RECEIVED
NOV 6 1995

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers	)	CC Docket No. 94-1
	)	
Treatment of Operator Services Under Price Cap Regulation	)	CC Docket No. 93-124
	)	
Revisions to Price Cap Rules for AT&T	)	CC Docket No. 93-197

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OF AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS COMMITTEE AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OF THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

The United States Telephone Association (USTA) hereby files in opposition to the Motion for Extension of Time filed by the Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee (Ad Hoc),[1] and files its own motion for an extension of time in the above-referenced dockets.[2] USTA is the primary trade association of the Local Exchange Carrier (LEC) industry, with over 1100 members. USTA urges the Commission to deny Ad Hoc's request to separate the comment filings in the Fourth Further, notice from those in the Pricing Flexibility Notice. However, because of the large number of issues raised in these

[1]Motion for Extension of Time of the Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee, October 31, 1995 (Ad Hoc Motion).

[2]LEC Price Cap Performance Review, Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 94-1, FCC 95-406, (released September 27, 1995) (Fourth Further Notice); LEC Price Cap Performance Review, Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 94-1, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket 93-197, FCC 95-363 (released September 20, 1995) (Second Further Notice).

extremely important dockets, USTA respectfully requests a brief three-week extension of the comment deadline for both dockets.

I. THE AD HOC MOTION SHOULD BE DENIED.

The premise underlying Ad Hoc's motion is unsound, and grant of its request would effectively preclude the Commission's consideration of interrelated issues raised in the Fourth Further Notice and the Second Further Notice. Ad Hoc's motion is premised on its belief that the X-factor in the price cap plan should be raised and this proceeding completed as soon as possible. However, they contend that the issues regarding pricing flexibility, on the other hand, should be postponed. Ad Hoc is incorrect to suggest that the issues raised in the Second Further Notice, such as the definition of appropriate markets and measures for assessing the competitiveness of market do not have immediate relevance. Ad Hoc Petition at 2. It is imperative that the pricing flexibility issues be resolved now to assure that the explosion of telecommunications competition is based on sound economics.

Additionally, these issues have immediate relevance to the issues being considered in the Fourth Further Notice. For example, as the price cap formula is intended to approximate the incentives faced by LECs in a competitive market, it is certainly relevant to assess when and under what standards LECs are already operating in a competitive market. Ad Hoc's request would separate the comment filings in the Fourth Further Notice from those responding to the Second Further Notice by three months. This would create

administrative complications for the commission, and preclude effective consideration of the interrelated issues raised in these two dockets by both the commission and interested parties. Accordingly, the Ad Hoc request should be denied.

II. THE COMMISSION SHOULD GRANT A BRIEF EXTENSION IN BOTH DOCKETS.

In addition, Ad Hoc's motion for a 90-day extension is inappropriate and excessive. The issues addressed in the Second Further Notice have been on the public record and ripe for Commission action since 1993, when USTA filed its petition for access reform. There is no need or requirement to delay consideration of these issues another three months. However, because of the complexity created by numerous interrelated issues raised in both the Second Further Notice, and the Fourth Further Notice, USTA suggests that the Commission grant a brief, reasonable delay in both proceedings of no more than three weeks. The Commission should not consider a longer delay because these proceedings give a headstart on many of the issues which will be raised in either implementing the impending federal legislation or moving forward with access reform. In light of ever increasing competition, even if legislation is not enacted, the Commission needs to move forward as quickly as possible in addressing the pricing issues.

USTA requests that the Commission grant a brief extension of the comment deadlines in these dockets in order to permit more thorough responses. In so requesting, USTA begins with the premise that the Commission's consideration of the issues raised in the Fourth Further Notice should proceed in close coordination with those raised in the

Second Further Notice. Expeditious implementation of additional pricing flexibility is essential to permit the robust development of competition.

Nevertheless, because of the complexity and volume of data required to prepare meaningful responses, all parties would benefit from additional time. Additional time would permit parties to more thoroughly address the substantial number of issues raised, and to prepare more thorough responses to the Fourth Further Notice's requests for financial and economic data.[3] For example, USTA is in the process of developing a Total Factor Productivity Review Plan (TFPRP) to accompany its description of a revised Christensen Total Factor Productivity (TFP) methodology for calculating the productivity offset in the price cap formula. This TFPRP will be similar in nature to that of the Tariff Review Plan used by the Commission in analyzing LEC price cap filings. The TFPRP will provide a formal means of displaying all the inputs and calculations necessary to develop the productivity offset. This format will enable all parties, including the Commission, to easily analyze the data set forth in this proceeding and in future annual calculations, as well as provide a format to demonstrate that the calculation of a TFP-based offset yields results which can be easily duplicated.

---

[3]In the Ad Hoc Motion, Ad Hoc notes that the Commission released three orders inviting comments on important aspects of LEC's price cap regulation within a seven day period, and that these pleading dates in these dockets require numerous filings which are close together. Sprint's comments in support of Ad Hoc also mention the November 15 deadline to evaluate a substantial number of comments in the Toll Free Service Access Code proceeding, CC Docket 95-155. Sprint Comments in Support of Motion for Extension of Time, November 3, 1995. While these factors should be considered, the scenario proposed by Ad Hoc is unworkable for the reasons described above.

At the same time, USTA is preparing comments which respond to each of the specific questions asked in the Fourth Further Notice, and compiling, analyzing and preparing reports and data on LEC operations, economic performance, and the economic performance of the nation as a whole, for the purpose of addressing the issues in the Fourth Further Notice. Other parties are also likely preparing similar analyses of LEC's economic performance, and undertaking other research projects which would benefit from a short extension.

The Commission presently has the pleading cycles for the Fourth Further Notice and the Second Further Notice staggered by one week. USTA believes that it is important to keep these two items on a reasonably proximate time frame, and not disrupt the Commission's consideration of the interrelated issues raised in these two dockets. Additionally, consideration of competition in LEC geographic and service markets and additional pricing flexibility for LECs should begin as soon as possible. Additional pricing flexibility is needed now.

Accordingly, USTA respectfully requests that the Commission grant an extension of three weeks on the comment deadline for responding to the Fourth Further Notice, to December 18, 1995. In order to maintain the ability to consider interrelated issues simultaneously, it would be sensible to also extend the deadline for comments responding to the Second Further Notice by three weeks, to December 11, 1995. The reply comment deadline should also be adjusted accordingly, to January 17, 1996, for the Fourth Further

Notice, and to January 10, 1996, for the Second Further Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

BY Charles D. Cosson

Its Attorneys:

Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D. Cosson

1401 H Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 326-7249

November 8, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robyn L.J. Davis, do certify that on November 8, 1995 copies of the Motion for Extension of Time of the United States Telephone Association were either hand-delivered, or deposited in the U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid to the persons on the attached service list.

Robyn L.J. Davis

Edward R. Wholl
Campbell L. Ayling
Edward E. Niehoff
NYNEX Telephone Cos.
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

Elizabeth Dickerson
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Marc C. Rosenblum
Robert J. McKee
Peter H. Jacoby
Albert M. Lewis
AT&T
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 2255F2
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Marc E. Manly
AT&T
1722 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

J.A. Goodard
Pacific Telesis
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004

Michael J. Shortley, III
Rochester Telephone Corporation
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, NY 14646

R. Michael Senkowski
Jeffrey S. Linder
Ilene T. Weinreich
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
(Tele-Communications Association)
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Jay C. Keithley
Leon M. Kestenbaum
H. Richard Juhnke
Norina T. Moy
Sprint Corporation
1850 M Street, NW
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

W. Richard Morris
Sprint Corporation
P.O. Box 11315
Kansas City, MO 64112

Genevieve Morelli
Competitive Telecommunications Association
1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 220
Washington, DC 20036

Danny E. Adams
Jeffrey S. Linder
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
(Competitive Telecommunications Association)
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Jonathan E. Canis
Swidler & Berlin
(Intermedia Communications of Florida, Inc.)
3000 K Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Robert M. Lynch
Richard C. Hartgrove
Thomas A. Padja
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.
One Bell Center
Room 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101

Edward D. Young, III
Sherry F. Bellamy
Bell Atlantic
1310 North Court House Road
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Michael E. Glover
Edward D. Shakin
Karen Zacharia
Bell Atlantic
1310 North Court House Road
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Dr. Lee L. Selwyn
Dr. David J. Roddy
Susan M. Gately
Economics and Technology, Inc.
One Washington Mall
Boston, MA 02018

Scott C. Lundquist
Sonia N. Jorge
Economics and Technology, Inc.
One Washington Mall
Boston, MA 02018

James S. Blaszak
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby
1300 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Brian R. Moir
Moir & Hardman
(International Communications Association)
2000 L Street, NW
Suite 512
Washington, DC 20036

Andrew D. Lipman
Russell M. Blau
Swidler & Berlin, Chtd.
(MFS Communications Co., Inc.)
3000 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20007

John C. Smith
Aeronautical Radio, Inc.
2551 Riva Road
Annapolis, MD 21401

Margot Smiley Humphrey
Koteen & Naftalin
(National Rural Telecom Assn.)
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Carol C. Henderson
American Library Association
110 Maryland Avenue, NE
Washington, DC 20002

W. Theodore Pierson,Jr.
Pierson & Tuttle
(Association for Local
Telecommunication Services)
1200 19th Street, NW
Suite 607
Washington, DC 20036

J. Manning Lee
Teleport Communications Group, Inc.
One Teleport Drive
Staten Island, NY 10311

Charles A. Zielinski
Rogers & Wells
(Computer & Communications
Industry Association)
607 14th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Allan J. Arlow
Computer & Communications
Industry Association
666 11th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001

Anthony M. Alessi
Ameritech
1401 H Street, NW
Suite 1020
Washington, DC 20005

Gary M. Epstein
James H. Barker
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20004

M. Robert Sutherland
Richard M. Sbaratta
4300 Southern Bell Center
675 West Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 30375

James Gattuso
Beverly McKittrick
Citizens for a Sound Economy
Foundation
1250 H Stree,t NW
Washington, DC 20005

Dr. Jerome R. Ellig
Center for Market Processes
4084 University Drive
Suite 208
Fairfax, VA 22030

Richard McKenna, **HQE03J36**
GTE
P.O. Box 152092
Irving, TX 75015

Gail L. Polivy
GTE
1850 M Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036

Tenley A. Carp
General Service s Administration
Office of General Counsel
Washington, DC 20405

Robert A. Mazer
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle
One Thomas Circle, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005

Paul B. Jones
Janis A. Stahlhut
Time Warner Communications
300 First Stamford Place
Stamford, CT 06902

Susan M. Baldwin
Patricia D. Kravtin
Economics and Technology, Inc.
One Washington Mall
Boston, MA 02018

David R. Poe
Cherie R. Kiser
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae
1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20009

James T. Hannon
U S WEST Communications, Inc.
1020 19th Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Blaine Gilles
WilTel, Inc.
P.O. Box 21348
Tulsa, OK 74121

Peter A. Rohrbach
Linda L. oliver
Hogan & Hartson
Columbia Square
555 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Henry M. Rivera
Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress,
Chartered
1250 Connecticut Avenue, nW
Washington, DC 20036

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Alan J. Gardner
Jeffrey Sinsheimer
California Cable Television
Association
4341 Piedmont Avenue
Oakland, CA 94611

Terry L. Murray
Murray and Associates
101 California Street
Suite 4225
San Francisco, CA 94111

Frank W. Lloyd
Kecia Boney
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

David C. Bergmann
Yvonne T. Ranft
Office of the Consumers' Counsel
State of Ohio
77 South High Street
15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43266

Anne U. MacClintock
Southern New England Telephone
Company
227 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06510

International Transcription Service
2100 M Street, NW
Suite 140
Washington, DC 20036