by all.

Thanks for your time,

Mark W. Anderson  
<mwanders@siu.edu>

Graduate student, Telecommunications  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, IL 62901-1437  
(618/549-8446)

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

MM93-48

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: <johnleif@gate.net>  
To: A4.A4(ssegal)  
Date: 10/28/95 8:08am  
Subject: Chairman's Column Comments

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

John Leifhelm (johnleif@gate.net) writes:

FCC: do whatever your agency can legally do to prevent the "corporatization" of our (dwindling) choices. Cable companies charge families to receive childrens programming complete with "teaching" commercials. Spend some time watching: males/violence/agressive; females/homely/passive; and few shown with both sexes interacting.

Sure, can't tell them what/how to sell. But what does the "world" look like to the impressionable "passive" viewer? We will never win the Krap on TV battle. We have to give our kids options to TV! (Not to mention a stroll in the park!)

As the fiber-optic/DSS/cable corporations gobble up all the distribution and programming options....how can we "choose". It's quite likely that this medium, the "pre-set" internet (we need a better label, like NetSpace), can be a medium where "choice" is possible. Notice too that the "changeover" to digital/ISDN is currently offered to consumers at outrageous prices by the phone corps: a system that WE have paid for! ISDN NetSpace is one proactive option to "childrens programming." And please, please, DO NOT shove down our throats chips/modules attached to our data steams to "protect the kids or 'watch us'." This will kill the medium.

NO MACHINE, CORPORATION, OR GOVERNMENT PROGRAM WILL REPLACE A PARENT.

Much more to say on this, but thanks for your time, anytime.

J:))

John Leifhelm, father of Jean, age 8.

johnleif@gate.net

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: mlbf12-21.gate.net  
Remote IP address: 199.227.6.149

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

**WABC-TV**  
**The Delta's**  
**Super Power**

**MM DCKET**  
P. O. Box 1242  
2001 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Phone: (202) 254-4000  
Fax: (202) 254-4000

**EAST DELTA OFFICE**  
P. O. Box 720  
2001 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Phone: (202) 453-4001  
Fax: (202) 254-0421

**IN-CRIPTE WATS LINE: 202-254-0000**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Monday, October 23, 1995

Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M St., NW  
Washington, DC 20554

RE: MM DCKET NO. 93-48

RECEIVED  
OCT 30 1995  
FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Please allow me to express my concern regarding the imposition of numerical quotas.

Our industry is already showing great strides in increasing the number of programs directed to children. We are constantly on the alert to find new ways to integrate better choices for children in our schedule. We also do not see a need for redefining the current definition of "educational and informational children's programming." The present definition is clear.

I believe a thorough examination of the facts will show that broadcasters are very concerned about the welfare of children, that the number of educational and informational programs directed to them are increasing dramatically without the benefit of quotas, and that the quality of these programs is better than ever.

I strongly urge you, therefore, to forego passage of MM Docket No. 93-48, and allow broadcasters to show their willingness to program to children in a meaningful way expressed in existing Children's Television Act.

Respectfully,

John E. ...  
General Manager

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

0

**From:** <maconcou@ext.missouri.edu>  
**To:** A7.A7(RCHONG)  
**Date:** 10/25/95 1:57pm  
**Subject:** CHILDRENS TELEVISION

**RECEIVED**

**OCT 30 1995**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY**

Hello:

I would like to say that I support the "quantitative guideline" to enforce the Children's Television Act. There is too much violence on tv for children and also too much emphasis on sex. Please vote to enforce the Children's Television Act. Thank you - Jeannie Miller, Macon County Extension Center

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

**From:** <WWW@hopf.dnai.com>  
**To:** A7.A7(rchong)  
**Date:** 10/25/95 4:06pm  
**Subject:** Web Form Input

**RECEIVED**

**OCT 30 1995**

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY**

mailto:is\_rchong@fcc.gov  
Message is Dear Commissioner Chong:

As a parent of an eight-year-old daughter and eleven-year-old son, I am writing to ask you to please vote for new rules to require television stations to provide a specific amount of educational programming for children each week.

Our children are too important a resource to our country to turn them solely into an audience to deliver to marketers. We need broadcasters to provide a minimum amount of developmentally appropriate programming so that parents can help their children learn to understand media. In particular, I believe this is important for the children who may not have parents who can be with them during TV viewing time, and children whose families cannot afford cable television. Quality children's programs can help in creating connected human beings and involved citizens.

It took parents groups over twenty years to pass the Children's Television Act, yet now, without these "quantitative" guidelines, we continue to be frustrated by the slow pace of compliance by broadcasters.

Broadcasters have at their disposal an invaluable resource for children. Yet the record shows that without stricter regulation, this positive potential for kids will remain unfulfilled. With your vote for substantive and meaningful implementation of the CTA, all our kids will benefit.

Sincerely,  
Robert Karrow, 1036 Arrowhead Dr., Oxford, OH 45056

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

**From:** <huntere@ext.missouri.edu>  
**To:** A7.A7(Rchong)  
**Date:** 10/25/95 1:52pm  
**Subject:** Children's Television

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Ms. Chong:

I am writing in support of a proposal that would require commercial television to provide 3-5 hours per week of educational programming or at least suitable programming for children. I realize "suitable" is a value laden term but any programming that deletes violence and adult content would be better than what we have on during primetime in the evenings when children are viewing between 6:00-8:00 p.m. I took an evening not long ago and watched a series of sit coms on network television from 7:00-9:00 p.m. Almost every joke or line had sexual overtones. What an obsession we have in our country. And how inappropriate for our young children because they are not able to interpret this material accurately and receive messages that may be inappropriate. Thank goodness for PBS and Nickelodeon or there would not be anything for children to watch.

Thank you for your consideration in encouraging commercial television to evaluate the quality of programming for children.

Sincerely,

Jane Hunter  
Human Development Specialist

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_