

7/20/95  
100-115

**Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of )  
 )  
Amendment of the Commission's ) CC Docket No. 95-115  
Rules and Policies to Increase )  
Subscribership and Usage of )  
the Public Switched Network )

REPLY COMMENTS

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") hereby submits its Reply to Comments filed in response to the Commission's Notice<sup>1</sup> in the above referenced proceeding.

The Commission stated that its primary goal in this proceeding was to develop and implement methods to increase telephone subscribership levels. The Notice indicated a belief that disconnection for nonpayment of toll service was a primary reason households, particularly low income households, do not subscribe to telephone services. The Notice proposes various solutions with the intent of making telecommunications services available and affordable to all Americans. However, the facts do not support the presumptions or proposed solutions set forth in the Notice.

---

<sup>1</sup> Amendment of the Commission's Rules and Policies to Increase Subscribership and Usage of the Public Switched Network, CC Docket No. 95-115, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, released July 20, 1995, ("Notice").

CH 9

Over sixty parties filed comments in this proceeding including local exchange carriers (LECs), interexchange carriers (IXCs), competitive access providers (CAPs), state regulators, and public interest groups. As the Comments show, factors which affect subscribership levels are much more complicated than the Notice reveals. Customers may not subscribe to the public switched telecommunications network for a variety of reasons including cultural differences, personal preferences, demographics and other regional differences. For these reasons, most commenters agree that it would be inappropriate for the Commission to attempt to increase subscribership levels in the manner proposed in Notice, particularly by issuing federal mandates requiring toll blocking services and prohibiting disconnect for nonpayment. In addition, the issues raised in the context of this proceeding further demonstrate the need for the Commission to begin a comprehensive review of universal service.

The Notice concludes that the single most significant cause of nonsubscribership is disconnection of subscribers because of inability to control toll charges. The Notice proposes that prohibiting disconnection of local service for nonpayment of interstate charges will be a cost effective method of keeping subscribers on the network. As empirical evidence of this presumption, the Commission makes reference to a program in Pennsylvania, known as "Chapter 64" under which carriers are prohibited from disconnecting for nonpayment.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Notice at Para. 30.

The record however does not support this presumption. As Bell Atlantic stated in its comments, although subscribership has increased somewhat in Pennsylvania, the increases have been at a slightly slower rate than the national average. Implementation of Chapter 64 has had a considerable price. Uncollectables have increased nearly 400% and administrative costs have risen more than twenty-four million dollars per year. For those charged with implementing Pennsylvania's Chapter 64 program, it "has proved to be administratively complex and expensive to administer."<sup>3</sup>

In fact, it is not even clear that Chapter 64 is the primary cause for Pennsylvania's high subscribership level. Other factors may have led to this high penetration level, including demographics and economic factors.<sup>4</sup> Indeed, in Delaware, where a similar program was instituted, the subscribership rate has remained flat.<sup>5</sup>

The comments also reveal that most State Commissions, including the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, as well as LECs and IXC's do not support a federally mandated selective disconnection policy.<sup>6</sup> Most agree that the States are in the best position to individually identify and address the factors that affect subscribership

---

<sup>3</sup> Bell Atlantic at 4.

<sup>4</sup> Bell Atlantic at A-2.

<sup>5</sup> Id. at 3.

<sup>6</sup> See comments of ATA, Ameritech, Bell Atlantic, Cincinnati Bell, NYNEX, Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell, Rochester, TDS, USTA, AT&T, CompTel, MCI, SPRINT, Iowa Utilities Board, Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Idaho Public Utilities Commission, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

including issues and decisions involving disconnect for nonpayment.<sup>7</sup> Among the reasons given for opposing such a program are: (1) the states proximity to local markets and the differences among states<sup>8</sup>, (2) customers faced with service disruptions are more likely to contact their State telecommunications regulatory agency rather than the FCC<sup>9</sup>, (3) without a high level of commitment of PUC staff, national mandates would be ineffective<sup>10</sup>, (4) the Commission lacks jurisdiction<sup>11</sup>.

SCA asks that the Commission encourage states to require LECs to unbundle basic local service from long distance services by offering “Safenet Services”.

Customers subscribing to Safenet would receive, at no additional charge, basic local service only with no access to “outward interstate long distance service.”<sup>12</sup> This is an

---

<sup>7</sup> Even the State Consumer Advocates (“SCA”) for Delaware, Florida, Maine and Missouri support the continuation of Federal policies that leave the terms and conditions of providing local exchange service to the states. See, Joint Comments of SCA at 3. SGA asks the FCC to encourage the states to eliminate or lower deposits required for reconnection, and to require LECs who publish telephone directories to include information on programs for the economically disadvantaged. These recommendations fail to account for costs and the manner by which LECs can recover costs for implementing these policies. The State Commissions, not the FCC, are in the best position to address the problems with subscribership and determine a competitively neutral way of dealing with costs if the circumstances warrant adoption of new policies.

<sup>8</sup> PaPUC at 5-7.

<sup>9</sup> Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission at 6.

<sup>10</sup> PaPUC at 5-7.

<sup>11</sup> See accord, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Maine Public Utilities Commission at 2, MCI 9-13.

<sup>12</sup> SCA at 3, 9.

irrational approach to the problem. The public switched network is designed to be interconnected. Thus, some type of restriction would need to be put on the network in order to restrict the connectivity that currently exists.<sup>13</sup>

BellSouth shares with the Commission a commitment to develop reasonable, targeted and cost effective measures to increase telephone subscribership and to keep subscribers connected to the public switched network. BellSouth would be willing to implement a program which offers toll blocking service as an alternative to disconnect to customers unable to pay their bills and/or to customers seeking to restore phone service who were previously disconnected for non-payment. Under such a program, customers could be given a grace period to make outstanding balance payments provided they have chosen the toll blocking service option.<sup>14</sup> This alternative, while under the jurisdiction of the state commissions, would be consistent with the Commission's objectives in this proceeding.

Finally, as some parties have observed, the Commission's current expression of interest in boosting subscribership, in this docket, while at the same time outlining proposals for the elimination or reduction of programs such as USF and DEM weighting

---

<sup>13</sup> BellSouth currently offers two types of toll-blocking options to its residence subscribers in each of its nine states; Selective Class of Call Screening (SRG) Service and Customized Code Restriction (CCR) Service. Both services are available at low monthly rates. The rates range between \$1.10 and \$2.80. In some states a one time nonrecurring charge for CCR service may also apply. This charge does not exceed \$10.00 in any state in the BellSouth region. Special assembly is currently required for SRG Service in Georgia, however, a general tariff filing is planned.

<sup>14</sup> BellSouth would reserve the right to disconnect immediately for misuse of the public switched network or fraud.

in a companion docket is inconsistent.<sup>15</sup> The comments express the concern that one of the surest ways to lower subscribership would be to tinker with high cost assistance.<sup>16</sup> As BellSouth has stated previously, adjustment of high cost assistance cannot be confined to some narrow predetermined focus and outcome. Thus, eliminating explicit funding mechanisms may indeed have a far greater impact on subscribership than anything the Commission has contemplated. The broad policy questions regarding universal service and identification of the Commission's responsibility for preserving universal service cannot be resolved one issue at a time. The best way for the Commission to proceed is to review universal service concerns in their entirety and design a solution whose component parts compliment each other.

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Commission should not mandate a Federal disconnection policy. Instead, the Commission in partnership with the States should

---

<sup>15</sup> Montana Independent Telecommunications System, Inc. at 3. See also Mid-Rivers Telephone Cooperative, Inc. at 2.

<sup>16</sup> Id.

commence a complete examination and evaluation of universal service issues, which would include methods the state commissions can employ to increase subscribership levels within their own jurisdictions.

Respectfully submitted.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By:   
M. Robert Sutherland  
Richard M. Sbaratta

Its Attorneys

4300 Southern Bell Center  
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30375  
(404) 335-0762

Date: November 20, 1995

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this 20th day of November, 1995 served all parties to this action with a copy of the forgoing REPLY COMMENTS by placing a true and correct copy of the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties listed on the attached service list.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Juanita H. Lee

Service List CC Docket No. 95-155

Telco Planning, Inc.  
808 The Pittock Block  
921 S.W. Washington Street  
Portland, Oregon 97205

Mark J. Golden  
Vice President of Industry Affairs  
Personal Communications Industry Association  
1019 19th Street, N.W.  
Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20036

W. E. Miller, Jr.  
President  
Telecompute Corporation  
1275 K Street, N.W.  
Suite G-9  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Joseph Edward Page  
4365 Executive Drive #700  
San Diego, CA 92121-2126

Robert L. Stitt  
600 W. 107th Street  
Apartment 208  
Kansas City, MO 64114-5927

Dr. Kevin Groid  
President  
1-800-Therapist Network  
2923 Camino Del Mar  
Suite 6  
Del Mar, CA 92014

John V. Kenny  
U. S. Strategies Corp.  
President/Chief Operating Officer  
1055 N. Fairfax Street  
Suite 201  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Matthew O'Brien  
CMA President  
Senior Analyst  
Prodigy Services Company  
1201 Mt. Kemble Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07960-6628

Glen A. Payne  
Senior Vice President, Secretary & General  
Counsel  
Investor Funds Group, Inc.  
7800 East Union Avenue  
Denver, Colorado 80237

Mark D. Olson  
410 W. Badillo Street  
2ND Floor  
Covina, California 91723

Michael C. Strand  
Montana Independent  
Telecommunications System, Inc.  
P. O. Box 5237  
Helena, Montana 59604-5237

Gerry Anderson  
General Manager  
Mid-Rivers Telephone Cooperative, Inc.  
P. O. Box 280  
Circle, MT 59215

Lawrence W. Katz  
Bell Atlantic  
1320 North Court House Road  
Eighth Floor  
Arlington, Virginia 22201

John F. Mortell  
G. Richard Klein  
David E. Ziegner  
Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission  
Indiana Government Center South  
302 West Washington  
Suite E306  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Joe D. Edge  
Richard J. Arsenault  
Eliizabeth A. Marshall  
Puerto Rico Telephone Company  
Drinker Biddle & Reath  
901 Fifteen Street, NW  
Suite 900  
Washington, D. C. 20005

Catherine R. Sloan  
Richard S. Whitt  
WorldCom, Inc.  
d/b/a LDDS WorldCom  
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 400  
Washington, D. C. 20036

B. Robert Piller, Esq.  
Gerald A. Norlander, Esq.  
Public Utility Law Project  
Of New York, Inc.  
39 Columbia Street  
Albany, NY 12207-2717

Gregg C. Sayre  
RochesterTel  
180 South Clinton Avenue  
Rochester, New York 14646-0995

Andrew D. Lipman  
Russell M. Blau  
MFS Communications Company, Inc.  
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered  
3000 K Street, N. W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Lon C. Levin  
Vice President and Regulatory Counsel  
AMSC Subsidiary Corporation  
10802 Park Ridge Boulevard  
Reston, Virginia 22091

Robert J. Aamoth  
John W. Hunter  
Competitive Telecommunications Association  
1301 K Street, N. W.  
Suite 1100 - East Tower  
Washington, D. C. 20005

J. Manning Lee  
Vice President,-Regulatory Affairs  
Teleport Communications Group Inc.  
Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300  
Staten Island, N. Y. 10311

Glenn B. Manishin  
Gateway Technologies, Inc.  
Blumenfeld & Cohen  
1615 M Street, N.W.  
Suite 700  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Donald L. Howell, II  
Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
PO Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0074

Pat Wood, III  
Robert W. Gee  
Judy Walsh  
Public Utility Commission of Texas  
7800 Shoal Creek Blvd.  
Austin, Texas 78757

Charles C. Hunter  
Kevin S. DiLallo  
Telecommunicaitons Resellers Association  
Hunter & Mow, P.C.  
1620 I Street, N.W.  
Suite 701  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Anthony Marquez  
Colorado Public Utilities Commission Staff  
Office Level 2  
1580 Logan Street  
Denver, Colorado 80203

William H. Smith, Jr., Chief  
Bureau Rate & Safety Evaluation  
Iowa Utilities Board  
Lucas State Office Building  
Des Monies, IA 50319

Maureen O. Helmer  
General Counsel  
New York State Department of Public Service  
Three Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 12223-1350

Jack Shreve  
Office of Public Counsel  
812 Claude Pepper Building  
111 West Madison Street  
Tallahassee, FLA 32399-1400

Brian Kiernan  
Vice President  
InterDigital Communications Corp.  
2200 Renaissance Blvd.  
Suite 105  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Joseph P. Markoski  
Marc Berejka  
Information Technology Association of America  
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey  
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
P. O. Box 407  
Washington, D.C. 20044

Cynthia Miller  
Senior Attorney  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FLA 32399-0850

Michael J. Ettner  
Emily C. Hewitt  
Vincent L. Crivella  
Jody B. Burton  
General Service Administration  
18th & F Streets, N. W.  
Room 4002  
Washington, D. C. 20405

Ken McEldowney  
Executive Director  
Consumer Action  
116 New Montgomery Street  
Suite 233  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Craig J. Blakeley  
Lauren H. Kravetz  
EarthCall Communications Corporation  
Powell, Goldstein, Frazer & Murphy  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20004

Brad E. Mutschelknaus  
Steven A. Augustino  
Ameritel Pay Phones, Inc.  
Wiley, Rein & Fielding  
1776 K Street, N. W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Peter Arth, Jr.  
Edward W. O'Neill  
Ellen S. Levine  
People of The State of California and  
The Public Utilities Commission of The  
State of California  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

William W. Wright, Jr,  
Executive Director  
Consortium for School Networking (CoSN)  
1250 24th Street, N.W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20037

Jean L. Kiddoo  
Russell M. Blau  
OAN Services, Inc.  
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered  
3000 K Street, N.W.,  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20007

James P. Longnecker  
Senior Applications Engineer  
OptaPhone System  
1180 Evergreen Road  
P. O. Box 4000  
Redway, CA 933360

Ann E. Henkener  
Betty D. Montgomery  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Jay M. Keithley  
Leon M. Kestenbaum  
Kent Y. Nakamura  
Sprint Corporation  
1850 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036

James Rowe  
Executive Director  
Alaska Telephone Association  
4341 B Street  
Suite 304  
Anchorage, AK 99503

Dana E. Twombly, President  
Telephone Association of Maine  
C/O Standish Telephone Company  
P. O. Box 289, Route 25  
Standish, Maine 04084-0289

Thomas K. Crowe  
Commonwealth of The  
Northern Mariana Islands  
Law Offices of Thomas K. Crowe, P.C.  
2300 M Street, N. W.  
Suite 800  
Washington, D. C. 20037

Don Schroer, Commissioner  
Chairman, Alaska Public  
Utilities Commission  
1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 300  
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Maureen A. Scott  
Veronica A. Smith  
John F. Povilaitis  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

David Cosson  
L. Marie Guillory  
National Telephone Cooperative Association  
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20037

Peggy A. Peckham  
Director - Legislative & Regulatory Planning  
Cincinnati Bell Telephone  
201 E. Fourth Street  
P. O. Box 2301  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-2301

William J. Balcerski  
NYNEX Telephone Companies  
1111 Westchester Avenue  
White Plains, NY 10604

Margot Smiley Humphrey  
TDS Telecommunication Corp.  
Koteen & Naftalin  
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 1000  
Washington, D. C. 20036

David R. Poe  
Catherine P. McCarthy  
Time Warner Communications Holding, Inc.  
Leboeuf, Lamb, Greene &  
MacRae, L.L.P.  
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 1200  
Washington, D. C. 20009

Richard A. Askoff  
National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.  
100 South Jefferson Road  
Whippany, New Jersey 07981

Harold Crumpton  
Commissioner  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Gregg C. Sayre  
RochesterTel  
Rochester Tel Center  
180 South Clinton Avenue  
Rochester, New York 14646-0995

James U. Troup  
Adam Kupetsky  
Telephone Electronics Corporation  
Arter & Hadden  
1801 K Street, N.W.  
Suite 400K  
Washington, D. C. 20006

James T. Hannon  
Robert B. McKenna  
U S West Communications, Inc.  
Suite 700  
1020 19th Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036

Richard Rubin  
Mark C. Rosenblum  
Peter H. Jacoby  
AT&T Corp.  
Room 325213  
295 North Maple Avenue  
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36  
GTE Service Corporation  
P. O. Box 152092  
Irving, TX 75015-2092

Gail L. Polivy  
1850 M Street, N.W.  
Suite 1200  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Mary McDermott  
Linda Kent  
Charles D. Cosson  
United States Telephone Association  
1401 H Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Paul Rodgers  
Charles D. Gray  
James Bradford Ramsay  
National Association of Regulatory  
Utility Commissioners  
1102 ICC Building  
Post Office Box 684  
Washington, D. C. 20044

Lisa M. Zaina  
OPASTCO  
21 Dupont Circle, NW  
Suite 700  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Don Sussman  
Regulatory Analyst  
MCI  
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert M. Lynch  
Durward D. Dupre  
Darryl W. Howard  
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company  
One Bell Center  
Suite 3520  
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Lucille M. Mates  
Jeffery B. Thomas  
Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell  
140 New Montgomery Street  
Room 1522A  
San Francisco, California 94105

James L. Wurtz  
Margaret E. Garber  
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20004

Catherine R. Sloan  
Richard S. Whitt  
WorldCom, Inc.  
d/b/a LDDS WorldCom  
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 400  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Christopher Simpson  
Administrative Director  
Public Utilities Commission  
242 State Street  
18 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Michael J. Karson  
Ameritech  
Room 4H88  
2000 West Ameritech Center Drive  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Ellyn Elise Crutcher  
Illinois Consolidated Telephone Company  
121 S. 17th Street  
Matton, IL 61938

Lloyd Peterson  
Colorado Public Utilities Commission  
1580 Logan Street  
Level #2  
Denver, CO 80203

\*Ernestine Creech  
Accounting and Audits Division  
2000 L Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20554

\* VIA HAND DELIVERY